

Department of Transportation
and Public Facilities
P.O. Box 1467 (MS 2506)
Juneau, AK 99802
(907) 789-0841

Rapicom 3300 Telecopier
(Automatic)
(907) 789-0529

TELECOPIER TRANSMITTAL SHEET

PLEASE DELIVER TO:

Harold Cameron

452-1911

FROM:

Joe Arns

789-0529

COMMENTS:

re: Trails Inventory Maps
& Print Out.

NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING TRANSMITTAL SHEET):

7

OPERATOR:

Carmen Wynn

STATE OF ALASKA

DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER

WILLIAM A. EGAN, GOVERNOR

P. O. BOX 1467 -- JUNEAU 99801
(907) 384-2121

June 6, 1974


RE: Trail Systems
25-2528

Gentlemen:

In order to assist the many Native Villages and provide access to the Existing Trail System maps and IBM listings, the Department of Highways is sending each of the Twelve Native Regions a set of maps and IBM listings. By providing each of the regions with a set of maps, the Department of Highways believes the outlying Native Villages will be able to obtain all of the data accessible to the Existing Trails within their regions.

If you wish to purchase additional maps, they are available at approximately \$.70 a sheet.

Very truly yours,



John C. Becker
Advance Planning &
Special Projects Engineer

Attachments: as stated.

JUN 10 1974

Ahtna Incorporated
P. O. Box 823
Copper Center, Alaska 99573

Aleut Corporation
425 G. St. Suite 840
Anchorage, Alaska 99510

Arctic Slope
Regional Corporation
Tulugak Building
P. O. Box 566
Barrow, Alaska 99723

Bering Straits
Native Corporation
P. O. Box 1008
Nome, Alaska 99762

Bristol Bay
Native Corporation Inc.
P. O. Box 237
Dillingham, Alaska 99576

Calista Corporation
516 Denali
Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Chugach Native Inc.
912 E 15th
Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Cook Inlet
Region Inc.
1211 West 27th
Anchorage, Alaska

Doyon Limited
527 3rd Avenue
Fairbanks, Alaska 99701

Koniag Inc.
Regional Native Corp.
Donnelley Building
P. O. Box 746
Kodiak, Alaska

Nana Regional
Corporation Inc.
P. O. Box 49
Kotzebue, Alaska 99752

Sealaska Corporation
127 South Franklin
Juneau, Alaska 99801



111N 1974

IN REPLY REFER TO:
1279 (931)

United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

State Office
555 Cordova Street
Anchorage, Alaska 99501

May 21, 1974

Department of Highways
802 3rd St.
Douglas, Alaska 99824

Gentlemen:

We want to thank you for sending a copy of the blue-line and printout record of the Existing Trail System for Alaska. It has been placed in the Alaska Resources Library for the use of all Federal and State offices as well as the public.


This publication is especially timely with our Anchorage District office embarking on a study of accessible routes throughout the southern half of the state.

Since the District office is some miles from the BLM State office and their need for both the blue-line prints and printout is daily, can you provide us with a second copy of this publication for their use?

Please send your reply to:

Alaska Resources Library
Bureau of Land Management
555 Cordova Street
Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Sincerely yours,


John D. Gibson
Librarian

MEMORANDUM.

State of Alaska

TO: Department of Interior
Bureau of Land Management

DATE: April 8, 1974

FILE NO: 00-2528

TELEPHONE NO: 364-2121 Ext. 111

SUBJECT: Existing Trail System


FROM: P. A. Campbell
Commissioner of Highways

The Alaska Department of Highways has researched and compiled a detailed Existing Trail System for the State of Alaska. We are transmitting one set of blue-line prints and one bound set of IBM listings for your records.

The State of Alaska maintains ownership of these trails. The information on these trails is available to the public through the Alaska Department of Highways, and upon request will furnish at cost, approximately \$120.00, a combined set of the plans with the IBM run.

Attachments: as stated.

BAC/JCA/jal

EXISTING TRAILS INVENTORY
PROJECT X-61500

This project was started and programmed June 4, 1972, at the request of Commissioner B. A. Campbell. The request was to locate all existing trails and roads of record in the state on U.S.G.S. quadrangles maps and to make a list of each trail as to name, identification to area, source of information of public record and description. The plotting of the trails on the U.S.G.S. quadrangles was completed in September, 1973, and the IBM printout was completed on April, 1974.

One complete set of the existing trails inventory, both quadrangles and IBM printout was sent to Alaska Division of Lands, Anchorage; Bureau of Land Management, Anchorage; Federal-State Land Use Planning Commission, Anchorage; and each district office of the Department of Highways, Nome, Fairbanks, Anchorage, Juneau and Valdez. Also, at this time each city in the state, and the twelve Native regions informed that the existing trails inventory was completed and available.

When the Native Land Claims started coming in, for review as to the proposed easement to be reserved in the title documents on the Native Land selection, Commissioner W. B. Farber requested that only easements for existing trails and roads or highways would be claimed and made a part of the title document, the only exception would be for highways which are in the department's five year program, and application for the right-of-way has been made. All Native land selection which have been reviewed by the department and easements proposed, have been trails and roads which are shown on the existing trails inventory or from highway maps.

BRIEFING PAPER

Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities

Northern Region

Division of Planning

REVISED STATUTE 2477 RIGHTS-OF-WAY

~~File Copy~~
by EM
Dave
Penella
7-23-84

Legal Basis for RS 2477

Congress by the Act of July 26, 1866 granted rights-of-way for highways over unreserved public lands, and by doing so, established an extensive network of public rights-of-way in Alaska. This Act, now codified as 43 U.S.C. 932, Revised Statute (RS) 2477, states in full:

"The right-of-way for the construction of highways over public lands not reserved for public uses is hereby granted."

Although RS 2477 was repealed October 21, 1976 by Public Law 94-579, section 706 (90 stat. 2793), those rights-of-way previously established remain valid.

Geographic and Historical Development

In Alaska, RS 2477 rights-of-way are concentrated mainly in the Interior, Western and Southwestern regions of the state and in the Copper River basin. In Southeastern and along the Southcentral gulf coast, RS 2477 was not as important to transportation because of the accessibility via water.

RS 2477 highways have provided much of the access to areas of Alaska in the past and continue to do so. Historically, these roads were used for trade routes and access to mining areas. Today, they serve as access for mineral development, forestry, recreation, agriculture, hunting, fishing, inter-village travel, and access to homesteads, homesites, and other land disposals. Most of the well established, frequently traveled trails appearing on U.S.G.S. topographic maps are RS 2477 highways.

Since the RS 2477 statute was written in such a brief and nonspecific manner, it does not establish criteria for determining the location or width of the rights-of-way nor does it define what constitutes a "highway." What was considered a "highway" 118 years ago when the law was passed differs greatly from the modern concept. Further, a procedure for identifying and claiming rights-of-way was not established.

At this time, there is confusion and differences of opinion regarding the location, validity and extent of RS 2477 highways in Alaska. Although RS 2477 highways exist in several states, this issue has taken on special significance in Alaska because of the remoteness and inaccessibility of much of the state.

Additionally, the Alaska Statehood Act, the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (ANCSA), and the Alaska National Interests Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA) all initiated major changes in land ownership in Alaska. As land is transferred from the Public Domain to the State, Native corporations, private individuals, and other Federal agencies, there is a diversity of views regarding access and land management policies. Some landowners wish to regulate use by type of vehicle, weight, time of year, etc. Some favor preserving

access for local residents. Others prefer no access at all. Furthermore, as land is conveyed, the validity of RS 2477's is being questioned. Currently, in land conveyance documents, RS 2477 rights-of-way are protected only with the clause, "valid existing rights," with no visible evidence of them on the Federal or State land status plats. The existing Trail Inventory File, which catalogs many RS 2477 roads, is at a scale of 1:250,000 (standard U.S.G.S quad map) and does not show the the location of RS 2477's in sufficient detail to allow them to be entered on the land status plats.

Need for Procedures

Confusion among State and Federal agencies and private landowners as to the implementation of RS 2477 continues to hinder management and use of these highways. It has become apparent that the public requires assistance in identifying RS 2477 roads. State, Federal and local governments, Native corporations, and other property owners need to know the location and authorized uses of RS 2477 roads in order to reasonably manage their lands.

For rights-of-way, land selection, land claims and other land transfer actions, land status plats serve as part of official records of land ownership for both the Federal government (through BLM) and the State government (through DNR). It is in the State's and the public's interest to establish these RS 2477 claims on both State and Federal land status plats, thereby asserting the RS 2477 claim and identifying its location. Placing the roads on the status plats would give more credibility to the State's claims and would establish, for the record, both a file and a geographic document asserting the claims. Thus, when land is conveyed, the State and public RS 2477 claims would be much more viable than simply a "valid existing rights" clause in a conveyance document. The location of each trail would be generally established, therefore it would be obvious to anyone researching land status that a right-of-way claim exists across a particular parcel.

If the State documents its claims, other parties are put in the position of challenging the State's claim rather than vice versa. Presently, the State would have to prove "valid existing rights," on conveyed land. If the trails were already on the status plats, anyone who disareed would be in the position of challenging the State's claim. Asserting an RS 2477 claim in this manner is only an administrative determination since BLM does not adjudicate RS 2477 claims. If someone were to challenge the State, the State's claim would still have to be proven in court; however, we certainly would be in a much better position to do this.

Other Findings

Discussions with DNR personnel in Anchorage who had been investigating regulations and court decisions relating to RS 2477's revealed the following points:

- 1) It appears that the definition of what constitutes a "highway" (which is the term used in the statute), is a matter for each state to determine. In Alaska, AS 19.45.001(8) defines a highway as that which "...includes a highway (whether in the primary or secondary systems), road, street, trail, walk, bridge, tunnel, drainage structure an other similar or related structure or facility, and right-of-way thereof..."

2) DOT&PF has management authority on RS 2477 where they occur on non-state land. Where RS 2477's occur on state land, DOT&PF has concurrent authority with the state agency having management authority over the land (usually DNR).

3) The courts have held that acceptance of an RS 2477 does not "impose on the public authority the duty to maintain." Therefore, a perfectly valid management decision might be to provide little maintenance or not to maintain a particular trail at all. Additionally, lack of maintenance over the years does not imply abandonment.

4) There are contradicting legal opinions regarding use restrictions, right-of-way vacations, and the role of a local government (ie. boroughs) in the management of RS 2477's.

Task Force on RS 2477

This issue was discussed at the Annual Meeting between the Bureau of Land and Regional Management (BLM) Fairbanks District Office and DOT&PF Northern Region on April 27, 1984. At that meeting it was decided to form a regional "task force" to discuss the situation. The Alaska Department of Natural Resources (DNR) was invited to join.

The Task Force on RS 2477 rights-of-way held its first meeting June 15, 1984. BLM, DNR and DOT&PF were present. The meeting established guidelines and procedures for asserting RS 2477 claims and having them established on the land status plats. The procedure is as follows:

- 1) A claim can be asserted by the State or any private individual.
- 2) A cover letter asserting a claim or accepting an RS 2477 grant must be submitted along with documentation supporting the date claimed. The date should preferably be based on expenditure of funds (public or private) or the earliest known date of public use. (An individual's time in constructing a road could be "translated" into funds).
- 3) A map at a scale no smaller than 1:63,360 depicting the route must be submitted with the supporting documentation and cover letter.
- 4) The trail number and quad number from the existing Trail Inventory File should be noted (if applicable) for clarification and cross-reference.
- 5) BLM will review each claim to verify that the land was "unreserved public land" as of the date claimed.
- 6) BLM will issue a serial number and establish a case file for each claim, as will DNR and DOT&PF. All correspondence should reference these numbers.
- 7) BLM will plot each trail claimed on their Master Title Plats and DNR will plot each one on the State's land status plats.
- 8) In order to prevent confusion, either DNR or DOT&PF will have the responsibility of submitting all necessary information to BLM.
- 9) All files, including maps, supporting data, etc., established by one agency should be duplicated and forwarded to the other agencies.

RS 2477 Coordination Committee for Northern Alaska

To provide a orderly manner for claiming RS 2477's and to avoid duplication of effort, the Task Force has suggested establishing an "RS 2477 Coordination Committee for Northern Alaska." This committee would consist of representatives from BLM, DNR and DOT&PF. Its purpose would be to coordinate and

specific tasks needed for asserting the claims and having them plotted on land status plats. A rough estimate indicates that this effort would require approximately \$100,000 per year which would provide for the research of 20 - 25 trails per year. This estimate is based on the time and effort actually spent for the Bulenberg Trail acquisition.

In order to accomplish this, there are a number of alternatives for DOT&PF to consider.

1) Funding Sources

- a) A current source of capital money is in the Bulenberg Trail Acquisition project which has \$95,000 remaining. This money was appropriated by the State Legislature for the purpose of acquiring the right-of-way of the Bulenberg Trail. DNR researched historical data and interviewed several people and asserted an RS 2477 claim. Since the right-of-way was secured in this manner (by DNR) most of the existing DOT&PF funds remains unspent. However, legislative action would be necessary to enable us to use the money on other trail acquisitions.
- b) An item could be included in the FY 86 CIP Submittal which would change the existing Bulenberg Trail legislation and appropriate additional funds.
- c) The RS 2477 activities could be included in the FY 86 DOT&PF operating budget as contractual and/or personal services.

2) Organization/Staffing

- a) Should in-house staff be used, this project could be handled by either Planning, Right-of-Way or Special Projects. The decision of which group(s) to use would depend upon the manpower available and the funding levels of each section.
- b) An alternative is to contract this project out to an independent firm which would prepare maps and research historical data for our use. This would require project management within DOT&PF.
- c) Another alternative is a cooperative agreement with the University of Alaska-Fairbanks, using a Reimbursable Services Agreement (RSA). A university student could research historical data and provide the map(s) for the trails. This alternative has the advantage that DOT&PF would have more direct control over the person(s) doing the work. In addition this would probably be the least expensive alternative. Since this work is not so much difficult as it is time consuming, this project would be ideal for a student.

Recommendations

The MOU between BLM, DNR and DOT&PF should be reviewed and signed so this project may begin in a timely manner. First it must be decided whether

prioritize efforts on asserting RS 2477 claims, to discuss any areas of disagreement and to keep each agency informed on the other agencies' efforts.

RS 2477 Management Review Board for Northern Alaska

Regarding the management of these roads, the Task Force has recommended establishing an "RS 2477 Management Review Board for Northern Alaska." This board would provide a forum to discuss differences relating to the management of RS 2477's. Problems which have come up in the past such as use restrictions, requests to vacate, and alignment, will continue. With contradicting legal opinions, an inter-agency forum for handling these issues would benefit all concerned and provide a multi-agency response to problems. Discussions could lead to possible solutions. Recommendation passed by this board would show a concerted effort by all agencies involved to provide thoughtful management actions. This would also provide one agency with the means to seek additional support in making a management decision. Additionally, any decision would be the result of the actions of several agencies rather than the decision of just one. DOT&PF as manager or co-manager of these roads would have veto power over any board recommendation.

Composition of this board would consist of two members from BLM, DNR and DOT&PF, with one member from the Citizens' Advisory Commission on Federal Areas and one invited member from a related professional organization (i.e., an officer of the International Right-of-Way Association). Temporary membership could be extended to governmental land managing agencies affected by matters under discussion and to private land owners affected. The board would meet as needed at the request of any board members.

Memorandum of Agreement

To implement the Task Force recommendations, a preliminary Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between BLM, DNR and DOT&PF has been drafted. At this time, the MOU would exist only between BLM's Fairbanks District Office, DNR's Northcentral District, and DOT&PF's Northern Region. Northern and Interior Alaska is most affected by RS 2477 roads. Since the Fairbanks offices of these agencies have begun efforts, and established a precedent with the Bulenberg Trail, it was felt that an MOU at the District/Regional level would serve as a "testing area" for this procedure. Also, the archives of the University of Alaska-Fairbanks are the largest in the state, making Fairbanks better suited for the historical research. Should this procedure succeed (as we are confident it will) then this MOU, or one similar, could be extended to other regions. A copy of the draft MOU is attached.

The participating agencies are in agreement that an effort such as this seems long overdue. It has the enthusiastic support of the BLM Fairbanks District Manager, Carl Johnson, who will be presenting this issue and the MOU concept to the BLM Advisory Council meeting on August 15, 1984 and to the Haul Road Meeting on August 23, 1984. It also has the support of the DNR Northcentral District Manager, Division of Land and Water Management, Jerry Brossia.

Program Needs

In order to complete this project, a commitment by DOT&PF and the other agencies is necessary. The prioritization and assertion of RS 2477 roads would be an ongoing process over several years (estimated 5 years for 100-150 trails). Historical research, personal interviews and preparation of maps are the

DNR or DOT&PF shall have the responsibility to submit the supporting documentation to BLM.

We recommend that DOT&PF seek a revised program change from the interim legislative committees to use the Bulenberg Trail appropriation for RS 2477 right-of-way acquisition. This amount could be used for the first year's work, beginning in FY 85.

Further, we recommend that an agreement with the University of Alaska-Fairbanks be entered into to establish a cooperative arrangement with a graduate level student(s) to research the historical data for the trails and possibly to provide the maps needed.

The Coordination Committee should be appointed and meet promptly to establish the "first priority" trails so that the first efforts will begin with inter-agency cooperation.

Adequate funds should be budgeted in the FY 86 Capital and Operating Budgets to continue this activity.

DP:dp