

## John Bennett

---

**From:** John Bennett  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:12 AM  
**To:** Sprout, Timothy L (DOT)  
**Subject:** RE: Stupid SLE question

Tim – as George said in the earlier email, without regard for the incorrect DNR/MHT/DOT agreement on SLEs, the only way a section line easement gets to 50/100 feet in width is if it is or was held in title by the state of Alaska. The correct reading of the width for a federal SLE on private lands is that it should be 33/66 feet if valid. Thanks for forwarding George’s email. At least it shows that Cadastral survey recognizes the error and hopefully will not pass it on to others. You would think it would be a simple fix for the AGO or whoever wrote it to fix it but that remains to be seen. JohnB

---

**From:** Sprout, Timothy L (DOT) [mailto:[tim.sprout@alaska.gov](mailto:tim.sprout@alaska.gov)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 30, 2021 9:02 AM  
**To:** John Bennett <[JBennett@rmconsult.com](mailto:JBennett@rmconsult.com)>  
**Subject:** Stupid SLE question

John –

It is my understanding that private land is subject to state law.

If a 33/66 foot section line easement exists on Federal land, and the land is transferred to private land, does the section line easement become a 50/100 foot SLE?

Tim Sprout, PLS, SR/WA  
ROW Engineering Supervisor  
DOT&PF Northern Region  
907-451-5465  
[tim.sprout@alaska.gov](mailto:tim.sprout@alaska.gov)