

THE OFFICIAL SEA L(ION)
APPELLATE SECTION
ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION

the thir page)

,

If you do not receive all of the pages listed above, please call (202) 514-2860.

Resubmitted September 12, 1996 Opinion Withdrawn September 20, 1996

Filed September 20, 1996

Before: Mary M. Schroeder, Betty B. Fletcher and Arthur L. Alarcon, Circuit Judges.

Per Curiam Opinion; Dissent by Judge Alarcon

12517

SUMMARY

The summary, which does not constitute a part of the opinion of the court, is copyrighted C 1994 by Barclays Law Publishers.

Real Estate/Covenants and Easements

The court of appeals, in a per curiam opinion substituting for an earlier opinion ordered withdrawn, affirmed a district court judgment. The court held that a landowner asserting an easement over government property in Alaska must establish a continuous route or right of way.

Appellant Paul Shultz claimed that he had a right-of-way across Fort Wainwright to get back and forth between Fair-banks and his property. Shultz brought a quiet title action against the United States. The district court found in favor of the government and Shultz appealed.

[1] Shultz has not sustained his burden to factually establish a continuous route or a right-of-way under Alaska common law. Therefore, the district court judgment is affirmed. Shultz's argument that the district court erred by holding that his action was time-barred is not reached.

COUNSEL

Joseph W. Sheehan, Fairbanks, Alaska, for the plaintiff-appellant.

William B. Lazarus, United States Department of Justice, Environment & Natural Resources Division, Washington, D.C. for the defendant-appellee.

Sheri L. Hazeltine, Fairbanks, Alaska, for Amicus, The Tanana Chiefs Conference, Inc.

Robin L. Rivett, Pacific Legal Foundation, Sacramento, California, for Amicus, Pacific Legal Foundation, Resource

Development Council for Alaska, and Alaska Miners Association.

Cheri C. Jacobus, Assistant Attorney General, Anchorage, Alaska, for Amicus, State of Alaska.

Steven F. Alder, Assistant Attorney General, Salt Lake City, Utah, for Amicus, The State of Utah and the Utah Association of Counties.

ORDER

The opinion dated November 30, 1993 is withdrawn.

OPINION

PER CURIAM:

The government's petition for rehearing is granted, the opinion of November 30, 1993 at 10 F.3d 649 is withdrawn, and the following opinion is substituted in its place.

[1] Paul G. Shultz appeals the district court's judgment in favor of the government in his quiet title action under 28 U.S.C. § 2409a. Shultz argued that he has a right-of-way across Fort Wainwright to get back and forth between Fairbanks and his property under either R.S. 2477, 43 U.S.C. § 932, or Alaska common law, or both. Because we ultimately agree with the district court that Shultz has not sustained his burden to factually establish a continuous R.S. 2477 route or a right-of-way under Alaska common law, we affirm the district court. We do not reach Shultz's argument that the district court erred by holding that his action was time-barred by 28 U.S.C. § 2409a(g).

AFFIRMED.

ALARCON, Circuit Judge, dissenting:

I respectfully dissent.

I would deny the petition for a rehearing and reverse the district court's judgment for the reasons set forth in Judge Fletcher's scholarly opinion in Shultz v. Department of the Army, 10 F.3d 649 (9th Cir. 1993).

12520