'DH-434 (Rev, 1/64)	#26,21
MEMORANDUM	State of Alaska
то: District Highway Engineer Anchorage Juneau <u>Fairbanks</u> Valdez	DATE: November 3, 1967 FILE NO: 23~2900
ATTN: District Right of Way Agent FROM: Jack T. Bodine Asst. State R/W Agent Juneau	SUBJECT: Attorney General Opinion Concerning Highway Encroachments

Attached is a copy of our request for Attorney General's opinion regarding encroachments on highway right of way and the Opinion written October 31, 1967.

Attachments: As stated

 $\langle \langle b \rangle \rangle_{c}$ 

9 1967 NON Fairboarks, Akicha Si

EMGR OHIST. ENGR. T. OFFICER ENGR. WAINT, SUPRY. MATERIALS LAB. PICTI OF WAY SUPPLY & SERV. SECT EQUIP. SECTION TOK RETURN TO FILE

Donald A. Burr Attorney General Repartment of Law Jumpau Hay 9, 1957

60-2500

Request for Attorney General Opinion

Narren C. Cosnastn Comulasionar Bapartmant of Highways Juppau

A considerable amount of Alaska's highways are monstructed on land reserved by various Public Land Ordars and Educative Orders for highway purposes. These orders cover a strip of right of way varying between 100 and 300 feet in total width depending on the particular highway involved. The Department of Righways is charged with the responsibility of maintaining the right of way on the Federal Aid Highway System free and clear of all eneroschments including advertising signs. We respectfully request your opiaion as to the authority this Department has in accomplishing the responsible of these encroachments and prohibit their replacement within P.D.O. or Executive Order right of way.

Under present design standards for privary and secondary highways the widths received are justifiable on the basis of safety and convenience to the traveling public. As far as we are concerned the entire right of way reserved is being used for highway purposes, and we should have jurisdiction over the entire area.

We have attached copies of the various Public Land Orders and Executive Orders for your raview and would appreciate your early reply.

Attachments: As stated

DC/RE

bee: All District Highway Englands John Becker, Pre-Construction Tuginger Cosby Stean

## STATE OF ALASKA

WALTER J. HICKEL, GOVERNOR

INIT DATE

V BPR AS

IDEERPASSTNEEDENT ODEF LAW

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

POUCH K, STATE CAPITOL - JUNEAU 99801

October 31, 1967

CHICF

ASST

END.

NET.

R APP.

S APP

FILE

1

## $\underline{\mathsf{M}} \ \underline{\mathsf{E}} \ \underline{\mathsf{M}} \ \underline{\mathsf{O}} \ \underline{\mathsf{R}} \ \underline{\mathsf{A}} \ \underline{\mathsf{N}} \ \underline{\mathsf{D}} \ \underline{\mathsf{U}} \ \underline{\mathsf{M}}$

- TO: Warren C. Gonnason Commissioner Department of Highways
- FROM: Edgar Paul Boyko Attorney General By: Robert E. Price RLP Assistant Attorney General
- RE: Highway Encroachments

This is in response to your requests of May 9, June 14, September 6, and October 6 for an opinion of this office on the following question: Does the Department of Highways have authority to accomplish the removal of advertising signs from the rightof-way of a highway constructed on land reserved by various Public Land Orders and Executive Orders for highway purposes?

The answer is that the Department of Highways has such .authority.

First, AS 19.25 prohibits all outdoor advertising along the highways and provides for its removal. Also see Alaska Administrative Code Title 14, Sec. 391 (Title 17, Sec. 301 of the proposed regulations). See 19.05.130(11) for the definition of "outdoor advertising" (note that it does not include "advertising located within an incorporated town or advertising on private property in a rural area which relates exclusively to the business conducted on the property or the sale or rental of the property"). When there is "outdoor advertising" within the definition of AS 19.05.130(11), AS 19.25.110 provides that the <u>Department of</u> <u>Public Safety</u> (N.B.) shall order its removal.

Second, the Department of Highways has the authority to order the removal of encroachments. An outdoor advertising sign, as that term is commonly used and not in the restricted sense of AS 19.05.130(11), constitutes an encroachment and a nuisance upon



NOV 2 1967

Burkt of Way Section

North to the future in 19671

the public highway. <u>State v. Kelly</u>, 403 P.2d 566 (Idaho, 1965); <u>People v. Henderson</u>, 194 P.2d 91 (Ct. App. Cal., 1948); <u>State ex rel</u>. <u>Department of Highways v. Bailey</u>, 389 P.2d 98 (Okl., 1963). The Alaska Administrative Code, Title 14, Sec. 360 (Title 17, Sec. 201 of the proposed regulations) states that encroachments may only be installed on State rights of way when there has been previously secured written authorization. AS 19.05.130(8) defines "highway" to include "right of way". Alaska Administrative Code, Title 14, Sec. 300(18) (Title 17, Sec. 100(16) of the proposed regulations) states that the term "highway" includes "the entire width of the strip of land on right of way." AS 19.05.010 states that the Department of Highways is responsible for the "maintenance" of the state highway system. See AS 19.05.130(9) for the definition of "maintenance". The Department of Highways, therefore, pursuant to the above-cited Alaska Statutes and Regulations has authority to order the removal of advertising signs on highway right of way. If there is a refusal to obey this order, the Department of Highways may abate the nuisance by removal of the sign or secure a court order for its removal.

This opinion does establish the authority of the Department of Highways to order the removal of encroachments. As a practical matter of enforcement, however, the Department of Highways should only exercise its authority where it is necessary for the receipt of Federal highway funds. The Department of Public Safety, in cooperation with this department, should exercise the general authority on removal of encroachments from highway right-of-ways in all other cases. Otherwise a conflict in policy on enforcement could arise.

EPB/REP/er