Annual Report of the Bureau of Public Roads Fiscal Year 1960

523 4

264

BOSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY

06317

# HIGHWAY PROGRESS 1960

\* 9355

Interstate Route 91, the Hartford-Springfield Expressway, is open for its entire length in Connecticut.



**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE** 

Annual Report of the Bureau of Public Roads Fiscal Year 1960

# HIGHWAY PROGRESS 1960

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Boston Public Library Superintendent of Documents

## APR 1 7 1961

## DEPOSITORY

## December 1960

## **U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## FREDERICK H. MUELLER, Secretary

#### BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

BERTRAM D. TALLAMY, Administrator ELLIS L. ARMSTRONG, Commissioner

## **CONTENTS**

m

Summary review of the fiscal year
Development of the Federal-aid program
Legislation
The National System of Interstate and Defense Highways
Interstate System progress: Case histories
Federal-aid improvement of primary highways
Federal-aid improvement of urban highways
Secondary or farm-to-market roads
Special Federal-aid authorization (D funds)
Repair of roads damaged by natural disasters
Highway trust fund and reimbursement planning
Reports to the Congress
Highway improvements under direct supervision of Public Roads
Highway planning and design
Bridge design
Right-of-way acquisition
Outdoor advertising control on the Interstate System
Utility relocation
Highway roadside development
Aerial and ground surveys
Highway needs of the national defense
Highway safety
Administration and management
Development of new practices
AASHO Road Test
Highway planning research
Traffic operations research
Highway needs and economy research
Highway and land administration research
Hydraulic research
Physical research
Foreign activities

## **HIGHWAY PROGRESS, 1960**

## ANNUAL REPORT OF THE BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

#### Summary Review of the Fiscal Year

THE fiscal year 1960<sup>±</sup> was marked by continued vigorous effort in the expanded nationwide highway program inaugurated by the Federal-aid Highway Act of 1956, although the program was slowed somewhat because of Federal financing problems. Across the Nation, in city and country alike, motorists were finding many new sections of the Interstate System available for travel, as well as extensive improvements on major highways and streets and on the vast secondary road mileage.

Capital expenditures by all levels of government on all roads and streets, for engineering, right-of-way, and construction were estimated at \$6.80 billion in calendar year 1959 and were expected to total \$6.72 billion in calendar year 1960.

Highway usage continued to grow, again exceeding previous records. Motorvehicle registrations were expected to reach 73.9 million in calendar year 1960, 3.3 percent more than in the preceding year. Preliminary estimates indicated that travel in calendar year 1960 would reach 720 billion vehicle-miles. Travel by the 71.5 million vehicles registered in 1959 was estimated at 698 billion vehicle-miles for that year, an increase of 5 percent over 1958.

Obligations of Federal-aid funds for surveys and plans, right-of-way acquisition, and construction totaled \$2.611 billion during the fiscal year 1960, as compared with \$3.223 billion obligated in fiscal year 1959.

Passage of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1959, providing for increased revenue to the Highway Trust Fund during the next 5 years, alleviated the short-term financing crisis which had threatened to curtail the Federal-aid program at the beginning of the year. Public Roads found it necessary nevertheless to institute a reimbursement planning program, regulating the rate of obligation of Federal funds in relation to anticipated Trust Fund income, so that the States would be assured of prompt reimbursement when they presented their claims following completion of work and State first-instance payment therefor.

On October 8, 1959, apportionment was made to the States of Federal-aid funds for the fiscal year 1961, amounting to \$2.725 billion. The total of Federal-aid funds apportioned since passage of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1956 was thus brought to nearly \$13.3 billion.

#### Accomplishments of the year

During the year, projects were programed in the Federal-aid and Federal highway programs for the construction of 19,317 miles of improvements. Contracts were awarded during the year for improvements to 21,947 miles of roads and streets. Construction put in place during the year involved \$2.718 billion of Federal funds, a decrease of 5 percent from the record of the previous year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The fiscal year extended from July 1, 1959, through June 30, 1960.

Completions of all classes of Federal-aid and Federal projects during the fiscal year provided improvements on 29,959 miles of roads and streets. Included were 28,830 miles of highways and 6,788 bridges on the Federal-aid systems and 1,130 miles of roads in national forests, parks, and parkways, and on flood-relief and access-road projects.

Hazards at railway-highway grade crossings were removed during the year by elimination of 422 grade crossings, reconstruction of 23 inadequate gradeseparation structures, and protection of 366 crossings by installation of flashing lights or other safety devices. These figures include the separation or protection of crossings encountered on new highway locations.

The linear mileage of highway improvements completed is not a full measure of the facilities provided for traffic. Capacity and safety and riding quality are all improved by better alinement, flatter curves and grades, and smoother and wider pavement. The Interstate and some of the other Federal-aid projects completed during the year had access control and grade crossings eliminated. Generally they were four or more lanes wide, replacing old roads with only two lanes. The 28,830 miles of Federal-aid projects completed during fiscal year 1960 included 3,829 miles of 4-lane highways and 237 miles having 6 lanes or more. Thus, the year's Federal-aid project completions provided the equivalent of 66,266 miles of single-lane construction.

At the end of the fiscal year, construction was underway or plans had been approved, in the Federal-aid program, for improvements on 31,362 miles of roads and streets. Included were construction of 10,617 bridges and the elimination, reconstruction, or protection of 1,305 railway-highway crossings. The estimated cost of this work was \$8.2 billion, of which \$6.0 billion was Federal aid.

In addition, at the close of the year, the programs for construction of national forest, park, and public lands highways, defense-access roads, and flood-damaged roads and bridges, included improvements underway on 1,566 miles, at a total estimated cost of \$123 million including \$115 million of Federal funds.

Accomplishments of the year on the several Federal-aid systems and in the Federal lands highway programs, and detailed information on other subjects, will be found in individual presentations in other sections of this report. Supporting statistics, both in summary and detail, appear in the appendix tables.

#### The Interstate System

While accomplishments of the year in improving Federal-aid rontes under the primary, secondary, and urban programs were outstanding, the Interstate System continued to be the center of public interest. At the close of the fiscal year, the fourth year of the accelerated program, more than 9,100 miles of the 41,000-mile Interstate System were open to traffic and construction was underway on another 4,700 miles. Motorists everywhere were gaging for themselves the great advantages of the controlled-access freeway, with its greater safety, freedom from crosstraffic, relief from driving tension, and savings in travel time and vehicle operating costs. Industrial, commercial, and residential centers were being attracted to locations adjacent to the Interstate right-of-way.

Locating the Interstate route sections continued to be the subject of intensive effort. The State highway departments conducted extensive engineering and economic studies, coordinating and collaborating in their planning with local officials and planning agencies, to assure selection of locations in the best overall public interest. Public hearings were held so that proposals could be explained and opinions and facts developed by interested groups and individuals could be presented.

During the year a special subcommittee of the House Public Works Committee was appointed to investigate the Federal-aid highway program, with particular attention to the Interstate System. The subcommittee held two hearings: one concerned with the increase of vertical clearance on the Interstate System from 14 to 16 feet at the request of the Department of Defense; the other with alleged falsification of test reports and other irregularities of project personnel on an Interstate project in Oklahoma. In addition, the President directed his special assistant for public works matters to review the policies and design standards under which the Interstate System was being planned and built. Public Roads provided a large amount of information and data to both of these groups during the year.

#### Federal-aid financing

Progress of the Interstate System program was threatened with a complete stoppage at the beginning of the fiscal year, due to more rapid use of money accumulated in the Highway Trust Fund than was anticipated in the original legislation, resulting from accelerated spending called for under the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1958. The 1958 Act had increased the Interstate authorization for fiscal year 1960 from \$2.2 to \$2.5 billion and directed that it be apportioned in full, disregarding the pay-as-you-go provision of the 1956 legislation. It was recognized that this action would deplete the surplus accumulated in the Trust Fund in prior years and would preclude apportioning any funds for the Interstate System for fiscal year 1961. With passage of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1959, however, additional revenue was provided for the Trust Fund, and it was possible to keep the Interstate program going with an apportionment of \$1.8 billion for the fiscal year 1961.

There is, in addition, a long-range problem of financing the Interstate System program. The estimate of the cost of completing the system, presented to the Congress in January 1958, showed that Federal and State matching financing required after July 1, 1956, amounted to \$37.6 billion, as compared with the \$27.6 billion available from authorizations of the 1954 and subsequent Federal-aid acts together with State matching funds.

A new detailed cost estimate for the Interstate System was being completed and will be presented to the Congress in 1961. Early indications were that the new estimate would not differ greatly from the earlier one. From this new estimate and the results of the highway cost allocation study the Congress will have a wealth of information as a basis for consideration of appropriate scheduling and financing of Interstate and other Federal-aid programs, and for the equitable distribution of taxes to support the programs among the classes of highway users and other beneficiaries:

#### **Construction contracts and prices**

The Federal-aid highway construction program is accomplished under the traditional American practice of competitive bidding for contracts let by the States. Competition during the fiscal year was generally quite spirited, with an average of 7.6 bidders per contract. Successful bidders on Federal-aid primary contracts averaged less than two contract awards each.

During the fiscal year, 6,472 Federal-aid construction contracts were awarded, of which 3,477 were on the primary system and 2,995 on the secondary system. Forty-six percent of the primary system contracts were for Interstate work. Contracts for urban work are included in the total for the primary system. Included in the totals were 634 miscellaneous contracts for such work as test borings, building demolition, landscaping, storm drainage, etc.

The average size contract was \$404,000, and about 90 percent of the contracts were for less than \$1 million.

At the end of the fiscal year there were 11,300 different contracting organizations qualified, licensed, or otherwise recognized as competent to bid on highway and bridge contracts by the highway departments of the 50 States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.

The trend of stabilization in highway construction bid prices, which began in the second quarter of fiscal year 1957, continued throughout fiscal year 1960 with a slight tendency toward a downward movement. The composite index for the first quarter of fiscal year 1957 was 167.2 (1925–29=100) which was 11.9 percent above the low point of 149.4 at the end of fiscal year 1955. The composite index for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 1959 was 163.1 which was 9.2 percent above the same low point. The index for the fourth quarter of 1960 was 159.1 resulting in a net decrease of 2.5 percent during fiscal year 1960.

Highway construction wage rates increased 4.5 percent during the fiscal year, but as a result of continually improving productivity in highway construction, the cost of labor actually decreased 2.6 percent. The cost of highway construction materials dropped 0.8 percent, but equipment ownership costs rose 1 percent during the year. The weighted average decrease of labor, materials, and equipment ownership costs was 0.9 percent, compared with an increase of 1.7 percent during fiscal year 1959.

#### Research

Public Roads, in cooperation with the State highway departments and others, continued extensive studies concerning the allocation of highway cost responsibilities and benefits and maximum desirable vehicle size and weight limitations. Final reports on these studies, requested by the Congress, were to be completed in January 1961.

In its own offices and laboratories, and through cooperative research projects, Public Roads continued to carry on research in a wide range of fields related to highways and transportation. Public Roads was also collaborating with the States and others in the AASHO Road Test, an intensive investigation of the performance of portland cement concrete and bituminous pavements and of bridges under varied weights of controlled traffic.

#### **Development of the Federal-Aid Program**

For those unfamiliar with the history and operation of the Federal-aid program, a brief account follows.

Federal aid to the States for highway improvement had its modest beginning in the Federal-Aid Road Act of 1916. Through the years, without interruption except in World War II, the program has continued to grow in size and importance commensurate with the explosive growth of motor-vehicle transportation in the United States. For almost two decades, use of Federal aid was restricted to rural portions of what now constitutes the Federal-aid primary highway system, an extensive network including most of the country's maintraveled roads. Since 1934 Federal aid has also been extended to the urban portions of this system, and since 1944 to a Federal-aid secondary highway system of farm-to-market roads.

In 1944 also, the National System of Interstate and Defense Highways was brought into being. This Interstate System, as it is commonly called, is limited to 41,000 miles in extent, and constitutes the most important portions of the Federal-aid primary system. Federal-aid funds, however, were not specifically authorized for the Interstate System, or were provided only in relatively modest amounts, until 1956.

The Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1956, augmented by the Federal-Aid Highway Acts of 1958 and 1959, authorized a tremendously enlarged highway program which, in its entirety, will be the greatest peacetime construction program in history. While extending at an increased rate the traditional aid for primary, secondary, and urban highway improvements, the 1956 act authorized Federal aid over a 13-year period for completion of the Interstate System. The 1956 act also established a Federal highway trust fund to receive Federal highway-user excise taxes and from which funds for Federal highway aid are disbursed.

The Federal-aid authorizations are made in four categories: For the Interstate System, and for primary, secondary, and urban highways—the latter group are often referred to as the ABC program. The 1956 act, as amended, authorized \$25.1 billion of Federal aid for the Interstate System, spread over the 13 fiscal years 1957–69. Authorizations for the ABC program, usually made biennially, have risen \$25 million annually in recent years, from \$825 million for fiscal year 1957 to \$925 million for 1961. Federal-aid funds for the ABC program are apportioned among the States according to formulas prescribed by law, taking into account population, area, and postal route mileage. Interstate funds are apportioned among the States on the basis of need, to insure simultaneous completion of the system in all States.

Interstate funds are matched by the States on a 90-percent Federal 10percent State basis; the ABC funds are matched 50–50. States with large areas of public lands match on a proportionately reduced scale. Federal aid may be used only for highway improvements, not for maintenance. The program is a cooperative enterprise in which the States have the initiative and responsibility for the selection, design, and construction of the Federal-aid projects, subject to review and approval of each stage by the Bureau of Public Roads.

As of December 31, 1959, the Federal-aid primary system totaled 264,576 miles in extent, including the Interstate System. There were 589,681 miles in the Federal-aid secondary system. The urban portions of the primary and secondary systems totaled 35,309 miles.

#### Legislation

The short-term difficulties in financing the Interstate program (discussed later in this report in connection with the Highway Trust Fund) led the President, in his January 1959 budget message, to recommend that the Federal motor-fuel tax be increased by 1½ cents per gallon for a 5-year period. After considerable discussion, the Congress enacted compromise legislation in the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1959, which was signed into law on September 21, 1959.

Title II of the act increased the motor-fuel tax rate from 3 cents to 4 cents per gallon for the 21-month period from October 1, 1959, through June 30, 1961. Thereafter the act provided that the 1-cent fuel tax increase was to be replaced as a source of additional revenue by the dedication to the Highway Trust Fund of one-half of the existing 10-percent excise tax on the manufacturer's price of new automobiles and five-eighths of the 8-percent tax on the manufacturer's price of motor-vehicle parts and accessories, during the 3 years from July 1, 1961, through June 30, 1964. Revenues from these two taxes normally go in entirety to the general fund of the Treasury.

The taxes earmarked by the 1959 Act for the Highway Trust Fund were expected to provide some \$3.4 billion in additional revenue during the 5-year period.

In addition to its revenue provisions, the 1959 Act changed the authorization for the Interstate System program for the fiscal year 1961 to \$2.0 billion. Originally set at \$2.2 billion by the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1956, the authorization had been raised to \$2.5 billion by the Act of 1958.

575760 - 61 - 2

Also as an aid to solution of the short-range financing problems of the Federalaid program, the Congress, in the Mutual Security Appropriations Act approved September 28, 1959, provided for an advance of \$359 million from the Treasury to the Highway Trust Fund. Such advances had been contemplated and authorized in the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1956. The full amount of the advance was repaid prior to the end of the fiscal year.

At the close of the fiscal year the Congress had under consideration bills providing for the traditional biennial authorizations for the Federal-aid primary, secondary, and urban (ABC) programs and the public domain highway programs, for the fiscal years 1962 and 1963. (Legislation was enacted as the Federal Highway Act of 1960 and approved July 14, 1960, authorizing \$925 million for the ABC program and about \$120 million for public domain roads for each of the fiscal years 1962 and 1963.)

Subsequent to the codification in the previous fiscal year of the many laws relating to Federal aid for highways, in Title 23, United States Code, a complete revision of the regulations for the administration of Federal aid for highways was undertaken and completed. The new regulations became effective on May 11, 1960. Relatively brief in form, the regulations are designed to supplement and implement the Federal-aid laws without restating them.

#### The National System of Interstate and Defense Highways

The National System of Interstate and Defense Highways is a 41,000-mile planned, integrated network of the Nation's most heavily traveled routes, linking the country's metropolitan areas and industrial centers, serving the national defense, and connecting with routes of continental importance in Canada and Mexico. Comprising little more than 1 percent of the total U.S. mileage, the system when completed will carry over 20 percent of the Nation's traffic.

#### Status at end of year

The accomplishments of 4 years of concentrated effort on the Interstate program were testimony to the capabilities of the State highway departments, Public Roads, and the contractor, materials, and equipment industries. At the end of the fiscal year, 9,107 miles of the Interstate System were open to traffic and construction was under way on another 4,690 miles.

Of the sections open to use, 3,693 miles were completed to standards adequate for 1975 traffic, the program's objective; and 3,140 miles had been improved and were fully capable of handling current traffic but needed additional improvements to bring them up to standards for 1975. These accomplishments had been achieved with Federal-aid Interstate and other public funds.

In addition, 2,274 miles of toll roads, bridges, and tunnels had been incorporated in the system. Their inclusion is permitted by law, but Federal-aid funds may not be used for their improvement, and they continue to operate as toll facilities.

Almost half of the mileage open to traffic, 4,386 miles, has been built or improved under the Federal-aid Interstate program, most of it since passage of the 1956 Act. Work on the remaining 2,447 miles (other than toll facilities) was financed by the States and localities under other programs—in many cases with Federal aid.

In addition to the sections open to traffic, 4,690 miles were under construction with Federal-aid funds at the end of the fiscal year, and engineering or rightof-way acquisition was in progress on another 10,093 miles. Thus some form of work was completed or underway on 23,890 miles of the 41,000 mile system over half the total.



Interstate Route 65 bypassing Lebanon, Ind. Access control was acquired along the existing two-lane bypass and it was used as one roadway of the divided freeway.

The status of improvement of the Interstate System is shown in summary in the table on this page and by States in appendix table 12. A map showing the general location of sections completed or underway appears on pages 52–53.

	Financing with—		
Improvements	Interstate funds <sup>1</sup>	Other public funds <sup>2</sup>	Total
Improved and open to traffic: Completed to full or acceptable standards Improved to standards adequate for present traffic	<i>Miles</i> 3, 141	Miles 552	Miles 3, 693
but additional improvement needed to meet full standards Toll facilities	1, 245	1, 895	$3, 140 \\ 2, 274$
Total improved and open to traffic	4, 386	2, 447	<sup>3</sup> 9, 107
Under construction	4,690		4, 690
underway	10,093		10, 093
Total improvements underway	14, 783		14, 783
Total completed, improved, or underway			<sup>3</sup> 23, 890

Status of improvement of the Interstate System as of June 30, 1960

Including State matching funds.
Including some Federal aid.
Including toll facilities.

#### Development of the system

The Interstate System was created, with a 40,000-mile limitation, by the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1944. General locations of 37,700 miles of intercity routes were officially designated in 1947, and 2,300 miles of routes around, into, and through cities were designated in 1955. Taken into account in the selections, made cooperatively by the States and Public Roads, were the basic factors of population service, transportation requirements of industry, commerce, and agriculture, system integration, and needs of national defense.

The Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1956 provided a 1,000-mile increase in the limitation of the Interstate System; and about that time it became evident, as the States selected detailed locations for the routes of the originally designated 40,000 miles, that considerable mileage saving had resulted from adoption of alinements more direct than those of existing highways. As a consequence, 2,100 miles of additional routes were designated in 1957 within the 41,000-mile limit.

At the end of the fiscal year the designated Interstate System totaled 40,594 miles of which 35,570 were rural and 5,024 were urban. The remaining 406 miles within the 41,000-mile limitation were held in reserve for adjustments as final locations are selected and projects built. The States continued economic and engineering studies to determine the most feasible locations for the Interstate route sections, both for the immediate work of right-of-way acquisition and construction and for the revised estimate of the cost of completing the system, which was underway. At the end of the year definite or feasible locations had been selected by the States and approved by Public Roads for all routes.

Until 1956, only limited amounts of Federal-aid funds were specifically authorized by Congress for Interstate System improvement, although Federal-aid primary and urban funds could be and were used to a considerable extent for that purpose. The picture changed radically when the 1956 Act, as now amended by the 1958 and 1959 Federal-Aid Acts, authorized a total of \$25.125 billion over the 13-year period 1957-69 for completion of the Interstate System. These funds are matched on a 90-percent Federal, 10-percent State basis.

Federal-aid authorizations for the Interstate System totaling \$7.4 billion, for the fiscal years 1957–60, had been apportioned to the States prior to the fiscal year. While the authorized amount for the fiscal year 1961 was \$2 billion, the anticipated resources of the Highway Trust Fund did not permit a full apportionment. As a consequence, an apportionment of \$1.8 billion was made to the States, on October 8, 1959.

#### Progress during the year

The details of route selection, making of surveys and plans, acquisition of right-of-way, and construction of projects of the magnitude and complexity involved in the Interstate System often take 3 or 4 years from conception to completion. Many route sections are being built in stages, with an initial project for grading and drainage and a subsequent project for paving. Some existing highways are improved and augmented to attain Interstate standards; for example, by acquisition of access control, or by adding another roadway to a two-lane road, to make a four-lane divided freeway.

Much was accomplished in the Interstate System program during the fiscal year. Improvements were programed during the year on 2,192 miles, with an estimated cost of \$1.92 billion including \$1.55 billion of Federal-aid Interstate funds.

Improvements involving Federal-aid Interstate funds were completed during the fiscal year on 2,402 miles of the Interstate System at a total cost of \$1,718,-561,367, of which \$1,453,210,937 was the Federal share. Completed work included 1,647 miles of bituminous and portland cement concrete surfacing, 702 miles of grading, drainage work, and temporary surfacing, and 53 miles of structures involving 612 bridges over streams, 1,560 bridges over highways to provide traffic grade separations, and 196 railway-highway grade-separation structures.

At the end of the year a total of \$790 million worth of work was in program status, and 4,278 projects with a total estimated cost of \$5.1 billion were underway or scheduled to start soon.

Excluding projects that have only been programed, a total of \$8.4 billion had been obligated for the Interstate System at the end of the fiscal year, of which 6 percent was for preliminary engineering, 25 percent for right-of-way acquisition, and 69 percent for construction. At the end of the previous year \$6.5 billion had been obligated, of which 68 percent was for construction.

#### **Interstate System Progress: Case Histories**

Statistics show in an abstract way the marked progress of the Interstate System program during the 4 years since passage of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1956. Far more impressive to the average motorist or trucker, however, were the many completed sections open for their use, ranging from a few miles to more than a hundred miles in length. The bright new, red-white-and-blue Interstate route marker was becoming widely recognized as a symbol of swift, safe, tension-free travel. Vehicle drivers noted, too, the promise of the future in the big construction jobs they saw underway, paralleling the old, crowded routes they traveled; although sometimes their patience was tried by detours or delays at construction operations. But the individual driver was apt to know only of Interstate progress in his own locale, or along the route of his annual vacation trip. A clearer picture of nationwide progress may be gained, perhaps, by brief glimpses of typical Interstate projects across the land, completed or underway during the year.

In Arizona, a 55-mile section of Interstate Route 17 from the Verde Valley to Flagstaff, much of it through national forest land, will be completed late in 1960 at a cost of \$15.8 million. Ten miles were being built as a four-lane divided highway, with the two roadways independently designed. The remaining 45 miles were being built as a two-lane highway, but will eventually be developed as a four-lane divided freeway. The new route will cut travel time between the Verde River and Flagstaff by 30 minutes.

In Arkansas, construction was started on a major interchange on Interstate Route 30, which skirts the city limits of Little Rock through sparsely populated, marshy lowlands. An estimated 9,000 vehicles a day will be funneled from relocated U.S. Routes 65 and 167 into the Interstate route and into Little Rock at this interchange.

In California, a 2.8-mile section of Interstate Route 680, bypassing the city of Walnut Creek, was completed during the year. The four- and six-lane freeway (ultimately to have six and eight lanes) removed through traffic from a heavily congested four-lane city street. The bypass, part of a circumferential route around the lower portion of San Francisco Bay, was carrying 25,000 vehicles daily, with anticipated growth to 45,000 by 1975.

Another California project completed during the year was a 6.4-mile stretch of eight-lane divided highway on Interstate Route 5 south of Bakersfield. The old four-lane road, with improved alinement and new pavement, carries southbound traffic, and an entirely new four-lane roadway was built for northbound traffic. Because of the rugged terrain the southbound roadway has a long, adverse 6-percent grade, but slow-moving vehicles are restricted to the outside lane, leaving three lanes for faster traffic. The road was being used by over



Interstate Route 5. an eight-lane highway south of Bakersfield, Calif. The old four-lane road was improved and used as one of the twin roadways.



Interstate Route 75 in Georgia bypasses the city of Tifton but provides service through the interchange with U.S. 82.

15,000 vehicles a day, and travel time between southern California and the San Joaquin Valley has been sharply reduced.

In Colorado, the Monument Valley Freeway, part of Interstate Route 25, was opened to traffic at the end of the fiscal year. The 8.7-mile freeway, which cost \$9.8 million, parallels a creek through Colorado Springs and continues 3 miles north of the city limits to U.S. Routes 85 and 87. The new route has reduced congestion on the old main cross-town route, a four-lane divided facility which has many traffic-hampering at-grade street intersections. Land on both sides of the northern part of the new freeway was already being developed for industrial and residential use.

In Connecticut, completion of 8.5 miles of four-lane divided highway on Interstate Route 91 opened the whole length in that State of the Hartford-Springfield (Mass.) Expressway. The old, congested two-lane road, now relieved by Interstate 91, carried 13,000 vehicles per day and had a record of 130 accidents per 100 million vehicle-miles of travel.

In the District of Columbia, a short but vital section of the Southwest Freeway, part of Interstate Route 95, was under construction. This ¼-mile, sixand eight-lane elevated facility is a part of the Inner Loop Freeway which will circle the "down-town" area of the Nation's capital. From its inception, the Southwest Freeway has been an integral part of the extensive urban redevelopment plans for southwest Washington, now well underway. The freeway and redevelopment projects have been closely coordinated, to the mutual benefit of both. Right-of-way for the freeway was acquired for the District Department of Highways and Traffic by the Redevelopment Land Agency, the local body administering the redevelopment work.

In Florida, the 8-mile 36th Street Expressway being built to serve the Miami area, when completed, will lead from Miami Beach to Miami and an interchange at Interstate Route 95, thence continuing west to U.S. 27. Daily traffic of 30,000 vehicles was already using the 3-mile completed section from Miami Beach to U.S. 1, with considerable relief of congestion on the other causeways across Biscayne Bay.

In Georgia, a 37-mile section of Interstate Route 75 was completed in Tift and Turner Counties. The four-lane divided highway, built at a cost of \$15 million, includes 32 bridges, 41 ramps and separation roadways with a total length of 18 miles, and 11 miles of frontage roads needed for disrupted local traffic service. The route was being extended by a 35-mile construction project to the north and a 55-mile project south to the Florida State line. The potential of this major north-south highway is indicated by a 1956 study at the Florida line, which reported that trans-State trips alone along this route totaled 5,000 vehicles daily.

In Idaho, the 5-mile Coeur d'Alene Belt Route was being built as part of Interstate Route 90. This four-lane divided freeway will relieve congestion on the narrow four-lane street that presently carries U.S. 10 through the city's main business district. One-fourth of the 7,500 vehicles per day now going through Coeur d'Alene are out-of-State passenger cars.

In Illinois, construction was underway all along Interstate Route 80 from U.S. 66, near Joliet, west to Ottawa. (Ottawa is the site of the AASHO Test Road, described elsewhere in this report, whose roadbed is destined to become a part of Interstate 80.) The 35-mile, four-lane divided freeway, costing \$16 million, will be 4 miles shorter than the narrow, winding section of U.S. 6 which it will replace. Predicted traffic is about 16,000 vehicles daily.

In Indiana, the Lebanon Bypass on Interstate Route 65 was completed early in the fiscal year. This project involved converting a two-lane road without access control to a four-lane divided freeway. Seven miles of construction projects, to cost \$3,6 million, were underway on this route between Lebanon and Indianapolis.

In Iowa, the first mile of the Des Moines Freeway was under construction. The planned \$57 million, 16-mile city-piercing route will carry 14,000 vehicles per day when opened and 40,000 by 1975. Some 41,000 vehicles now go through the central business district daily, many for lack of a more direct or efficient route. Obviously, completion of the freeway will greatly reduce congestion on the already crowded city streets. Annual savings to motorists using the freeway when complete are estimated at \$3.1 million, which would pay for the construction cost in 19 years. Clearing the right-of-way for the section being built required razing 350 buildings, many of which were dismantled by individuals to obtain low-cost lumber for building houses elsewhere in the city. Much of the freeway is a depressed section, and the waste excavation material has been used to fill low-lying areas. Land reclaimed in this manner has been used for a playground, a park, a projected shopping center, and a potential industrial site along the river.

In Kansas, the Muncie Expressway in Kansas City, part of Interstate Route 70, was completed except for a new viaduct being built adjacent to the present Intercity Viaduct crossing the Kansas River into Kansas City, Mo. The viaducts also cross a complex of highways and railroads and terminate at a directional interchange which was under construction. The 2.8-mile, six-lane divided expressway connects at the west with the Kansas Turnpike, a part of Interstate 70, and forms an important link in the Kansas City metropolitan area freeway network. Travel time during peak hours on the parallel U.S. 24 from the west city limits to the Intercity Viaduct requires 11 minutes, at a speed of 16 miles per hour, as compared with 6 minutes, at a speed of 45 miles per hour, via the Muncie Expressway : this despite the longer travel distance of 4½ miles via the expressway versus 3 miles via U.S. 24.

In Kentucky, a 12.7-mile section of Interstate Route 65 was completed during the year between Uptown and Elizabethtown, from which the Kentucky Turnpike continues 40 miles to Louisville. Traffic on the new section had reached 4,700 vehicles per day, while that on the old route, U.S. 31–W, had dropped to less than half its former volume. Interstate traffic now avoids the Nolin River bridge on U.S. 31–W, where a number of accidents have occurred.

In Louisiana, construction was well along on an interchange at the junction of Interstate Route 10 and U.S. 90, just west of the Calcasieu River Bridge at Lake Charles. Daily traffic of 15,500 vehicles at this location has been congested, moving at less than 25 miles per hour during rush hours. The completed project will accommodate much more traffic, operating safely at speeds near 50 miles per hour.

In Maryland, a 7-mile section of the southbound lane of Interstate Route 83 was under construction. The rest of this 65-mile freeway from Baltimore to Harrisburg, Pa., was completed and in use. Contracts were let during the year for two complex interchanges and other work on the Jones Falls Expressway, which will extend Interstate 83 into downtown Baltimore. The 6.7-mile, six-lane expressway route runs through the fastest growing section of the metropolitan area, where existing streets are congested throughout the day. Jones Falls Valley was the only corridor into the city where freeway construction was possible without wholesale destruction of property. However, railroads and industries in the valley had to be avoided, adjusted, or shifted in locating the expressway, and the stream itself presented special problems since it drains a large watershed. Seven existing bridges spanning the valley must be reconstructed to provide adequate clearance for the expressway. In Massachusetts, 14.5 miles of Interstate Route 93 from Circumferential Route 128 around Boston to the Merrimack River were open to traffic during the year, including the Merrimack River Bridge. This is part of the 23-mile, 4- to 8-lane freeway from Boston to the New Hampshire State line, designed to carry 80,000 vehicles per day and due to be completed in 1961 at a total cost of \$50 million.

In Michigan, construction of 20 miles of Interstate Route 94 east of Battle Creek was completed at the end of the year, opening a continuous 85-mile stretch of the route to traffic, from Jackson to Paw Paw. The route bypasses the cities of Albion, Marshall, Battle Creek, and Kalamazoo. Traffic is expected to reach 19,000 vehicles per day by 1975. U.S. 12, which Interstate 94 replaces, was hazardous and frustrating to the heavy traffic it carried both because it went through the centers of these cities and because of its many nonpassing sections due to inadequate horizontal and vertical alignment.

In Mississippi, 85 miles of Interstate Route 55 from the Tennessee State line southward were under construction. Grading had been completed on 60 miles of this section, and 30 miles were being paved. This route through the length of the State will lead north to Memphis and south to New Orleans.

In Missouri, a 6-mile section of Interstate Route 70, which will link St. Louis and Kansas City, was completed just west of St. Louis. The four-lane divided highway, bypassing the city of St. Charles, cost \$11.3 million including a long bridge over the Missouri River. Travel time over the 6-mile distance has been reduced from 15 to 6 minutes. Traffic on the old route was 17,000 vehicles per day. The new Interstate section was already carrying 12,700 and was expected to reach 31,100 vehicles per day in 1975. Motorists now avoid the five stops, steep grades, and low speeds in St. Charles and the congestion at the narrow river bridge on the old route. Residential developments were springing up along the Interstate route because of its fast travel time to St. Louis.

In Nebraska, contracts were let for construction of 31 miles of Interstate Route 80, and it was expected that the entire 53 miles of this route between Lincoln and Omaha will be completed next year as a four-lane divided highway. A 13-mile section already opened to traffic was carrying 3,300 vehicles per day and providing considerable relief to U.S. 6. New housing development continued southwest from Omaha, adjacent to the Interstate route, and land values were rising.

In New Hampshire, construction finished during the year completed the Interstate Route 93 bypass of Concord and the Boscawen spur connecting Interstate 93 and U.S. 3 and 4. The 8.7 mile bypass was saving motorists enroute to the White Mountains 4 minutes of travel time even in offpeak hours, and was relieving congestion in the capital city. The recently completed projects, which included twin bridges over the Merrimack River for the Interstate route and another bridge for the spur, involved Federal-aid Interstate, secondary, and special "D" funds.

In New Jersey, construction was underway on 10.9 miles and was completed on 8.4 miles of Interstate Route 80, which extends west from the George Washington Bridge. An important segment under construction was the seven-span bridge over the Passaic River at Paterson. The 731-foot long structure will have a roadway width of 132 feet. An existing bridge at this location was carrying 50,000 vehicles daily, and the new bridge will carry 114,000 by 1980.

Also in New Jersey, progress continued on the 65-mile Interstate Route 295, paralleling the New Jersey Turnpike and U.S. 130 from Trenton to the Delaware Memorial Bridge near Wilmington. Construction costing \$27.9 million had been completed on 11.7 miles and was underway on 12.8 miles. Traffic will reach 84,000 vehicles per day in 1975 on sections of this route.

In New Mexico, the first project on Interstate Route 25 in Albuquerque was completed during the year. While the 0.8-mile divided highway could not be

575760 - 61 - 3



The first urban section of Interstate Route 25 was completed in New Mexico. in the city of Albuquerque.



Horace Harding Expressway, part of Interstate Route 495 in Queens, New York City, is carrying 100,000 vehicles a day. (Photo courtesy Port of New York Authority.)

opened to traffic until adjacent construction is completed, the improvement of two arterial cross-streets as part of the project has already somewhat relieved traffic congestion in the area.

In New York, a 2-mile section of the Horace Harding Expressway was completed during the year in the Borough of Queens, New York City. The expressway is part of Interstate Route 495, which will cross both Manhattan and Queens. Part of the recently completed six-lane divided highway was financed with Interstate funds and part with Federal-aid urban funds, with a total construction cost of \$11.6 million. Incorporated in the section was a cloverleaf interchange built in 1935 with Federal national recovery (NRM) funds. Traffic on the new route has reached 100,000 vehicles per day, approaching the 110,000 originally predicted for 1975. There were 433 accidents involving 144 injuries (but no fatalities) on this route in the previous year. The new expressway will undoubtedly have a far better record.

In North Carolina, 46 miles of the 183-mile length of Interstate Route 95 across the State had been completed and were in use. Interstate 95 is the major north-south route along the east coast, running from Miami, Fla., to Houlton, Maine. Along the completed section in North Carolina two pairs of safety rest areas (several more are planned), providing off-highway parking, running water, toilets, fireplaces, and picnic tables in an atmosphere of privacy and scenic surroundings, have proven very popular.

In North Dakota, an 86-mile length of four-lane divided highway on Interstate Route 94 was open to traffic as a result of completion of a 47-mile section from Dawson to Jamestown at a cost of \$19 million. The newly completed work included 19 major structures, providing 12 interchanges and 2 highway and 1 railroad grade separations. The new route parallels U.S. 10, which had many restricted sight distances and ran through several towns now bypassed by the new road. U.S. 10 has had load and speed restrictions imposed every spring to avoid surface breakup during the thawing period. Most of U.S. 10 remains as a local service road, but 17 miles of it were used as one roadway of the new divided highway.

In Ohio, a 44-mile section of Interstate Route 90 was completed during the year, providing considerable relief to U.S. 20 which formerly carried as many as 20,000 vehicles daily, as the major traffic artery from Cleveland east to the Pennsylvania State line. The new route was designed with a capacity of more than 30,000 vehicles per day. Along the 44 miles of 4-lane divided freeway are 8 interchanges and 41 bridges over highways, railroads, and streams, including sizable twin structures over the Ashtabula and Grand Rivers. Total cost of the 12 projects under which this route was built, including right-of-way, was \$48.7 million.

In Oregon, completion of the 6 miles of paving underway will open to traffic a 19-mile section of Interstate Route 80N from Hood River to The Dalles. One section of the four-lane divided highway has an  $8\frac{1}{2}$ -foot wide median with a metal median barrier, dictated by high rock bluffs on one side and a mainline railroad and the Columbia River on the other. Elsewhere in Oregon, the 42mile length of Interstate Route 5 from Albany to Eugene, which was well underway, will have a 76-foot median. Grading was complete and paving contracts had been let for the entire length. All structures, including a 10.4 million bridge over the Willamette River, were under contract or completed. An  $11\frac{1}{2}$ mile section of the route, soon to be opened, varies only slightly from a straight line and will save  $7\frac{1}{2}$  miles in distance.

In Pennsylvania, extensive surveys have been made for Interstate Route 80, called the Keystone Shortway for its length across the State and construction was begun during the year. This east-west route, through the central part of



Interstate Route 90 crossing the Ashtabula River in Ohio. This is part of a 44-mile section completed east of Cleveland during the year.

Pennsylvania from Ohio to the Delaware River, is in a location where no previous direct travel route existed.

In Rhode Island, a 1.8-mile section of the East Providence Expressway was completed and opened to traffic. This is a part of Interstate Route 195, connecting Providence with Fall River and New Bedford, Mass. Travel time on the newly completed section is 10 minutes less than on the parallel localroad route under congested conditions.

In South Carolina, work was nearing completion on Interstate Route 126, a 3.8-mile spur into downtown Columbia from Interstate Route 26 which bypasses the city to the west. Among the structures built on the \$3.3 million spur are a bridge over Broad River and a three-level interchange. Traffic on the route is expected to be over 21,000 vehicles per day by 1975, and road-user savings for the period 1960-75 are estimated at \$9 million, almost three times the cost.

In Tennessee, work was underway on a 2.4-mile, \$4.3 million project located on Interstate Route 75 in the Knoxville urban area. The project extends from the east-west downtown-Knoxville Interstate route northerly to the north city limits.

In Texas, the 7.9-mile Stemmons Expressway was completed during the year in Dallas as part of Interstate Route 35E, at a cost of \$20 million. Some parts of this expressway have five through lanes and three frontage-road lanes for travel in each direction. Right-of-way was donated.

In Utah, projects were underway on Interstate Route 15 in two widely separated locations and under vastly different circumstances. Near Cove Fort in the sonthwestern part of the State, an 8.6-mile, 4-lane divided highway was being built at a cost of \$1.7 million. The road will have a 64-foot median on the northerly portion and a variable median up to 700 feet wide, resulting from separate roadway design, in the southerly portion through the mountains. Located in a heavy snow area, the torthous curves and steep grades of the old road have had a high accident history. At Salt Lake City 6.5 miles of Interstate Route 15 were under construction, leading north from inside the city line. An unusual feature of part of this route is provision of a five-lane divided freeway and a five-lane separated expressway connecting to U.S. 91. Southbound traffic destined for the northern part of Salt Lake City via U.S. 91 will leave the southbound Interstate roadway and travel southbound on the Expressway, thus reducing the lane requirements of the southbound Interstate roadway.

In Vermont, construction of a 12-mile section of Interstate Route 89 westward from Montpelier was nearing completion. In the \$17 million construction project were 3 interchanges and 11 bridges, including the \$1 million, 928foot dual bridge crossing the Winooski River, a railroad, relocated U.S. 2, and two local roads. Rugged terrain in the narrow river valley required heavy grading and extensive drainage and foundation work. Completion of this section will be followed by construction continuing to Burlington, relieving the heavily traveled and antiquated U.S. 2. Travel time on the 37-mile route will drop from 60 to 40 minutes, and accidents will be reduced by about two-thirds.

In Washington, construction was started during the year on two sections of Interstate Route 5 in Tacoma. One of these will penetrate the city from the south as far as M Street; the other traverses farmland north of the urban area. These freeways will replace U.S. 99, a crowded, four-lane street through the city. Work was also underway on Interstate Route 5 in Seattle, where a 12-lane bridge, 4,400 feet long, was being built across the Lake Washington Ship Canal at a cost of \$13.5 million.

In Wisconsin, a 44-mile section of Interstate Route 94 between Hudson and Menomonie was completed during the year. The route is almost wholly on new location a few miles south of U.S. 12, which is now functioning as a service road to the communities that lie along it. The Interstate route bypasses but closely serves these communities, without disturbing their probable pattern of growth. About 75 percent of the traffic formerly using U.S. 12 has been diverted to the new Interstate route, but total traffic on the two routes has increased steadily. Six months after the new route opened, total traffic was 28 percent greater than in the comparable month of the preceding year. Traffic on Interstate 94 alone was 6 percent greater than the previous year's traffic on U.S. 12.

In Wyoming, construction was underway on 42 miles of the 66-mile section of Interstate Route 90 between Gillette and Buffalo. This route section will be 24 miles shorter than the existing road, on which reduced speed limits are posted at frequent intervals because of steep hills and sharp curves. On a 1-mile, four-lane divided highway section completed during the year, at a location where the ground rises abruptly above the valley floor, independent roadway design was used to considerable advantage. By increasing the median width from 120 to 350 feet and using a higher elevation for one roadway, construction costs were reduced and an easier upgrade was obtained.

Also in Wyoming, a 15-mile section of Interstate Route 25, bypassing Cheyenne, was completed at a cost of \$1.8 million. Truck traffic formerly routed through the city's choicest residential areas now use the bypass, which also provides access to Warren Air Force Base where an ICBM site is located. The first 5 miles of the new route north from Cheyenne, built as a four-lane divided highway, eliminates the former narrow, hazardous road entrance to the city. Further north the route was built as a two-lane highway which ultimately will be expanded to four lanes.



This section of Interstate Route 94 in Wisconsin was designed as two separate roadways, for safety, economy, and pleasing appearance (photograph taken prior to opening to traffic).

### Federal-Aid Improvement of Primary Highways

The Federal-aid primary highway system, as of December 31, 1959, covered 264,576 miles of the principal highways of the Nation and included 241,097 miles of main rural roads and 23,479 miles in urban areas. These mileages include the Interstate System which by law is a part of the primary system.

Federal-aid primary fund authorizations, which may be used for either rural or urban portions of the primary system, have ranged upward in recent years from \$247.5 million for fiscal year 1954 to the \$416.25 million for fiscal year 1961 apportioned on October 8, 1959.

During the fiscal year, 5,196 miles of improvements, estimated to cost over \$691 million and involving nearly \$366 million of Federal-aid primary funds, were programed.

Improvements involving Federal-aid primary funds were completed during the year on 5,640 miles of the Federal-aid primary system at a total cost of \$656,929,263 of which \$340,217,480 was Federal aid. The projects completed included 4,823 miles of bituminous and portland cement concrete surfacing, 975 bridges over streams, and 137 bridges over highways to provide traffic grade separations. In addition, railway-highway crossings were eliminated by construction of 87 grade-separation structures and 6 existing structures were reconstructed; 112 grade crossings were protected by installation of signal devices.

An increasing proportion of the Federal-aid primary system was being built as multilane, divided highways, some with partial or full control of access.



A 6.7-mile section of U.S. 197, south of The Dalles, Oreg., was completed during the year with Federal-aid primary funds. The new route has 13 curves as compared with 87 on the old road, and is 4 miles shorter. A million cubic yards of excavation were involved in this project.

#### Federal-Aid Improvement of Urban Highways

Highways in urban areas eligible for improvement with Federal aid as of December 31, 1959, totaled 35,309 miles of which 23,479 were on the Federalaid primary system (including the Interstate System) and 11,830 on the Federalaid secondary highway system.

During the fiscal year 52 percent of all work programed on the Interstate System was for improvement in urban areas. This is commensurate with both the estimated cost of improving the Interstate System and of travel in the United States; in both cases the urban proportion being nearly half.

Federal-aid urban fund authorizations have increased in recent years from \$137.5 million for fiscal year 1954 to the \$231.25 million for fiscal year 1961 apportioned on October 8, 1959. During the year, in addition to the funds approved for projects from the Federal-aid urban authorizations, 8 percent of all primary Federal-aid highway funds was approved for urban highway work.

Plans approved for Federal-aid construction projects in urban areas during the past fiscal year totaled \$1.522,394,704 and covered 1,031 miles of highway improvement. Of this total, \$1,010,901,735 was Federal aid, comprised of \$207,417,048 from the urban authorizations, \$31,747,526 from the primary fund authorizations, and \$761,479,104 from Interstate funds.

Federal-aid construction work in urban areas completed during the fiscal year consisted of 1,095 miles of highway improvements costing \$1,258,685,087 of which \$870,562,101 was Federal aid. The completed work included 966 miles of bituminous and portland cement concrete surfacing, 289 bridges over streams and rivers, 702 bridges to provide traffic grade separations between crossing highways. In addition, 113 railway-highway separation structures were com-



The Six Corners underpass and a one-way street system (on the right) has eliminated the long delays to traffic formerly encountered (on the left) at this midtown location in East Providence, R.I.

pleted and 12 existing ones were reconstructed, and 56 railroad grade crossings were protected by installation of signal devices.

#### Secondary or Farm-to-Market Roads

The Federal-aid secondary network of farm-to-market, feeder, school-bus, and mail-route roads is the largest of the Federal-aid highway systems. Its length as of December 31, 1959, was 589,681 miles, including 11,830 miles of extensions into or through urban areas. The Federal-aid authorizations for this system have increased from \$165 million for fiscal year 1954 to the \$277.5 million for fiscal year 1961 apportioned on October 8, 1959.

During the fiscal year, a total of 16,481 miles of improvements, estimated to cost over \$657 million and involving \$347 million of Federal-aid secondary funds, were approved on the secondary system. Improvements were completed during the year on 17,252 miles of the secondary system at a total cost of \$607,402,293, involving \$331,652,952 of Federal-aid secondary funds. Of the improvements completed, 11,990 miles involved bituminous or portland cement surfacing, 4,051 miles were gravel or stone surfaced, and 1,148 miles were graded and drained preparatory to receiving surfacing. Also completed were 2,238 bridges over streams and 21 bridges over highways; 53 new railwayhighway grade separation structures and reconstruction of 6 others; and protection of 222 other railway-highway crossings by signal devices.

For the 15 years that Federal funds for the secondary program have been apportioned to the States, a total of 47,129 projects involving 185,423 miles of improvements have been completed. The projects have been widely distributed each year through an average of 2,000 counties, with an average of about 3,500 projects being completed each year.

The Federal-aid secondary program differs considerably from the other Federal-aid highway programs. The system is not limited in length by Federal legislation, the only limitations being that mileage which can be prop-



Federal-aid secondary and "D" funds were used to improve 6½ miles of Mississippi Route 18 in Clarke County. Grading, drainage, and a 20-foot bituminous pavement were completed at a cost of \$54,000 per mile.



This 4-mile Federal-aid secondary project in South Carolina was built in Aiken County at a cost of \$12,250 per mile, using local sand-clay soil to advantage as a base course for the 20-foot bituminous surface. A bad curve in the old road, eliminated by the improvement, appears at the right. Road-user savings in the next 15 years were estimated at \$43,000.



This 2-mile section of 6-lane freeway in California was completed during the year with Federal-aid "D" funds, replacing a congested 4-lane road built in 1943. The route connects Oakland with rapidly developing areas to the east, and is already carrying 40.000 vehicles daily.

erly improved and maintained. The routes of the system and the projects to be constructed are selected cooperatively by the State highway departments and local highway officials. Another difference is that under the 1954 act the administrative procedure between Public Roads and the States in carrying on the secondary program has been simplified, with the States assuming greater responsibility. The procedure is a voluntary one, and at the end of the fiscal year all States except Alaska, Hawaii, Indiana, and the District of Columbia had adopted it.

The Board of County Consultants, reconstituted in the last fiscal year, met with Public Roads officials in October 1959. The nine-member Board, formed to promote better mutual understanding on the Federal-nid secondary program among county engineers, the State highway departments, and the Bureau of Public Roads, has given effective counsel and advice in administrative problems that affect the counties, and has helped to disseminate information on the secondary program to local road officials.

#### Special Federal-Aid Authorization

The Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1958 provided \$400 million (the so-called "D" funds) in addition to the regular ABC fund authorizations, to accelerate the highway program and stimulate the economy. The act provided that the "D" funds should be matched on a two-thirds Federal, one-third State basis. To aid the States in meeting up to two-thirds of their matching share, \$115 million (so-called "L" funds) was also authorized as an advance. Any advances requested



Proposed construction of a flood-control dam in Thomaston made it necessary to relocate Connecticut Route 8, an inadequate two-lane road, to this rough side-hill terrain. Cost of the 6-mile, two-roadway project was shared by the State, Federal-aid primary funds, and the U.S. Corps of Engineers.

by the individual States were to be deducted in equal installments from the ABC apportionments for the fiscal years 1961 and 1962, and this was done in making the 1961 apportionment on October 8, 1959.

The 1958 act required that the "D" funds be placed under contract by December 1, 1958, with construction scheduled for completion by December 1, 1959. Some projects were not completed on schedule, because of delays resulting from bad weather and other unforeseen circumstances. A few projects still remained unfinished at the end of the fiscal year but were expected to be brought to completion soon.

Of the \$400 million total, \$236 million was obligated for primary projects, \$128 million for secondary projects, and \$36 million for projects in urban areas. Some 12,110 miles of improvements were accomplished with these funds, including 5,842 primary, 6,037 secondary, and 231 urban. The work under the "D" funds is included in the statistics cited in the sections of this report, dealing with Federal-aid improvements of primary, secondary, and urban highways.

#### **Repair of Roads Damaged by Natural Disasters**

The Federal Government for many years has furnished financial aid to the States in the repair and reconstruction of highways and bridges on the Federalaid systems damaged or destroyed by floods, earthquakes, and other catastrophes over a wide area. The Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1956 provided a maximum of \$30 million annually for these purposes. The Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1959 amended the legislation to permit the use of these funds for the repair and reconstruction of Federal domain roads, such as those in National forests and parks, damaged as a result of a catastrophe, without the necessity for a declaration of an emergency by the Governor of the State concerned and regardless of whether the road involved was on one of the Federal-aid systems. The availability of these emergency funds makes prompt assistance possible without the need for special legislative action following each catastrophe.

During the last 5 months of 1959, extraordinary rainfall in New Hampshire. Oklahoma, and Washington, as well as an earthquake in Montana, caused serious damage to highways on the Federal-aid system. Ohio requested allocations of emergency funds for repairs because of a flood during the previous fiscal year. Allocations of emergency funds totaling \$4,034,595 were made during the fiscal year to five States for rehabilitation work, estimated to cost \$5.4 million, Amounts allocated were: Montana, \$85,000: New Hampshire, \$2,733,500; Ohio \$316,495; Oklahoma, \$269,850; and Washington, \$629,750.

During the year Public Roads was called upon by the Office of Civil and Defense Mobilization to render assistance in connection with damage to non-Federal-aid roads resulting from natural disasters, such as major floods, which occurred in Arkansas, Hawaii, Missouri, Nebraska, Oklahoma, South Dakota, and Texas. Total damage to such roads, eligible for assistance under this program, was estimated at \$3 million. Public Roads personnel provided technical guidance to OCDM and the State and local governments in assessing damage and determining work eligible for Federal assistance.

#### The Highway Trust Fund and Reimbursement Planning

The Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1956, as amended, provided for a greatly expanded Federal-aid highway program to be financed from revenues accruing to the special Highway Trust Fund established by the Highway Revenue Act of 1956 for the 16-year period from July 1, 1956, through June 30, 1972. The taxes, rates, and net revenues accruing to the Highway Trust Fund during the fiscal year 1960 were as follows:

Million

Motor fuel: 3 cents per gallon through Sept. 30, 1959, 4 cents per gallon from Oct. 1, 1959	\$2,044
Trucks, buses, and trailers; half of the 10-percent tax on manu-	
facturer's price	142
Tires; 8 cents per pound for highway tires and 5 cents per	
pound for "other" tires	281
Innertubes; 9 cents per pound	19
Tread rubber; 3 cents per pound	15
Heavy vehicle use: \$1.50 per 1,000 pounds gross vehicle weight	38
Interest earnings	-3
Total	9 526

The Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1959, which increased the motor-fuel tax rate from 3 to 4 cents per gallon for the period October 1, 1959, to June 30, 1961, also provided that for the period July 1, 1961, to June 30, 1964, the Highway Trust Fund shall receive half of the 10-percent tax on the manufacturer's price on automobiles, and five-eighths of the 8-percent tax on the manufacturer's price on automotive parts and accessories.

The negative amount shown for interest earnings occurred because interest earned on balances in the Trust Fund were less than interest paid on money borrowed from the General Fund of the Treasury to support the Trust Fund during the year. Highway Trust Fund revenues, expenditures, and year-end balances for the fiscal years 1957–60 are shown in the table on this page.

Fiscal year	Revenues	Expendi- tures	Balance
1957 1958 1959 1960 Total	Million \$1, 482 2, 044 2, 087 2, 536 8, 149	Million \$966 1,511 2,612 2,941 8,030	Million \$516 1,049 524 119 119

Highway Trust Fund revenues, expenditures, and balances, 1957–60

The 1956 Act contemplated the award of contracts at a rate the Trust Fund could liquidate, in reimbursement to the States for work accomplished, without creating a deficit. Under the provisions of the act it was anticipated that the annual Interstate apportionments to the States, beginning with that for fiscal year 1960 and continuing for 6 or 7 years, would have to be reduced substantially below the amounts authorized; the amounts deferred to be apportioned in the latter part of the program.

However, the 1958 Act, although it provided no additional revenues, directed that full apportionment of the Interstate authorizations for fiscal years 1959 and 1960 be made. These totaled \$1.6 billion more than the Trust Fund could liquidate. Consequently, the outlook in the summer of 1959 was that a \$500 million deficit would develop in the Trust Fund by June 30, 1960, accumulating to \$1 billion by June 30, 1961, even if no Interstate apportionment were to be made for fiscal year 1961 and an apportionment of only \$500 million were to be made for fiscal year 1962. It would have been necessary during fiscal year 1960 to stop the letting of new contracts for both ABC and Interstate construction for about 9 months in order to bring the Trust Fund in balance with requirements at the earliest date possible.

This pending short-range financial crisis was alleviated by passage of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1959, described elsewhere in this report. It was possible, under the provisions of this act, to apportion the \$925 million authorized for the ABC program for fiscal year 1961, and \$1.8 billion of the \$2 billion authorized for 1961 for the Interstate System. The apportionment was made on October 8, 1959.

#### **Reimbursement** planning

The 1959 Act provided a lesser amount of revenue than the President had requested, and as a consequence, careful scheduling of obligations became necessary so that Trust Fund expenditures would not exceed revenues. A procedure was therefore developed by Public Roads and announced on October 6, 1959, that would assure the States of prompt payment on their vouchers from Trust Fund revenues. Originally referred to as "contract control," the procedure has more appropriately become known as "reimbursement planning."

The revenues expected to accrue to the Highway Trust Fund under the 1959 Act were estimated to be adequate to provide for prompt reimbursement to the States for all contract obligations which had been incurred by the States prior to July 1, 1959, plus \$2.7 billion of new or additional obligations to be made during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1960. The latter sum was calculated on the basis of \$1.8 billion of Interstate funds and \$900 million of ABC funds, Interstate fund limitation being distributed among the States on the basis of the factors used for apportioning Interstate funds, and ABC fund limitation on the factors used in apportioning the 1961 ABC funds. The distributions were adjusted so that no State would lose either Interstate or ABC funds by reason of the lapsing provision of Federal-aid highway legislation. By these means the amounts available for obligation were distributed among the States on an equitable basis. While separate computations were made for Interstate and ABC funds in determining the State shares, each State could use any proportion of its total amount for either category of projects, within the limits of apportioned funds.

The total of the reimbursement obligation schedule for the fiscal year was distributed by periods in accordance with the following schedule for the country as a whole, with the provision that the amounts not utilized during any period would remain available for later utilization on an accumulated basis: July 1-December 31, 33 percent; January 1-March 31, 34 percent; April 1-June 30, 33 percent. This scheduling of a lesser amount in the first half of the fiscal year was necessary to assure repayment to the Treasury before the end of the year of the \$359 million advance to the Trust Fund provided in the 1960 Mntual Security Appropriations Act.

The objective of the reimbursement planning procedure was to reduce expenditure requirements for 1960 and subsequent fiscal years to amounts that could be financed from the Highway Trust Fund. The intended effect is indicated by comparison of the \$2.7 billion that could be newly obligated during the fiscal year 1960 with the total obligations of \$3.163 billion during fiscal year 1959, \$2.727 billion during 1958, and \$2.212 billion during 1957. Reimbursable obligations actually incurred during the fiscal year 1960 amounted to \$2.523 billion. Reimbursable obligation authority totaling \$177 million was carried over on June 30, 1960.

The \$2.7 billion schedule established the maximum amounts that individual States could obligate during the fiscal year 1960 and still be assured of prompt reimbursement. Under the plan, however, any State could obligate its apportioned funds at a faster rate, with the understanding that when the State wished to claim reimbursement on such projects, the total obligation would be charged against the reimbursable obligation schedule and payments would be distributed over a 3-year period. Obligations totaling about \$71 million were incurred during fiscal 1960 under this procedure.

With reimbursement planning, the Federal-aid highway program was being advanced at the level that could be supported from revenues accruing to the Highway Trust Fund under existing legislation. In January 1961 the Congress is to receive two reports relating to the program: One presenting the new detailed estimate of cost of completing the Interstate System; the other presenting the conclusions derived from the 4-year highway cost allocation study.

Thus in 1961, the Congress, with the benefit of these two basic reports, will have the opportunity to consider the equitable distribution of Federal taxes for highway purposes, and to provide for appropriate financing and scheduling of apportionments required to complete the Interstate System and continue the ABC program.

#### **Reports to Congress**

The Federal-Aid Highway Acts of 1956 and 1959 called upon the Secretary of Commerce to undertake a number of studies, in cooperation with the State highway departments, and report their findings to the Congress. Each of these reports was designed to provide extensive basic information and serve to guide the Congress in its consideration of the Federal role in highway improvement, use, and financing. Accomplishment of the studies was delegated by the Secretary of Commerce to the Federal Highway Administrator. One study was completed during the year and three others were underway, as described in the following paragraphs. Much of the research described in the latter pages of this report was directly or indirectly related to these studies.

#### Extension of Interstate System to Alaska and Hawaii

Pursuant to the direction of Congress in section 105 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1959, a report on the possible need for extension of the Interstate System to Alaska and Hawaii was made by the Bureau of Public Roads and transmitted on January 13, 1960, to the Congress by the Secretary of Commerce.

The report recommended that approximately 50 miles of routes in Hawaii, meeting the same criteria as those met by presently designated routes in the other States, should be added to the Interstate System within the present 41,000-mile limitation. The report stated that neither present conditions nor anticipated further developments for the next 15 or 20 years warranted the designation of any Interstate System mileage in Alaska.

#### Interstate System cost estimate

Section 104(b)5, Title 23, United States Code, requires that the Bureau of Public Roads, in cooperation with the State highway departments, make periodic detailed estimates of the cost of completing the Interstate System. Such estimates, when approved by the Congress, are used in apportioning Federal-aid funds for the Interstate System among the States, each State receiving a share of the total annual apportionment equivalent to its proportion of the total cost estimate.

The first such estimate was reported to the Congress in January 1958, and was used as a basis for apportioning the Interstate funds authorized for the fiscal years 1960–62. The first revised estimate of cost, undertaken during the fiscal year, will be the basis for establishing factors for the apportionment of Interstate funds authorized for the fiscal years 1963–66. The current estimate study is scheduled for submission as a report to the Congress by January 12, 1961.

Uniformity in the preparation of the estimate was required by implication in the legislation and was desired by all States in order that equitable distribution of funds would result. A manual of instruction for the preparation of the estimate was developed by the Bureau of Public Roads, reviewed with representatives of the American Association of State Highway Officials, and field tested, and was then distributed to the States in February 1960, with the request that the individual State estimates be completed and submitted to the Bureau of Public Roads by August 1, 1960. The manual set forth design guides, the base period for unit prices to be applied, and recommended criteria for establishment of the numbers of lanes, spacing of interchanges, and other important elements affecting the design and cost of the system.

A guide for traffic forecasting was also developed by Public Roads and included in the estimate manual. Necessary to such forecasting was a study of present travel volumes and habits, and consideration of probable future population growth and movement, economic development, and changes in patterns of motor-vehicle ownership and use. Statewide forecasts and, subsequently, forecasts for each route section, had to be made by the States as a basis for determining needed capacity in terms of the number of traffic lanes and the design of interchanges required throughout the system.

The importance of a uniform and accurate estimate was fully recognized by Public Roads, and personnel in the field offices were assigned full-time to assist the States in all phases of the work. The States have cooperated fully, and at the close of the fiscal year were concentrating on preparation of the cost estimates despite the pressure of the construction season, when the workload in all State highway departments is at a peak.

A feature of this second estimate of the cost of completing the Interstate System is that the States will submit all data to Public Roads on punch cards for automatic data processing, making possible the rapid assembly and summarization of the basic data and quick comparisons between the first and the second estimates. The analysis and the summarization of the voluminous material received, and the preparation of a report to the Congress, will be undertaken during the first half of the next fiscal year.

#### Highway cost allocation study

The highway cost allocation study, required to be made by section 210 of the Highway Revenue Act of 1956, reached its final stages in the fiscal year 1960. There remained primarily the preparation of the final report, due to be presented to the Congress not later than January 3, 1961. This report will provide Congress with information on the basis of which it may determine an equitable allocation of taxes for support of the Federal-aid highway program among motor-vehicle users and other beneficiaries from improved Federal-aid highways.

A Fourth Progress Report of the Highway Cost Allocation Study (H. Doc. 355, 86th Cong., 2d sess.) was presented to the Congress on March 7, 1960. This report contained a review of the work accomplished in the three years during which the study has been in progress, and a discussion of the work remaining to be done in order to produce a final report.

An important part of the highway cost allocation study is the determination of the extent of Federal-aid highway cost responsibility that can be ascribed to other than motor-vehicle users. In the pursuance of this phase of the work a series of studies, known as economic impact studies, were made for Public Roads by various universities and research groups. Their purpose was to explore and evaluate to the extent feasible the economic consequences of highway improvements as they affect land values, land uses, and other indicators of economic change and growth. The majority of the studies were limited to analyses of the economic effects observed in the study of individual highway projects. A few studies examined groups of projects in the effort to draw generalizations from the analysis of combined results; and a few were conceptual in character, being concerned with the application of economic theory to the problem of the incidence of highway benefits.

It was felt, however, that sole reliance should not be placed on the economic impact studies for the determination of extent of nonuser responsibility for support of the Federal-aid highway program. Although illuminating as to the nature of highway benefits and the way in which highway improvements affect different sectors of the economy, they do not yield generalizations from which numerical values of the nonuser component of highway cost responsibility can be evaluated. For this reason it was decided to make use of methods of highway cost allocation that have been successfully used in studies of the subject. Two studies of this character were undertaken, the relative-use study and the earnings-credit study.

The relative-use study is based on the concept that highway cost responsibility should be allocated between user and nonuser tax sources in accordance with the extent to which different highway systems provide through-traffic service as opposed to access and neighborhood service.

The earnings-credit study is based upon a mediation between two concepts: (1) That all highway systems should receive user tax support at a rate, per vehiclemile of travel on them, adequate to support the arterial and primary road and street systems; and (2) that all road and street systems should receive nonuser taxes at a rate, per mile of road, adequate to support the tertiary system of access roads and streets. At the close of the fiscal year these two studies were well on toward completion.

The second major task of the highway cost allocation study was that of allocating motor-vehicle-user cost responsibility among vehicles of different dimensions and weights. In order to throw as much light as possible upon this difficult problem, four alternative methods of analysis were being used: the incremental method; the cost-function method; the differential benefit method; and the ton-mile method.

The incremental method is based on the concept that there are certain basic highway costs which should be shared by all vehicles on a uniform basis; and that additional increments of cost, occasioned by the presence in the traffic stream of vehicles of different sizes and weights, should be shared by the successively smaller groups of vehicles in their increasing order of size and weight. To have the benefit of the most up-to-date and accurate information on incremental designs and costs, it is necessary that the study make use of data from the AASHO Road Test (described elsewhere in this report). An important task of the next fiscal year will be that of analyzing the Road Test results and adapting them to the requirements of the incremental study.

The cost-function study sorts highway costs into three categories: (1) those thought to be affected neither by traffic volume nor by size and weight of vehicle and therefore assignable on a per-vehicle basis; (2) those varying with traffic volume but not with size and weight of vehicle and therefore assignable on a vehicle-mile basis; and (3) those varying with size and weight of vehicle and therefore assignable on a basis associated with vehicle dimensions and weight.

The differential benefit study purports to allocate cost responsibility among vehicles of different dimensions and weights in proportion to the benefits received from the highway improvement program. Four classes of user benefits are recognized: savings in operating cost; savings in time cost; savings in accident costs; and reduction in the strain and annoyance of driving under congested conditions. It is the aim of the differential benefit study to estimate the relative magnitudes of these several classes of benefits, as they accrue to the users of vehicles of different dimensions and weights as the result of improvements to the Federal-aid highway systems.

The gross ton-mile method is based on the concept that motor-vehicle responsibility for the support of the highways should be allocated in proportion to the product of operating weight and miles traveled.

The results of these four different studies will be analyzed and compared; and the findings of the study on the subject of motor-vehicle cost-responsibility allocation will be based upon a mediation among these results.

The calendar year 1964, which is in effect the middle year of the program period for the completion of the Interstate System, has been taken as the "year of study," and forecasts of travel on each of the Federal-aid highway systems in that year by vehicles of different types and weights have been prepared. Forecasts of future travel on all systems were supplied by the State highway departments, together with estimates of needs of the systems and corresponding costs. Studies of the classification of registered vehicles in 1956, and of the volume and vehicle type and weight composition of travel in 1957 on all Federal-aid systems, made possible an elaborate subdivision of the 1964 forecasts by vehicle type and weight groups.

The 1964 vehicle and travel data form the basic matrix of the elaborate computer program which is scheduled to give the results of the four cost allocation studies relating to the cost responsibilities of motor vehicles for the Federal-aid program. Analyzed results of this program will, it is hoped, supply the Congress with information leading to an equitable solution of the problem of taxation for support of the Federal-aid highway program.

575760 - 61 - 5

#### Maximum desirable vehicle sizes and weights

Section 108(k) of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1956 directed the Secretary of Commerce to make recommendations to the Congress with respect to maximum desirable dimensions and weights for vehicles operated on the Federalaid highway systems. The report is due in January 1961. Public Roads sought and received the cooperation of the American Association of State Highway Officials in developing such recommendations, to insure coordination of the recommended limits with revised policy standards of the Association.

All possible action has been taken to expedite the conduct of tests undertaken by the Highway Research Board, in which Public Roads is participating, and the findings of those and other research studies will be used in determination of the desirable maximum limits and in their economic justification.

Finally, the recommendations to be made will be reconciled with the study and investigation concerning highway cost allocation, undertaken pursuant to section 210 of the Highway Revenue Act of 1956.

#### Highway Improvements Under Direct Supervision of Public Roads

The Bureau of Public Roads, under existing legislation, receives and administers directly annual appropriations for major highways through national forests, and performs highway engineering and construction services for other Federal agencies as required by law and as may be requested for specific projects. The principal agencies receiving direct appropriations for the construction and maintenance of roads, and requesting assistance from Public Roads, include the Departments of Agriculture, Defense, and Interior. Public Roads (until near the close of fiscal year 1960) has also directly supervised all Federal-aid highway construction work in Alaska since 1956, when Federal-aid funds first became available to that area.

Under this general program, the Burean of Public Roads makes surveys, prepares plans and specifications, advertises for contract bids, and supervises construction of roads and bridges in a wide range of standards appropriate to serve the estimated traffic needs.

During the past year, improvements under the direct supervision of Public Roads were completed on 183 projects covering 942 miles and involving Federal funds totaling nearly \$62 million. The following tabulation indicates the magnitude of highway work in which Public Roads' engineering and construction services were actively engaged at the close of the year (the figures include estimated cost of work in the program, plans approved, advertised, and/or construction stage) :

Forest highways <sup>1</sup>	\$ 69, 548, 839
Alaska Federal-aid projects	12, 262, 721
Parkways	30, 688, 483
Park roads	13,999,065
Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge <sup>2</sup>	7,914,654
Bureau of Land Management roads	10, 566, 300
Forest Development roads	4, 321, 760
Department of Defense, access roads	1, 143, 019
Federal Lands highways	2, 428, 089
National Science Foundation, Kitt Peak observatory	
road	2, 455, 944
Total	\$155, 328, 874

<sup>1</sup> Excludes forest highway construction under State supervision. <sup>2</sup> Across the Potomac River below Washington, D.C.


Alamogordo-Cloudcroft Highway in New Mexico Forest Highway Route 35.

A brief coverage of the more significant activities under the direct supervision of Public Roads is presented in the following paragraphs.

## Forest highways

The forest highway system is composed of main and secondary roads within or adjacent to the national forests which comprise approximately one-tenth the area of the United States. The system, located in 40 States and in Puerto Rico, and totaling 24,623 miles in length at the close of the fiscal year, consists of individual routes of primary importance to the States, counties, and communities within or adjacent to these forest areas. Although not a wholly connected system, it represents the principal means of land transportation into and through the national forest lands. Approximately 88 percent of the forest highway system is coincident with the Federal-aid primary and secondary systems. Table 20 of the appendix shows, by forest road class, the system mileage in each State and in Puerto Rico. More than half the total system mileage is located in 13 Western States.

Approximately 84 percent of all work completed on the forest highway system during the past fiscal year was under the direct supervision of the Bureau of Public Roads. These improvements covered 339 miles and involved Federal funds totaling almost \$20 million. At the close of the year, 464 miles (similarly supervised) were under construction, involving Federal funds estimated at \$35 million.

The following described activities on some forest highway routes indicate typical improvements underway or completed during the past year.

The Cedaredge-Mesa route in Colorado, 25 miles in length, crosses the Grand Mesa in Grand Mesa National Forest. The old road was a narrow trail, widened by county maintenance. Construction to modern standards began in 1946, and during fiscal year 1960 work was completed on 6 miles, bringing the total length improved to 16 miles. Construction has been heavy due to the rugged terrain, and lakes on the mesa have caused several large slides. During the year, construction was started on a bituminous stabilized base covering the entire 16 miles improved to date.

About one-half of the 107-mile forest highway route in Idaho, coincident with State Highway 21 from the south boundary of the Boise National Forest to Stanley, has been surfaced with a bituminous pavement. The balance of the route is either unconstructed or graded to a very narrow width, requiring reconstruction to a higher standard. All of the unconstructed mileage is located in the rugged terrain of the scenic Sawtooth Mountains. Improvement of this route will benefit recreation as well as serving the mining and forest industries, and will provide an important link in the State highway network, connecting Idaho's capital city with the Stanley Basin. The distance from Boise to Missoula, Mont., will be 106 miles shorter than the present travel route. During the 1959 construction season an 18-mile paving contract was completed. The first step in improving the unconstructed section will be undertaken shortly with the letting of a 5-mile grading contract estimated to cost \$550,000.

The Holman-Taos route in New Mexico, 32 miles in length, is located in Carson National Forest. Work on this route was performed by Public Roads during the period 1929–34, but the recent reconstruction of the northerly 8 miles was the first portion completed to modern standards. Another project 6 miles in length is under construction, and an additional 6 miles is programed for fiscal year 1961. Bituminous surfacing of the northerly 8 miles is also programed for next year. Improvement of this highway will provide an all-weather route of substantial benefit to the livestock, farming, and lumbering interests of the area.

The construction and maintenance of forest highways in Alaska continued during the fiscal year under the direct supervision of Public Roads: however, maintenance of all forest highway routes was financed with Federal-aid funds in accordance with the provisions of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1956. Prior to June 30, 1960, a cooperative agreement was concluded among Public Roads, the Forest Service, and the State of Alaska, providing that responsibility for maintenance of all forest highway routes, including the full cost thereof, would be assumed by the State on July 1, 1960.

#### Public Roads Federal-aid activities in Alaska

The Bureau of Public Roads, in addition to discharging its usual administrative responsibilities, continued to perform the general functions of a State highway department in Alaska, directly supervising the survey, design, and contract construction performed under the Federal-aid program. This direct supervision, first authorized by the 1956 Federal-Aid Highway Act, was further implemented by a contract between the State of Alaska and the Bureau of Public Roads, effective July 1, 1959, which provided for the Bureau to act as the State's contractor in performing highway construction and maintenance activities on the Federal-aid system routes.

The Alaska Omnibus Act, signed into law June 25, 1959, conferred full statehood status on Alaska at the beginning of the fiscal year, together with full responsibility for the Federal-aid program on a basis comparable to that of other States. The Omnibus Act further provided that the fiscal year 1960 Federal-aid allocation, together with remaining balances of unobligated funds, apportioned for prior fiscal years, could be utilized for highway maintenance.

Public Roads activities during the latter half of the fiscal year were concentrated on assisting the State in organizing a complete highway department following a December 1959 decision by the State Department of Public Works to assume active responsibility for all construction and maintenance functions July 1, 1960. In addition to this assistance, all Bureau property and equipment not required in its normal function of administering the Federal-aid program and in the direct supervision of national forest and park projects was transferred to the State prior to the end of the fiscal year.

The Federal-aid highway system in Alaska (as of June 30, 1960) was 5,425 miles in total length, including 2,200 miles on the primary system and 3,225 miles on the secondary system. During the year, new construction or improve-

ments were completed on 152 miles of the Federal-aid system at a cost of approximately \$12.3 million. At the close of the year, construction work (under direct supervision of Public Roads) was underway on 181 miles at an estimated cost of \$37.4 million.

Due to the provision of the Alaska Omnibus Act which permitted use of fiscal year 1960 funds for maintenance, the 1960 fiscal year Federal-aid construction program was held in abeyance until the fiscal year 1961 funds were apportioned. As a consequence, no new projects of any magnitude were initiated during the year.

By agreement with the State, Public Roads continued to administer to completion all Federal-aid contracts awarded by the Bureau, most of which will be completed during the 1960 construction season. Public Roads also administered the work under seven contracts totaling \$2.1 million which were let to consulting engineering firms for surveys, investigations of materials, and highway designs.

## National park highways, park approach roads, and parkways

Funds for the construction and improvement of highways within or approaching national parks and monuments, and for parkways specifically designated by legislation, are appropriated to the Department of the Interior, and are administered under regulations jointly approved by the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Commerce. The Bureau of Public Roads collaborates with the National Park Service of the Department of the Interior in establishing systems and developing annual programs. Public Roads engineers make surveys, prepare plans, and supervise construction of major projects on these road systems.

During the fiscal year, improvements were completed on 224 miles of park roads and parkways, involving Federal funds totaling \$22 million. At the end of the year, 338 miles of improvements were under construction involving Federal funds totaling over \$35 million. Table 21 of the appendix indicates the general locations of this construction activity during the past fiscal year. Some typical improvements are briefly described in the following paragraphs.

*Blue Ridge Parkway.*—During the past year, approximately 60 miles of construction were completed on this parkway in Virginia and North Carolina at a cost of \$6.4 million. Seven new contracts estimated to cost \$2.5 million were let for construction on 41 miles. At the close of the year, 77 miles were under construction involving Federal funds totaling \$8.2 million. Of the projects completed, perhaps the James River Bridge, 55 miles northeasterly from Roanoke, was the most significant. This bridge, 1,040 feet in length, spans the James River, a railroad, and U.S. 501. The opening of this bridge made 111 consecutive miles of the parkway, from the Shenandoah National Park to U.S. 460 near Roanoke, available to the traveling public.

A total of 210 miles of the parkway was practically completed in Virginia, with only 14 miles in the vicinity of Roanoke remaining to be placed under contract. In North Carolina, completion of a grade-separation structure over U.S. 421 at Deep Gap, and completion of bituminous surfacing from this point to Mountain View, provided 156 consecutive miles of parkway open to traffic from Adney Gap, Va., to Blowing Rock, N.C. Approximately 375 miles of the parkway have been completed and opened to traffic, and it is anticipated that by 1966 the entire 477-mile length from the Shenandoah National Park to the Great Smoky Mountains National Park will have been completed.

George Washington Memorial Parkway.—This scenic parkway lies along both sides of the Potomac River in the vicinity of Washington, D.C. During the fiscal year, two grade separation structures and 5 miles of four-lane divided parkway surfacing were completed on the Virginia side of the river at a cost of \$2.1 million. These completions permitted opening the parkway extension to the Central Intelligence Agency office building, now under construction. Contracts totaling \$1.2 million were let during the year for the construction of two bridges and 1.8 miles of grading and base course which will extend the parkway from near the CIA building to a connection with the Washington Circumferential Highway. The widening of a short section of the parkway north of Washington National Airport to six lanes was substantially complete at the close of the year. On the Maryland side of the Potomac, 4 miles of grading were completed at a cost of \$1.1 million, and 4 more miles were being graded at a cost of \$2.3 million.

Natchez Trace Parkway.—Considerable progress has been made on this parkway, located in Mississippi, Alabama, and Tennessee. During the fiscal year, 13 projects were completed on 74 miles at a cost of approximately \$4.4 million. Fifteen new projects, estimated to cost \$6 million, were let to contract. Two of these provide for the substructure and the structural steel superstructure for a new bridge over the Tennessee River in Alabama. At the close of the year, 95 miles were under construction involving \$8.9 million of Federal funds.

Yosemite National Park.—During the fiscal year, grading was completed on a 20-mile section of Tioga Road located in this California park, replacing an unimproved hazardous section of one-way road. Surfacing will be accomplished in the 1960 construction season. The total cost of constructing this section to modern standards will be about \$4.5 million. This work will complete the improvement of this route, other sections of which were constructed during 1932-39.

### Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge

Construction on the \$15-million Woodrow Wilson Memorial Bridge across the Potomac River just south of Washington, D.C., progressed steadily during the year. This 5,900-foot bridge, when completed, will serve as a vital link in the Washington Circumferential Highway. The approach substructures and the piers and fenders for the channel spans were completed at a cost of \$5 million. Contracts totaling \$1.7 million were let for construction of the upper portions of the bascule piers, the concrete deck, and the bridge railing. Work on erection of the steel superstructure on the approach and channel spans progressed during the year. Completion of the work now under contract will be followed by contracts for paving, lighting, and painting. The structure is scheduled for opening to traffic by early fall of 1961.

#### **Bureau of Land Management roads**

Public Roads continued to cooperate with the Bureau of Land Management of the Department of the Interior in its program of road construction in Oregon by making surveys, preparing plans, and supervising the construction of roads providing access to areas for logging operations. During the year, construction was completed on 57 miles at a cost of over \$2 million. At the close of the year, 150 miles were under construction with contracts totaling \$5.2 million. Typical of this construction was the 10-mile section of grading and surfacing of the Suislaw River Road and Whittaker Creek Road which was completed recently for a cost of \$325,366.

Since neither the roads constructed under Public Roads supervision nor the feeder roads constructed by logging companies are on a county or State road system, necessary maintenance operations are performed by Public Roads as requested by the Bureau of Land Management. During the past year, Public Roads maintained 247 miles of roads constructed under its supervision and 320 miles of feeder roads constructed by others, at a cost of \$373,000.

### Forest development roads

At the request of the Forest Service, Public Roads makes surveys, prepares plans, and supervises construction of roads within national forests which are of primary importance in the protection, administration, and utilization of the forests; or which are necessary for the use and development of the resources upon which the communities within or adjacent to the national forests are dependent. During the fiscal year, construction was completed on 91 miles involving \$5.8 million of Federal funds. At the close of the year, 48 miles were under construction at an estimated cost of \$3.3 million.

Construction on the Agness Road in the Siskiyou National Forest was typical of this work. This road will serve the logging industry, with some benefit to sportsmen and recreational traffic. All of the 32-mile route lies within the mountainous terrain of the Coast Range, and slides and unusual drainage problems make construction difficult. Low design standards provide for a surfaced roadbed 20 feet wide on approximately 11 miles of the section along the Rogue River, and for one 12-foot lane with turnouts on the remainder. Four grading projects totaling 18 miles in length were let to contract at a cost of \$1.5 million. Two of these projects had been completed and one was nearing completion. Construction of four bridges, totaling approximately 1,400 feet in length, were required on the route.

## **Public lands highways**

Federal-aid Acts since 1950 have provided funds to assist the States in developing main roads through unappropriated or unreserved public lands, nontaxable Indian lands, or other Federal reservations. These funds have been allocated on the basis of need to specific projects in 14 States. The \$3 million authorized for the fiscal year 1961 was allocated to seven projects.

One of the larger projects was for improvement of the Lewis and Clark Highway in Idaho between Kooskia and Lolo Pass at the Montana State line. Completion of this and previous work undertaken with public lands funds will provide a modern highway following the route traversed by Lewis and Clark in their exploratory trip from St. Louis to the Pacific Ocean.

#### Defense access, replacement, and maneuver roads

During the fiscal year, funds transferred to Public Roads by the Department of Defense for highway projects serving defense installations included \$1,193,000 from the Department of the Army, \$1,940,344 from the Department of the Navy, and \$17,121,396 from the Department of the Air Force—a total of \$20,254,740. This increased the total funds transferred by these three departments since fiscal year 1956 to \$70,579,537. The National Aeronautics and Space Administration also transferred \$589,620 to finance right-of-way and construction of one project. All transfers by defense agencies, including the Atomic Energy Commission, for defense access, replacement, and maneuver roads since the beginning of the Korean emergency totaled \$131,429,024.

During the fiscal year, 91 projects serving defense installations were programed at a total estimated cost of \$16,897,160 of which \$16,540,121 was provided by defense agencies. This includes preliminary engineering in the amount of \$151,135 on seven defense projects having a total estimated construction cost of \$2,3 million. At the end of the year there were 40 unfinanced projects having a total estimated cost of \$24.1 million and requiring \$21.6 million of defense accessroad funds which had been certified as important to the national defense or referred to the Department of Defense for certification. The Bureau of Public Roads was evaluating 58 other projects.

The major portion of this activity during the year was for access roads to Air Force Atlas and Titan missile sites at 15 air bases. The needs of 83 different sites were evaluated. The total estimated cost of access roads at the 52 sites requiring improvements was \$7.9 million. At the close of the year 39 sites were under study.

## New standard specifications for construction

At the close of the fiscal year, revision of Public Roads' Standard Specifications for Construction of Roads and Bridges on Federal Highway Projects was in the final stages of preparation and was expected to be printed in time for use in preparing plans for the next construction season. The new specifications edition, designated as FP-60, will supersede the old FP-57. The revised specifications represent the coordinated efforts of Public Roads, other Federal agencies, and national technical and industrial associations affiliated with highway construction which contributed through constructive criticisms.

## Highway Planning and Design

Public Roads engineers continued to work closely with the State highway departments in resolving difficult highway design problems, particularly those encountered on the Interstate System in urban areas. As a large number of freeway sections were completed and traffic operations were observed, it became increasingly evident that extreme care was necessary in the selection of appropriate interchange types and their proper spacing to avoid operational difficulties and to provide adequately but economically for Interstate and local traffic.

Construction plans showed increasing use of the design of rural divided highways as separate one-way roadways with a variable-width median fitted to the terrain, providing economy of construction and maintenance, reduction of headlight glare, and safety for high-speed traffic. Public Roads design engineers working with the States on project plans continued the analysis, trial, and evaluation of new or adjusted design features that had promise of greater safety, better operations, or greater economy. As such features were proven, they were incorporated in design guides and standards to promote general uniform use across the country under similar design and traffic conditions. Design review of highway plans, interchange layouts, and standards resulted in the development of mutually acceptable design proposals which will effect a minimum of property damage and inconvenience to local interests consistent with good engineering practice, and sound economic principles to provide highways that will safely and efficiently handle the estimated traffic.

#### Urban planning

The determination of location and design details for major street and freeway developments in urban and suburban areas involves detailed technical processes, parts of which are direct steps in overall city planning. While considerable progress has been made toward accomplishing these proper planning steps, work on the Interstate and other urban system highways made it evident in some cases that desired "regional urban area" planning processes were in need of additional attention in order to insure that arterial highways are located to provide the maximum economic benefit to the metropolitan area and permit the highway program to proceed without delay.

In addition to the continuing series of traffic and other urban research studies to obtain data for planning urban highways, Public Roads focused attention during the year on the need for early accomplishment of cooperative planning work between the States and cities. A general objective is the attainment of a current and realistic urban arterial highway plan for each urban area, cooperatively developed and endorsed by all agencies concerned, upon which the Federal-aid system can be identified for development of construction projects. Public Roads engineers were actively assisting the States and cities in the attainment of this objective.

A great deal of urban community planning has already been done, but comprehensive information was not available on such accomplishments. Consequently, Public Roads initiated an inventory of urban planning during the year, with indicated priority for all places of over 25,000 population and those over 10,000 on the Interstate System. Data from the inventory will permit evaluation of the specific planning problems and determination of further steps to be taken.

Public Roads has also been closely associated with many urban studies. Through the Statewide highway planning program, using the 1½-percent Federal-aid highway funds earmarked for planning and research, highway and transportation studies were being organized or operated by the States in cooperation with local governments in a large number of urban areas, including Salt Lake City, Jacksonville, Honolulu, Atlanta, Ann Arbor, Benton Harbor-St. Joseph, New Orleans, Joplin, Ypsilanti, Philadelphia-Camden, Fayetteville, High Point, N.C., Portland, Oreg., Sharon-Farrell, Pa., Providence, Chattanooga-Nashville, Houston, Green Bay, Huntsville, Florence-Sheffield-Tuscumbia, Ala., Tucson-Pima County, Ariz., Denver, and Little Rock.

In addition, metropolitan area transportation studies were established on a continuing basis in Detroit, Chicago, Minneapolis-St. Paul, Pittsburgh, and Washington, D.C. It is contemplated that the Philadelphia-Camden regional transportation study will also be on a continuing basis.

Preliminary action had been taken in organizing a cooperative Public Roads-State-local government transportation study of the northern New Jersey area.

There has been continuing improvement in cooperation between Public Roads and the Housing and Home Finance Agency. This has been particularly fruitful in connection with activities of the Urban Renewal Administration in urban renewal and planning. Cooperation at the field level among Public Roads, Urban Renewal, and State highway department personnel is resulting in economy in right-of-way acquisition and construction.

Highway planning studies and research results, discussed elsewhere in this report, have been instrumental in developing procedures for the more effective study of interrelationships of land use and transportation planning for urban areas.

#### **Design** policies

Work was continued during the year by the American Association of State Highway Officials, with Public Roads cooperation, on development of additional design guides and policies. Work was completed on and the AASHO adopted and published A Guide on Lighting Controlled Access Highways, A Policy on U-Turn Median Openings on Freeways, A Guide for Preparing Private Driveway Regulations for Major Highways, and a revision of the 1952 Informational Report on Road-User Benefit Analyses for Highway Improvements. The guide on lighting summarizes available data and opinions on highway conditions where illumination is likely to be warranted and outlines the type and extent of lighting that should be considered. The policy on U-turn median openings indicated the type, location, and extent to which such openings should be provided on freeways for exclusive use by official and emergency vehicles. The guide for driveway regulations outlines the principles that should be included in a specific State or local regulation and lists a range of typical values for layout controls of driveways. Both the safety and efficiency of highway traffic and the interests of the abutting landowners are considered. The road-user benefit analysis report includes revised unit cost tables based on current prices for the items included in the costs of vehicle operations.

575760 - 61 - 6

Work was completed on a proposed policy on access between controlled-access highways and adjacent existing railroads for rubber-tired railroad service and maintenance equipment in areas where the freeway is the only highway approach. Work continued on studies toward guides on control of headlight glare on divided highways and on services to motorists and patroling on Interstate highways. Preliminary studies for revised primary system highway standards were started.

During the year a special AASHO freeway study and analysis committee composed of six experts of whom two were from Public Roads, made on-site inspections of freeway operation to determine how designs now in use affect freeway utility and safety. The report of this committee was completed and is being used extensively to improve freeway design.

### Pavement design

Considerable progress was made by Public Roads in cooperation with the AASHO operating committee on design in the development of recommended suides for the design of pavement structures. They will become part of a handbook on basic concepts of pavement design, including practical methods of evaluating traffic loads, the supporting power of soils, and the strength of pavement structures. Data obtained from the AASHO Road Test in Illinois will be used in developing the guides.

# Bridge Design

Construction by the State highway departments of a number of noteworthy bridges, whose plans had been reviewed by Public Roads, was underway or about to start at the end of the year. Among them were the New Albany-Louisville Bridge over the Ohio River which will have two 800-foot tied arch spans with two decks for opposite directional traffic. All truss members and ties are to be high-strength steel welded box sections. The Calcasieu River Bridge in Louisiana will be a four-lane structure with a central high section consisting of a three-span all-welded deck plate girder with a 450-foot center span. The Taunton River Bridge in Massachusetts will have a three-span through cantilever truss with a center span of 840 feet. The two trusses will be 101 feet apart to provide for six lanes of traffic. The Merrimac River Bridge in Massachusetts is being built as a two-level structure, each with two 36-foot roadways and a median divider, to meet heavy traffic needs and unusual site conditions. Different span lengths are being used in the two levels to allow for bearing and pier arrangement. The lower level is shorter than the upper, permitting on and off ramps within the upper structure limits.

Also of interest among the State highway department projects in which Public Roads collaborated were two subaqueous tunnels. The 4,200-foot Estuary Tube, linking Oakland and Alameda, Calif., will function as a twin unit to the existing Posey Tube and is to be constructed by precast section trench method.

An unusual bridge was completed during the year across Portage Lake in Michigan, providing a highway-railroad connection to the Keweenaw Peninsula. The 1,310-foot structure's \$11 million cost was financed by the State, Federalaid urban funds, and the U.S. Corps of Engineers. The double-deck bridge carries a railroad on its lower level and U.S. 41, with current traffic of 11,000 vehicles per day, on the 4-lane upper level. Normally the 250-foot lift span's lower deck is level with the upper deck of the approach spans, providing highway service and a 34-foot vertical navigation clearance. For rail traffic the lift span is lowered, and highway traffic uses its upper deck; there is still sufficient clearance for small craft. Fully raised, the lift span has a 100-foot clearance



This double-deck bridge across Portage Lake in Michigan carries both rail and highway traffic. Service will be interrupted far less often than on the old, narrow swing-span bridge which appears in the background.

for large ships. The old, replaced structure was only 18 feet wide and its swing span had to be opened for all small craft, causing frequent traffic stoppage.

During the year Public Roads completed a compilation of new bridge construction during calendar years 1957–59 listing by States and by highway system the number, length, and cost, and the percentage constructed of concrete, structural steel, and prestressed concrete.

A study was undertaken of present practice in bridge railing construction, and it was found that great improvement could be made in their effectiveness as barriers for the protection of traffic. Recommended criteria were developed for this purpose, covering the use of materials having high impact resistance and methods of construction which will make the railing assembly effective in collisions more as a unit than as a group of separate parts.

Public Roads in cooperation with the American Association of State Highway Officials committee on bridges and structures, developed a *Criteria for the Design of Sign Supports* which has been presented for consideration as a specification. To determine appropriate wind forces on signs, the Weather Bureau made a statistical study of wind records and developed a map of wind speeds based on probability data. Application of the wind speeds is made by a conversion table to determine wind pressure. This method of wind analysis is unique and is a more valid approach than the use of extreme winds of record. Sign supports are to be designed, according to the criteria, at stress levels considerably higher than those of the AASHO Bridge Specifications, and will use materials and shapes novel in highway structures. Development of the design methods for sign supports resulted from extensive investigation of various analytical methods and study of the properties of different materials with the view of making economy compatible with safety.



Interstate Route 89 will cross the Winooski River, U.S. 2, and a railroad on this twin bridge in Vermout, west of Montpelier.

The American Society for Testing Materials has approved two new steel specifications as tentative standards: A-36, a medium manganese structural steel with 36,000 p.s.i. yield point, and a high-strength low-alloy manganese vanadium steel with yield points up to 50,000 p.s.i. Public Roads assisted in developing these standards. Heat treated alloy steels with yield point values of 90,000 to 100,000 were in use in some States for long-span trusses and welded girders.

A revised edition of the American Welding Society Bridge Specifications was being prepared for publication. The Steel Structures Painting Council completed preliminary drafts of recommendations for painting over welds and for the painting of rusty steel. Public Roads participated in these projects.

During the year a Hydraulic and Hydrological Branch was established in Public Roads' Office of Engineering to review unusual hydraulic design features shown on construction plans, analyze and establish hydraulic design criteria for application in the solution of particular hydraulic problems related to highways, and provide advisory services on hydraulic design to the State highway departments and the Public Roads field offices.

Public Roads participation in research in structural problems connected with bridges continued during the year, with projects in progress at the Universities of Arkansas, Florida, Illinois, Missouri, and Washington: Cornell, Lehigh, and Northwestern Universities; and Texas A. & M. College. These involved research in prestressed concrete, high-strength steel bars for concrete reinforcement, welded plate girders, riveted and bolted joints, experimental bridge truss behavior, and aluminum alloy bridges. Based on information from the research at Lehigh University on the strength of welded plate girders, Public Roads prepared a new specification for an economical design of girders which has been submitted to the AASHO for consideration.

## **Right-of-Way** Acquisition

Public Roads, by the addition at the field office level of personnel experienced in the acquisition of rights-of-way and by the holding of numerous meetings with State personnel, has worked closely with the State highway departments to develop procedures for the efficient and expeditions handling of right-of-way matters. These improved procedures prevent to a great extent delays in the start of construction due to the unavailability of right-of-way. In the meetings with the States, among other things, stress has been placed on the participation of rightof-way personnel in highway location and design activities at the planning stages. Many of the States have adopted such procedures, which have resulted in considerable monetary saving. The close liaison between right-of-way personnel and the location and design engineers is of particular importance where construction items are used in mitigation of severance and other damages.

## Outdoor Advertising Control on the Interstate System

No State had yet entered into an agreement with the Secretary of Commerce to control outdoor advertising in areas adjacent to the Interstate System and thus receive the increased share of the cost of construction of Interstate highways provided in section 131 of title 23, United States Code. A large number of States, however, were considering legislation designed to give the State highway departments legal authority to control outdoor advertising consistent with the national standards promulgated by the Secretary of Commerce, and to enter into the prescribed agreement. Five States had enacted legislation which would appear to fulfill the requirements, but only Maryland had officially applied for an agreement. At the end of the year, the form and contents of the agreement were being formulated and reviewed by Public Roads and the State.

## Utility Relocation

Public Roads, in cooperation with the several State highway agencies and various groups representing segments of the utility industry, continued during the year to develop practices, policies, and procedures to improve and expedite the administrative and operational activities associated with the relocation and accommodation of utilities necessitated by highway construction projects on the Federal-aid systems. The American Association of State Highway Officials developed, with Public Roads assistance, and adopted *A Policy on the Accommodation of Utilities on the National System of Interstate and Defense Highways*, which has been well accepted by both the utility industry and the highway agencies. This policy provides the general principles to be followed in the adjustment or relocation of utility facilities to protect the stability of the highway, preserve access control, increase highway safety, and provide uniformity of treatment, but with recognition to the public interest in avoiding unnecessary and costly operations to utility owners and consumers.

Establishment of utility engineer positions in the Public Roads field offices and participation in the activities of the AASHO utility liaison committee have strengthened liaison between Public Roads, the State highway departments, and the utility industry.

## **Highway Roadside Development**

Public Roads continued to encourage the State highway departments to coordinate engineering requirements with landscape architectural objectives in highway design. The American Association of State Highway Officials, with Public Roads cooperation, completed a policy on landscape development for the National System of Interstate and Defense Highways. The policy deals with improvement of right-of-way through conservation of landscape features and appropriate roadside planting to provide protection against erosion, increased safety, improved appearance, reduced maintenance, and preservation of land values.

During the year Public Roads specialists cooperated with the State highway departments and industry in the development of new materials and improved methods of application for controlling erosion on highway slopes. Field demonstrations of new products of the paper, jute, fertilizer, and chemical industries offering possibilities for further reduction in the cost of mulching and seeding were observed. Such cooperative work has already resulted in development of power equipment for application of these special materials on the extensive slopes frequently found along divided highways.

Studies were continued in the use of woody plants for roadside cover and in the design of planting on slopes too steep for mowing, in order to provide a natural cover for control of erosion with a minimum of maintenance.

## Aerial and Ground Surveys

Public Roads specialists in aerial surveys and photogrammetry continued to concentrate on improving techniques for establishing basic and supplemental control and for map compilation, and on developing new methods. Several papers on technical aspects of photogrammetry were prepared, including one for the International Society for Photogrammetry. An effective and efficient electronic, photogrammetric, digital scaler was developed, tested, and put into operation by a Public Roads field office for measurement and automatic recording of profile and cross sections from stereoscopic models projected by the double projection type photogrammetric instruments.

Reconnaissance surveys were performed or started as consultant service or special assistance to several of the States and several foreign countries. As an example, one technician spent a month in Costa Rica assisting the Ministry of Public Works in their photogrammetric work. Extensive consultation services were performed during the year for the State of Alaska in preparing and negotiating consultant contracts for aerial surveys and location and design of 320 miles of highway routes. Training courses in photogrammetry and aerial surveys and their adaptation to highway engineering were provided for 97 Public Roads and State engineers during the year.

Beginning in 1957, Virginia and California were the first States to employ electronic methods of measuring distances in the accomplishment of basic ground control surveys for the establishment of geodetic markers in conjunction with highway location surveying by aerial methods. Twelve other States have tested and used such instruments for control surveying purposes, especially on the Interstate System. Extensive tests in cooperation with Public Roads were concluded and reported by Arizona, Maryland, and Mississippi during the year. These tests were performed under a wide range of geographic, climatic, and traffic conditions. Accuracies well above second order requirements (1:10,000) were achieved. Costs of basic surveys for highway location purposes were reduced by these new methods to one-half the costs of conventional traverse and triangulation methods.

During the year, Public Roads produced a motion picture, *Safety in Highway Surreying*, which demonstrates safety practices for ground survey crews working in rough country and on highway construction projects.

## Highway Needs of the National Defense

Continuing coordination between Public Roads and the Department of Defense was maintained relative to highway needs for national defense. During the fiscal year, following extensive study and consideration, the standard for vertical clearance of structures on the Interstate System in rural areas was changed from 14 to 16 feet at the request of the Department of Defense to permit road transport of missiles, and the selection of routes with 16-foot vertical clearance around large urban places was also coordinated with the Department of Defense. Studies were initiated to select other routes with 16-foot vertical clearance, connecting Interstate routes and port areas designated by the Department of Defense.

Studies were also made to determine the capability of bridges to carry proposed oversized, overweight military vehicles, and their transportability as related to approved highway design standards.

The program for improvement of defense access, replacement, and maneuver roads is discussed elsewhere in this report.

### **Emergency planning and mobilization readiness**

During the year Public Roads continued to advance its emergency planning with the cooperation of the State highway departments, and achieved substantial results in genuine readiness to meet a grave national emergency which would arise from an enemy attack. The American Association of State Highway Officials gave considerable attention to the subject at its annual meeting, and adopted resolutions which, when integrated with the standing policies of the Association, will help establish a uniform approach throughout the highway field to the problem of emergency planning.

A number of State highway departments instituted programs for training their personnel in radiological monitoring. At the end of the year the States and Public Roads had 224 instructors and 4,208 trained monitors in this field.

In Operation Alert 1960, Public Roads requested and received excellent cooperation from the State highway departments in dealing with the simulated problems an enemy nuclear attack would create with respect to highways. The exercise was developed at lower echelons in some highway department organizations, with the result that many more of their employees were made aware of the nature of the problems. In one State, for instance, 100 State highway department employees were occupied, full time, in the exercise. The operation more clearly identified a number of organizational problems as requiring remedial action.

## Highway Safety

Public Roads continued its activities in the study and promotion of highway safety during the year. A variety of research projects related to the subject are described elsewhere in this report. The Highway Safety Study Unit, created to prepare the safety study reported to Congress last year, has been assigned responsibility for the study and investigation of highway safety throughout the United States and the coordination of Public Roads and other programs concerned with the subject.

In cooperation with the National Safety Council committee on winter driving hazards, Public Roads produced an educational film, *Winter Driving*, which illustrates safe winter driving techniques for starting, stopping, and cornering on snow and ice, and on avoiding or recovering from skids. The 16-mm. film, in color and sound, runs for 24 minutes. It is appropriate for driver education classes, off-the-job safety programs, and civic gatherings. The film is available to Federal agencies and State highway departments through the Bureau

of Public Roads, and to others through the National Safety Council, 425 North Michigan Ave., Chicago 11, III.

Public Roads continued to cooperate closely with the President's Committee for Traffic Safety, providing part of its staff and financing. During the year the Committee's Advisory Council approved a thorough review of the balanced Action Program, with updating as necessary. Technical committees have undertaken this work. Another major project underway was the development of a 5-year traffic safety plan as a long-range guide to all organizations and for more effective cooperation among all those with traffic safety interests and responsibilities.

A highlight of the year was the Committee-sponsored Lawyer-Layman Conference at Miami Beach, Fla., held in cooperation with the American Bar Association to spur improvement of traffic court procedure and upgrading of those courts. Over 1,500 delegates from 43 States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam attended. Other important Committee activities included sponsoring of four regional Women's Traffic Safety Seminars; active participation in a series of regional Governors' conferences; and at year's end the 52d Annual Governors' Conference where special emphasis was given to the need for stepped-up traffic safety research.

## Administration and Management

Progress was made during the year on the comprehensive financial management improvement program begun during the previous year. Planned objectives reached included conversion of accounting to an accrual basis; development of proposed cost accounting and cost-based budgeting patterns; improvement of internal accounting and auditing procedures; and elimination of duplications in recording and reporting. Increased emphasis was placed on cooperation with State highway organizations in the application of uniform accounting and reporting practices. Professional accounting positions were established in the Public Roads field offices.

Significant steps were taken during the year to meet the demands for automatic data processing in Public Roads engineering, research, financial management, and administrative programs. An advisory committee was established to provide leadership and coordination in development and integration of data processing systems under a master plan. Studies were made of up-to-date electronic computer systems and plans were formulated for selection of additional equipment to augment the equipment now installed in the central office. Increased emphasis was placed on utilization of the staff of trained programers in the critical areas of analysis and development of data processing programs, including systems design and feasibility studies. A training program was planned to develop a corps of automatic data processing specialists among Public Roads operating personnel. Substantial benefits accruing as a result of these efforts indicated satisfactory progress toward the long-range objective of maximum application of electronic computer systems and techniques to the program needs of Public Roads and the State highway organizations.

Progress was made in development and issuance of administrative manual material during the year. The manual will provide a complete body of administrative operating procedures and is expected to result in improved administration.

## **Development of New Practices**

### **Electronic** computers

Public Roads representatives reviewed the engineering and administrative operations of the Massachusetts, New York, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia State highway departments and made specific recommendations for more effective use of their electronic computers and related equipment. A similar study of the District of Columbia Department of Highways and Traffic was underway.

The General Electric Co. and the Bureau of Public Roads developed a complex of electronic computer programs for assignment of traffic to urban networks, which will aid in optimum design of metropolitan area street and freeway facilities for anticipated future traffic. The programs were used during the year in transportation studies in a number of cities, including Washington, D.C., Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn., and Charleston, W. Va. Advice was furnished to Ohio State University for use of these programs in urban studies in that State. At the end of the year, conversion of these programs to the Public Roads computer library form was nearing completion.

During the fiscal year, 52 new programs were added to the Public Roads computer program library, bringing the total to 348 programs covering all phases of highway engineering. Six programs were converted to universally usable form, making a total of 27 available to State highway departments and other computer users; and 4 additional programs were being-converted. Programs in this universal form are readily codable for any make or model of computer, thus eliminating duplication of effort in program development.

For example, the analysis of rigid frame bridge piers is a complex design problem which is frequently faced in most State highway departments and highway consulting firms. A comprehensive computer program for the solution of this problem, covering various loading conditions and pier configurations, was converted to the universal form and distributed. Many man-years of effort were saved by users, through adaptation of this universal program for pier analysis to the different types of computers in common use. At the same time, much earlier use of the computer for this type of problem was made possible.

A short training course on the use of electronic computers for highway and bridge engineering computations was conducted for engineers of the Eastern National Forest and Parks Region, which increased use of computer work in that region.

Data sheets were designed to facilitate the submission of data for electronic computations of a number of types of engineering problems. Several bridge design computer analyses were made for Public Roads offices, demonstrating the effectiveness of computer methods.

A computer program was developed and used for the computation of earthwork quantities for 60 miles of proposed highway in Laos. Electronic computation of earthwork quantities on 170 miles of the Inter-American Highway in Costa Rica demonstrated the substantial savings in time and manpower possible. These operations served as excellent tests of the feasibility of obtaining terrain data for a highway by aerial photography in one part of the world and computerprocessing of the data in another part of the world with a minimum of engineering time on the proposed highway site.

### **Equipment** development

Public Roads continued to encourage the development and use of improved and new equipment for highway construction, maintenance, and operation.

Some time ago, Public Roads obtained the cooperation of equipment manufacturers and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for the purpose of testing motor graders at Fort Belvoir, Va. With the results of the tests as a basis, a new Federal interim specification for motor graders was completed near the end of the fiscal year and distributed to Federal agencies. As a result of these tests some manufacturers have already made improvements to their equipment to bring them up to acceptable standards, which will benefit not only Federal

575760 - 61 - 7

agencies but also all highway departments and contractors who purchase and use motor graders.

Public Roads continued to evaluate and consult with industry on further improvements to the slip-form concrete paver and, based upon these findings, has promoted the use of this paving method. One improvement consists of the installation of an electronic control device which automatically guides the slipform paver in laying the finished surface on the proper line and grade. This device has also been incorporated on a subgrade planer. The control device is guided by a piano wire which has been set to line and grade and can be used successfully for controlling the subgrade as well as the base and surface courses. thus eliminating the cost of restaking for each operation. Because the subgrade can be planed to a truer surface with this new development, the equipment for laying the base and surface courses operates on an even surface and a smoother riding pavement results. A section of pavement placed in California with the slip-form payer and checked with the profilograph showed variations of only 6 inches per mile, as compared with a variation of 7 inches allowed by the specifications. The results of these improvements are an accelerated construction rate, greater economy, and improved quality. This automatic electronic control device was also available for motor graders and in the near future it will probably be adapted to bituminous spreaders and other equipment.

Studies made by Public Roads showed wide variations in the requirements in the specifications of the various highway departments for compaction of highway embankments as well as base and surface courses. In this connection, a conference was arranged by Public Roads and was attended by representatives of equipment manufacturers, tire manufacturers, the Highway Research Board, and national associations of the highway industry. The conference discussions pointed out that improvements could and should be made in compaction criteria so that greater uniformity can be attained. Work was progressing toward this end on a continuing basis.

Manufacturers were more active in holding consultations with Public Roads regarding the self-propelled pneumatic rollers coming into wider use for compaction. Some of these carry an air tank for adjusting the pressure in the pneumatic tires to meet varying conditions in compacting highway embankments, bases, and surface courses.

A demonstration of a new type of wrecker was arranged by Public Roads for highway and police officials. The wrecker, developed by industry, features a sliding-tilting bed on a standard truck chassis and is designed to carry rather than tow disabled vehicles. This type of equipment should reduce the hazards of towing disabled vehicles, particularly at high speed.

### Nuclear energy

The Nuclear Energy Branch established in Public Roads last year made an extensive study of industrial applications of nuclear energy in use by some 1,800 firms and compiled a list of 26 potential applications for highway work which was presented to the Atomic Energy Commission for evaluation. In all but two instances the reply was favorable. In most cases it seemed possible that equipment now in use by industry and commercially available could be utilized, with only slight modification and a small amount of development work.

During the year the Michigan State Highway Department reported that the moisture density gage using radioactive material, which it had been testing on highway construction projects, had shown such close correlation with the results of normal tests that the gage had been turned over to the operating forces for use on highway projects. With this gage, the density and moisture content in compacted embankments can be determined in a fraction of the time formerly required and without disturbing the compacted surface.

Steps were taken to initiate cooperative work with several highway departments and universities for the study of a radioisotope gage to measure the thickness of traffic paint, of a sign made luminous by nuclear radiation, and of a gage for measuring the asphalt content and thickness of portland cement concrete and bituminous pavements.

### **Procedures and operations**

Studies made by Public Roads and others have shown the need for improving the criteria for compaction and for the mixing time of portland cement and bituminous concrete mixes. Joint committees of the American Road Builders Association and the American Association of State Highway Officials were organized for this purpose and included Public Roads personnel. Three regional meetings of the joint committee on compaction were held during the year.

Public Roads has noted that highway department specifications require mixing times for portland cement concrete ranging from 50 to 120 seconds. Research mentioned elsewhere in this report has shown that mixing beyond 60 seconds is not warranted by any improvement in quality. During the fiscal year, several highway departments revised their mixing time requirements to conform more nearly to the findings of research. This can effect further economies in highway construction. An analysis made by Public Roads during the year showed that decreasing the mixing time from 90 seconds to 60 seconds could save 92 cents per cubic yard in the cost of concrete production.

An increasing trend continued to develop in the use of end result specifications where feasible for highway construction. This trend will foster further economies in the highway program by allowing contractors to use their ingenuity to a greater degree and to employ the most modern equipment on highway projects.

The use of radio by highway departments, contractors, material suppliers, and the Bureau of Public Roads continued to expand during the fiscal year. Through membership in the American Association of State Highway Officials committee on the use of radio in highway departments, Public Roads actively participated in developing policies and procedures which would foster increased benefits from radio in the highway departments.

At the request of the Association of State Highway Officials of the North Atlantic States, Public Roads assisted in organizing a regional radio committee within the Association. Policies and procedures governing the coordination of frequency assignments were suggested and adopted by the committee, which was actively coordinating the assignment of frequencies for all highway departments within the North Atlantic States.

The establishment by the Federal Communications Commission of a new Special Industrial Radio Service with an allocation of radio frequencies for industrial use, has encouraged contractors, material suppliers, and others in the highway industry to take further advantage of the benefits of radio communication in their operations. The closer coordination of operations that is possible through use of radio facilities results in greater efficiency on highway projects.

Authorizations were obtained from the Federal Interdepartmental Radio Advisory Committee to expand Public Roads' own use of radio communication. These cover the operation of traffic survey equipment, expansion and conversion of facilities in Alaska, and equipment for use on Federal projects in the western States.

## **Experimental projects**

In cooperation with State highway departments and others, Public Roads continued to sponsor experimental projects. During the year, 240 projects involving 40 experimental features were active. Minimum design criteria for continuously reinforced concrete payements were established and the development of a guide specification for rubberized asphalt was underway.

# **AASHO Road Test**

Previous annual reports have recorded the significant facts concerning the largest highway research project ever undertaken—the AASHO Road Test being conducted near Ottawa, III. The project, now estimated to cost \$25 million, is being administered by the Highway Research Board for the American Association of State Highway Officials and is supported by the State highway departments, the Automobile Manufacturers Association, the American Petroleum Institute, the American Institute of Steel Construction, the Department of Defense, the Bureau of Public Roads, and other agencies, providing grants or contributed services. The widespread cooperation stems from the mutual desire of the participating agencies to derive objective answers to questions concerning road-vehicle relations.

Essentially, the test is a study of the behavior of both portland cement and asphaltic concrete road pavements of different thickness and layer composition, and of bridges of varied design, when subjected to traffic of controlled weights applied at uniform rates. An 8-mile section of a four-lane divided, future Interstate route in Illinois is connected by large turnarounds to form a series of test loops. The 836 separate test sections randomly located in the 10 test lanes provide a wide range of pavement structures being subjected to an equally wide range of controlled single and tandem axle loadings. The entire test facility, constructed with precision and uniformity in keeping with the major objective of directly relating pavement thickness to load supporting ability, constitutes an immense field research laboratory.

At the close of the fiscal year, some 800,000 axle-load applications had been made in each test lane and altogether the test vehicles had traveled nearly 12 million miles since they started on October 15, 1958. Initially, test traffic was planned for a period of 2 years and it was anticipated that over a million axleload applications would be made on surviving test sections. Early in the fiscal year, it became apparent that the planned schedule would not meet these expectations and that financial considerations would compel stopping test traffic on June 30, 1960.

As a result of recommendations made in October 1959 by a special AASHO committee, additional State contributions were provided; and in January 1960 a 7-day-week schedule was started and 48 additional test vehicles were put into operation. Test traffic is scheduled to end on November 30, 1960, and it is expected that total load applications in excess of a million will have been attained in the 2-year period.

While no conclusions will be publicly available prior to final analysis of the millions of items of recorded behavior data, important trends were becoming evident and it is readily apparent that significant findings are in the making. From the research investment will come important new knowledge of pavement and bridge behavior, as well as significant contributions to the art of highway design, the allocation of highway cost responsibility, and the regulation and economy of highway usage.

## **Highway Planning Research**

## Traffic volume, classification, and weight information

Traffic data from more than 1,400 continuous-count stations in all States and from other traffic studies were analyzed during the past year. Highway travel increased by 5 percent during the year, reestablishing the general post World War II trend which had been retarded during the previous 24 months, with annual increases below 3 percent. The travel increase on rural roads was 5.1 percent, slightly more than the 5-percent increase on city streets.

The increased use of small passenger cars was indicated by limited information from 16 States, which showed that 4 percent of all passenger-car travel was by vehicles smaller than the "standard" American passenger car. Plans were made to obtain more comprehensive information.

Special information concerning the weights and dimensions of vehicles was collected by the States and analyzed as part of the annual truck weight survey. This additional information was obtained for use by the American Association of State Highway Officials in formulating a revised policy concerning maximum sizes and weights.

The reliability of the rate of change of traffic volumes on rural roads was tested by statistical methods in three States. The efficiency of traffic counting operations in four States was tested statistically, in a continuing study of improvement of traffic counting procedures.

A comprehensive analysis of the distribution of truck weights with relation to average weights of axles and vehicles for different truck types was initiated in two States and a similar study was continued in a third State. As a result of these studies reduction of effort in obtaining weight information may be possible in future operations. Indications were found that the truck weight characteristics on the Interstate System can differ significantly from those on other State highways.

An appraisal of peak hourly traffic volumes with relation to truck movements was begun in one State and statistical evaluation of urban truck weight characteristics was initiated in another.

Intensive studies of traffic characteristics were begun in New York City, in cooperation with the State and the city.

Numerous origin and destination studies of the multiple screenline type were made by the State highway departments to provide information for estimating traffic volumes for the various sections of the Interstate System.

### Motor-vehicle-use studies

Twenty-four States had finished field work on motor-vehicle-use studies, and analyses were almost completed. These statewide studies provide information about the characteristics of motor-vehicle ownership and use such as distribution of vehicle travel by highway systems used, density of motor-vehicle ownership, passenger-car travel classified according to purpose, and methods of transportation used by workers for home-to-work travel.

Data available from 19 States showed that one-fourth of all licensed drivers were 30–39 years of age and an additional one-fifth were 40–49 years of age. Seventy-eight percent of all males and 39 percent of all females of legal driving age had drivers' licenses.

Two-thirds of all workers who traveled to work used private automobiles, and 15 percent used public transportation. Approximately one-eighth of all workers walked to work. Among workers in personal-service businesses, however, onefourth of the total walked to work. The proportion walking to work varied among other occupational groups from a low of 4 percent for traveling salesmen to 15 percent for semiskilled and unskilled laborers.

### Road inventory and mapping

Information showing improvement of individual road sections together with changes of roadside culture on rural roads was brought up to date by 34 States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico through the continuation of road inventory operations. Similar information, but with lesser detail of cultural features, was obtained for the street system in incorporated places in many of these States. These data are used in studies of highway deficiencies and in highway planning and administration.

During the year, 789 county general highway and traffic maps were revised or redrawn by the States under the cooperative highway planning program. Other mapping activities included the preparation of 41 State general highway and traffic maps and 325 similar maps of incorporated places.

### **Highway** statistics

During the year, the fourteenth annual edition of *Highway Statistics* (for 1958) was published. This annual volume includes comprehensive information on motor-vehicle registration, motor-fuel consumption, highway-user taxation, highway finance, mileage of highways, and related information.

Progress was made in obtaining better information, for administrative and legislative use, on revenues and expenditures for highways of all levels of government. A study of the application of sampling techniques to the collection of local government highway statistics was begun during the year. Work was nearing completion on a historical bulletin of local city street finance.

## Traffic studies in cities

Comprehensive home interview studies of travel and vehicle use were started in 18 cities, bringing the total of such studies to 158, of which 23 were repeat surveys. Continuing studies were underway in Chicago, Detroit, and Washington and agreement was reached to place the Pittsburgh study on a continuing basis. In addition, numerous external origin and destination studies around smaller cities were conducted.

### Urban highway planning and research

Research during the year was directed toward developing procedures for a rational process of preparing comprehensive urban transportation plans; in particular, the development of improved procedures for estimating the future travel demands of our ever expanding urban areas.

A study completed during the year of traffic generated by a regional shopping center provided information on the amount and characteristics of travel that can be expected at such a traffic generator. Another study, based on traffic data from 193 cities, analyzed the destinations of traffic approaching cities and provided information useful to the planning of major highway facilities in and around urban areas.

Research to determine the relation between the sampling rates of homeinterview origin and destination surveys and the accuracy of traffic volumes accumulated from such surveys was completed, using the 1957 Phoenix-Maricopa County, Ariz., traffic study as a base. The results indicated that a larger sample is needed to obtain the desired accuracy in accumulated trip volumes than would be inferred from a purely theoretical approach. However, the results agreed qualitatively with theory in that the accuracy varies with the square root of sample size and very nearly with the square root of volume. The results should aid in the selection of a sample rate for such studies commensurate with funds available and the degree of accuracy required. As part of this research, an electronic computer program was developed for tracing trips across a grid of screenlines and accumulating these trips on one-quarter-mile sections of the screenlines.

The 1957 Phoenix-Maricopa survey data were also used to determine the distribution of trip ends by mode of travel and trip purpose. In addition, trip ends for each travel mode and purpose were correlated with area, dwelling units, population, employment, retail sales, and automobiles owned. These correlations provide equations from which trip ends may be estimated, using the six factors. Results indicated that this method for predicting trip ends is only valid for a rough approximation and that the characteristics of automobiles owned, population, dwelling units, and employment provide the major determinants of trip estimation. In addition, the data showed that automobile driver trip ends can be estimated at least as accurately as total person trip ends.

In research on the use of automobile and transit in urban areas, an electronic computer regression analysis program was tested; and work was in progress on applying this program to the use of those two transportation modes in subdivisions of urban areas.

The electronic computer program developed by Public Roads for assigning traffic to an urban highway network, to predict probable usage of street and highway facilities, was used during the year in numerous cities, including Washington, Columbus, Minneapolis-St. Paul, El Paso, Topeka, and Nashville. In addition to determining the probable traffic volumes on proposed new facilities, planning agencies were finding that the assignment program is a useful tool in selecting the locations of new facilities. The program was originally written to assign 24-hour traffic nondirectionally, but has been modified to allow a directional assignment as well as assignment of traffic for morning and afternoon peak hours and offpeak hours. A procedure was developed for taking into account prohibition of any or all turns at intersections as desired. Also, the origin and destination of all trips assigned to a particular section of a highway system, such as a bridge or ramp, can be determined. The resulting information is so summarized that weaving movements are readily available.

The Fratar method for estimating the future distribution of trips throughout an area was programed for a large high-speed computer. The program can handle up to 1,000 zones. As an example of the speed of the program, the future distribution of trips for a city with 371 zones was obtained in 36 minutes at a computer cost of approximately \$125.

Two major urban research projects were initiated during the past year. The first, utilizing information collected by a special survey conducted in the Pittsburgh metropolitan area, was designed to test several interarea traffic models and to develop formulas which can be used to synthesize the zone-to-zone movement of traffic within urban areas. This study will provide a positive evaluation of several procedures now being used to estimate urban traffic movement.

The second project was an analysis to determine the relations which exist between travel characteristics and socio-economic factors such as income, sex, age, race, occupation, and density. These relations will be established for 15 to 20 cities throughout the country which have had recent comprehensive origin and destination surveys. In addition, in those cities with repeat surveys, these relations will be developed for the two time periods. A comparative study of the developed relations will determine their usefulness for estimating urban traffic and thereby form the basis of developing improved traffic estimating procedures.

Preliminary investigations were made in the field of urban land use forecasting and tentative arrangements were made for a research project designed to isolate and measure the effect of the major determinants of urban land use.

Throughout the year, staff assistance was provided by Public Roads to the Joint Committee on Highways of the American Municipal Association and the American Association of State Highway Officials. This committee has been instrumental in promoting cooperation between State and local agencies for the joint preparation of plans for urban highways and urban development. Staff



assistance was also provided to the AASHO special subcommittee on Urban Transportation Planning and to the National Association of County Engineers.

## **Traffic Operations Research**

### Highway capacity research

Research studies of urban arterial traffic operations continued. Analyses were completed in the study of Wisconsin Avenue in Washington, D.C., and a report was being prepared, describing how substantial capacity increases can be obtained on many existing arterial streets by the coordinated use of known traffic engineering techniques which now are often employed piecemeal.

Computer analyses of the intersection capacity data from 1,100 heavily used approaches were completed, producing a single intersection capacity prediction equation. Refinement of this equation, and possible conversion to a series of equations applying to certain specific conditions, was underway.

Collection of field data for a nationwide freeway ramp capacity study was underway at the close of the year and analysis was scheduled to start late in 1960. The importance of this study is demonstrated by observations of an AASHO special committee that most traffic difficulties occurring on freeways originate in design problems at interchanges.

## Human factors research

A study was completed during the year of driver tension responses as a measure of the characteristics of different types of highways. The galvanic skin reflexes of the driver of an automobile, recorded from wires attached to his hand, were related by an observer to the traffic incidents that caused the driver to react. It was found that one of two parallel urban routes was more "comfortable" for the driver and this might well dictate his choice of routes. It was also demonstrated that a controlled-access highway induced only one-third to one-fifth as much tension for the driver as an urban street, and about one-half as much as a parallel non-controlled-access highway.

A program of research was started on the needs and techniques for driver-todriver communication. A preliminary study was conducted to determine the effect of knowledge of proposed maneuvers of a vehicle on the behavior of the following car. The lead car was equipped with a series of signal lights by which the driver could indicate his intentions to the driver of the following car. The headway distance between cars was measured both when the following driver knew what change in speed was to occur in the lead car and when it was to take place, and when the following driver had no information. The results indicated that when signals were used the average distance headway was reduced by about a third.

### **Highway** illumination

Public Roads, in cooperation with the Connecticut State Highway Department, completed a study of the effects of illumination and delineation on the Connecticut Turnpike. Driver behavior was observed under various conditions of highway illumination and delineation at a heavily traveled interchange. In addition, accident data on the 53-mile illuminated section and the 77-mile nonilluminated section of the turnpike were compared. The study showed no significant differences with respect to average vehicle speeds, lateral placements, and clearances between vehicles, under the various conditions of illumination and delineation. The manner of night usage of speed change lanes, particularly the acceleration lane, improved with increased illumination. In general, it appeared that some benefit resulted from full-level illumination in the deceleration area, and that even greater benefit occurred when illumination was combined with roadside delineation. Illumination of the interchange area only did not appear to be advantageous insofar as the access ramp was concerned. The importance of delineation, with or without illumination, was demonstrated.

## Motor-vehicle accident studies

Studies of the economic cost of motor-vehicle accidents were completed in Massachusetts during the fiscal year and were nearing completion in New Mexico and Utah. A study was also underway in Illinois. These studies, conducted by the States with Public Roads cooperation, encompass the driving experience of all motor-vehicle owners in their operation of passenger cars and trucks during 1 year, and provide a mass of data relating accidents and their costs to highway systems, traffic volumes, road conditions, age and sex of drivers, type and age of vehicles, light and weather conditions, etc. The results help pinpoint the major factors contributing to the sizable economic losses resulting from motor-vehicle accidents. During the fiscal year, three reports on the results of the Massachusetts accident cost study were completed and a fourth compared the Utah and Massachusetts data.

During the year Public Roads developed a procedure manual for accident research studies on the Interstate System and invited the State highway departments to undertake such studies. Two forms of study were planned: one to compare accident rates for completed sections of the Interstate System with nearby existing highways selected as control sections; and the other to relate accident experience to various geometric design elements.

## Dynamic characteristics of vehicle loads

Public Roads, in two cooperative research projects, undertook the first steps in developing a dynamic theory in road loading mechanics which will permit the prediction of road life from the characteristics of traffic flow, and which will indicate the effect on road life of changes in vehicle suspensions and in other elements of the system. A complete analysis, properly relating the dynamic and static performance factors of both vehicle and road, and utilizing high-speed computer programs, is envisioned. A project at Purdue Research Foundation, using the experimental approach, investigated frequency response of a few passenger cars to determine the effect of pavement profile upon the nature of ride obtained and the relative magnitude of wheel loads imposed upon the road. A project at the Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, using the theoretical approach, developed preliminary mathematical models of a typical vehicle and of a road. A necessary future step in the overall program will be experiments to confirm the theory or to modify the theory to fit experimental data.

#### **Motor-vehicle economics studies**

A study was continued to determine the optimum economic size and weight limitations for commercial motor vehicles and to estimate the changes in highway freight movement that might be caused by the adoption of these limitations. One phase of this study, nearing completion, related changes in vehicular operating costs and changes in gross weights of tractor-trailer combinations. Another phase of the study, comparing gross vehicle and axle weights with the costs of constructing and maintaining highways, was being developed.

Research was continued, in connection with the highway cost allocation study, to establish the differential road-user benefits resulting from improvement of the various rural and urban highway systems. A computer program was developed for analysis of road improvement data received from the States. Additional fuel and travel-time data were obtained from tests completed on buses and heavy freight trailer combinations by the University of Washington for the Bureau of Public Roads and the Washington State Highway Commission. Similar tests of passenger cars and light trucks were conducted by Public Roads.

### **Brake** research

In a comprehensive study of emergency braking systems for combinations of commercial motor vehicles, undertaken by Public Roads for the Interstate Commerce Commission, road tests were completed and laboratory tests were nearly finished during the fiscal year. Tests of system components on vehicles in service were to be started soon. The study, aimed at resolving controversies concerning the safeguards in motor-vehicle braking systems necessary to prevent "runaway" accidents, had industry participation and advice and assistance of an advisory committee to the ICC.

## **Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices**

A major project during the year was the comprehensive revision of the *Manual* on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, to which Public Roads made a large contribution in staff services. Prepared by a joint committee representing a number of national organizations concerned with traffic control and safety, the revised manual, like its predecessors, will be published by Public Roads, to be the official standard for Federal-aid highways. In addition to a general updating of the current standards, the new manual, for the first time, will include specifications for the signing of expressways, control of traffic through construction and maintenance sites, and emergency civil defense signing.

## **Highway Needs and Economy Research**

## **Construction and maintenance methods**

An extensive cooperative study in Iowa of equipment use and performance, work methods, and workloads in State highway maintenance was started early in the fiscal year. The field study of work performance will run a full year.

Research was continued on the drying of aggregates for bituminous admixtures, using a scale-model dryer at Ohio State University. Experimental tests will be made of production model equipment under field operating conditions.

A 30-minute motion picture. *Dual-Drum Paver Productivity*, was completed and distributed to highway construction and engineering groups. This film shows in action scenes why concrete paver production rates ranged from low on some jobs to high on others. Simultaneous views contrasting fast and mediocre batch-truck dumping performance at the paver skip effectively highlight one of the major causes of slow production by the paver.

Field tests were made of a newly constructed recording device which automatically measures the elapsed time of certain cycle elements on a dual drum paver. Job site studies of equipment performance were made on 15 construction projects. Among these were two on the new triple-drum paver introduced in 1959.

### **Highway management studies**

Approximately 6,300 questionnaire forms for a census of highway engineering employment were distributed to highway departments of States, counties, cities, and special authorities, and to consulting engineers. About 85 percent of the questionnaires had been returned and were being tabulated.

Assistance was provided the National Association of County Engineers' research program on methods of county road management in formulating a procedural manual for the preparation of long-range county road improvement programs. The final draft of the manual was in preparation and a method of priority analysis, for inclusion in the manual, was field evaluated in counties of several States.

The pilot study in the Wisconsin State Highway Commission to investigate the cause and effect of factors, internal and external, on the production of the engineering plans for construction projects, was continued. All delays were to be analyzed and classified, flow charts prepared, and all policies and procedures relating to the production of plans were to be cataloged.

#### **Highway cost studies**

In connection with the study of the economics of motor-vehicle sizes and weights, work was undertaken to determine the cost to construct highway facilities of different levels of structural capacities for various sizes and gross weights of commercial motor vehicles.

Annual capital highway costs for 1975 were computed for each of the 12 highway systems for unsurfaced, low, intermediate, and high-type surfaces. These costs were computed at 0 and 5 percent interest rates of capital recovery. Annual ownership costs per vehicle-mile were also determined for the 12 highway systems.

#### Research in highway economics and finance

Research in connection with the highway cost allocation study was concerned primarily with the allocation of highway costs between users and nonusers according to the relative use and earnings-credit theories, and among various types of users according to the ton-mile theory.

Investigations of the characteristics of motor-vehicle ownership and use included studies of the motor-fuel consumption characteristics of privately owned passenger cars, which were initiated in several States; and a nationwide automobile use study conducted for Public Roads by the Bureau of the Census.

An analysis was completed of the relation of the Interstate System to standard metropolitan statistical areas as indicated by the 1950 and 1960 censuses.

## **Highway and Land Administration Research**

## **Economic impact studies**

For use in connection with route planning, land acquisition, highway design, highway hearings, public relations, and other activities, highway officials were conducting research, in cooperation with Public Roads, on the economic and social impact of highway improvements in urban and rural communities. These studies were concerned with evaluation of the effects of highway improvements on land value and land use, with attention to such subjects as interchange points, central business districts, public services, and tax bases. During the fiscal year, 11 studies were completed, bringing the total to 75 studies completed in 24 States, plus 9 studies nationwide in scope. Segments of broad socio-economic studies in two States, and three nationwide studies, were also completed. At the end of the year 43 studies were in progress in 35 States, plus 5 nationwide research projects; 12 of these were started during the year.

During the year Public Roads developed and distributed a guide for highway impact studies, for use in the planning and conduct of studies of the economic impact of highway improvements.

Interchange studies.—Studies of the problem of land development and land use control at highway interchanges and approach areas were started during the year in several States, and a study in this area was completed at the University of Washington. Another study was undertaken on the relations between highway interchanges and approaches and adjacent land development for commercial, industrial, and residential purposes; demand by highway users for goods and services; and the prevailing competition for highway approaches.

*Central business districts.*—Two studies were undertaken to evaluate effects of urban highway improvements on central business districts. A study completed at the University of Washington evaluated a representative number of city centers. A pilot study underway at Clark University based principally on land-use mapping, sought methods of determining land use and central business district changes.

## **Right-of-way** research

With increasing attention to highway right-of-way costs, a number of States, with Public Roads assistance, undertook studies of severance damages and partial takings during the year. Generally these were case-history analyses of parcels along a given highway route, comparing damages paid for partial takings with sales of the remainder properties after the highway improvement was completed. Studies were underway in 18 States. As an aid to such studies, Public Roads developed a form for recording pertinent information.

A short report on the purposes and experience in the use of photogrammetry for highway land acquisition purposes was published during the year.

In cooperation with the American Association of State Highway Officials, a study of liaison between utilities and highway departments was commenced, in an effort to determine weaknesses and causes of delays when utilities must be moved because of highway improvements.

A study of present practices in the preparation and format of maps and plats for right-of-way acquisition purposes was commenced, with a view to suggesting improvements.

In cooperation with the American Bar Association, a review and analysis of all court decisions pertaining to condemnation of land for public purposes made during the year was completed. Also in cooperation with the American Bar Association, a study of the use of the pretrial procedure in condemnation cases was started.

Work on a comprehensive right-of-way training manual continued, and assistance was given to State highway departments in the development of training programs for right-of-way personnel.

## **Highway administration research**

In cooperation with a Highway Research Board committee, revision and updating of two previous publications of the Board were completed: One contains the State highway department organization charts; the other discusses the various types of State highway administrative organization and complementary provisions, such as qualifications, tenure, and method of appointment of officials.

A tabulation of the directing organizations of State highway departments and the terms of office and salaries of officials was revised as of July 1959.

A study was completed on the position of utilities engineers in the State highway department administrative organizations.

#### Highway laws

Analyses of intergovernmental relations and State constitutional provisions were published as part of the broad study of highway law in which Public Roads, the American Association of State Highway Officials, and the Highway Research Board were cooperating. Reports on contracts and further analyses of property condemnation were prepared for publication. Research was conducted and three reports were prepared on the application of police power regulations and community planning controls to highway transportation problems.

## Hydraulic Research

A study of peak rates of flow from small watersheds, approaching completion, will supply vitally needed information to highway engineers who must anticipate the quantities of stormwater that culverts and small bridges must carry.

Colorado State University, with Public Roads participation, completed a study providing methods for estimating peak floods on streams in Eastern Colorado and adjacent areas.

Research on stormwater flow from urban areas in Baltimore continued at The Johns Hopkins University, in a project jointly sponsored by Public Roads, the City of Baltimore, and Baltimore County.

A basic study on the hydrodynamics of curb-opening inlets for storm sewers was being conducted by Stanford University for Public Roads.

An experimental investigation of the flow capacity of corrugated structural plate metal pipe with 2-inch corrugations was underway at the Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, as a joint project of the Corps of Engineers and Public Roads. No previous work with this type of pipe, commonly used for large culverts, has ever been done.

Studies were completed on capacity of concrete pipe of two distinct types. The research was conducted by the University of Minnesota's St. Anthony Falls Hydraulic Laboratory for Public Roads and the Florida State Road Commission. Effect of poor joints was evaluated for the first time.

The National Bureau of Standards had almost completed an intensive investigation for Public Roads, aimed at improving hydraulic capacity of pipe culverts by modifying their entrances. A great deal of significant data leading to more economical culvert installations has been developed. Attention was being directed to improvement of box culvert flow characteristics.

A motion picture, *Introduction to Highway Hydraulics*, using models and actual scenes, was produced during the year and was widely exhibited to highway departments and others.

Laboratory studies on scour around bridge piers and abutments were concluded at Colorado State University. A preliminary investigation of the use of spur dikes to lessen vulnerability of bridges to damage by scour was also completed. The university was also completing a study on scour at the outlet of culverts. These projects were sponsored by Public Roads.

A seminar conducted at Washington for hydraulic engineers from field offices was devoted primarily to problems associated with relocation of natural channels. Such relocations are becoming very common because of requirements of the Interstate System and other controlled-access divided highways.

## **Physical Research**

#### Soils, foundations, and flexible pavement studies

The development of laboratory and field methods of measuring the physical characteristics and condition of soil materials more rapidly and efficiently is necessary in order that highway construction operations will not be delayed. Extensive laboratory evaluation and limited use in highway earthwork control tests have indicated that a device involving the reaction of calcium carbide with moisture can be used to measure the moisture content of soil materials within a few minutes. Laboratory and field evaluation of equipment utilizing radioactive materials, detectors, and counting devices for measuring the moisture content and density of soils was continued in cooperative studies in Colorado, Maine, North Carolina, and Ohio. Similar nuclear equipment was being evaluated and modified for use in measuring the density and asphalt content of bituminous pavements in a cooperative study in Arizona.

A lightweight, single-channel seismic device was being evaluated for determining geologic conditions and depth to bedrock. Field studies with electrical resistivity equipment were conducted to determine its usefulness in identifying geologic conditions beneath bodies of water and in evaluating landslide conditions. Demonstrations and instruction in the use of geophysical equipment in subsurface investigations were made in five States.

Laboratory study of a patented chemical powder showed that it was effective in stabilizing fine-grained soils. Laboratory tests were made to determine the optimum amount of the chemical powder and of phosphoric acid required for stabilizing soils obtained from sites in Maryland and South Dakota where fullscale experimental stabilized-subgrade sections are planned.

Systematic identification of clay minerals in soils of the United States was extended to several counties in various States. Preparation of a report on an intensive study of the properties of soils of Fairfax County, Va., was begun. Basic studies were undertaken concerning clay-organic complexes and soil chlorite.

Cooperative aggregate surveys, in which effective use is made of aerial photographs, soil and geologic maps and reports, and electrical resistivity apparatus, were underway in 10 States and an aggregate survey for the Interstate route in another State was completed. Studies in cooperation with four States for the development of soil maps and reports for engineering purposes were continued and a similar study was started in a fifth State. An aerial photographic study was made of alternate routes for a proposed 50-mile highway in Alaska, followed by a field reconnaissance of the selected route.

The cooperative program with the Soil Conservation Service was continued. Twenty-eight State highway departments were cooperating by testing soil samples and preparing information for the engineering section of the soil survey reports. Public Roads received for testing 1,232 soil samples from 62 counties in 29 States; correlated the test data from State laboratories for 1,016 soil samples from 50 other counties; and reviewed for technical adequacy the engineering sections for 18 county soil survey reports.

Technical assistance regarding analysis and design of foundations on soil was given to nine State highway departments. Change in design plans as a result of this assistance saved over \$1 million in construction funds.

The rapid increase in traffic in all States and awareness that extensive pavement performance data would soon be available from the AASHO Road Test led many State highway departments to consider a reevaluation of their respective flexible pavement design procedures. Cooperative field studies to evaluate selected pavements were being conducted in Maryland, Nebraska, Oregon, and South Carolina. Cooperative studies in Georgia, to obtain fundamental data on the mechanics of load support by means of rigid-plate bearing tests on fulldepth pavement specimens having base courses of various types, were continued. Comprehensive field investigations in Oklahoma, South Dakota, and Arkansas to obtain information to improve current design procedures or develop new ones, were continued. A field study was made of selected flexible pavement sections on the Natchez Trace Parkway.

## Bituminous materials and pavements

Public Roads' research on bituminous pavements has been directed towards the proper selection of component materials, proper mixture design, and the development of tests to adequately control materials and their use, with the ultimate objective of pavements of higher quality and durability.

The construction of a motorized gyratory compactor, patterned after that developed by the Texas Highway Department and perfected by the Corps of Engineers, was completed and a research study using this equipment was started. Testing equipment to study the fatigue and flexure characteristics of bituminous pavements also was installed and a preliminary study was initiated. A cooperative study of fatigue characteristics of asphalt concrete was underway in Oregon.

A cooperative study was started by North Carolina to obtain some of the information needed on the use of viscosity of asphaltic materials to control plant mixing temperatures and to establish the optimum temperature for compaction of bituminous mixtures on the road. A study proposal was sent to all State highway departments to encourage further studies on this subject.

Laboratory-field correlation studies of asphaltic concrete pavements in Maryland, Virginia, and Delaware were continued. Results of the Maryland study showed that the rate of hardening of the asphalt was affected by the amount of air voids. In general the rate of hardening was highest in pavements having the highest amount of air voids. Technical assistance was furnished to the Georgia Institute of Technology to improve bituminous mixture design procedure in Georgia. A cooperative study to evaluate asphaltic pavements in Texas was initiated at the Texas Transportation Institute.

Studies were made to determine the suitability of certain aggregates and mineral fillers for use in bituminous construction. Techniques using spectrophotometry, X-ray diffraction, and differential thermal analysis were used to determine mineral composition of some of these materials and offer rapid and accurate methods that may be used for the selection of materials.

A second report on the properties of highway asphalts was completed. The data in this report and the report published last year have become a valuable guide to both producers and consumers in evaluating their products and promoting new specifications and test methods.

The practical value of Public Roads' research continued to be demonstrated by the inclusion of its thin-film oven test in the standard asphalt specifications of the American Association of State Highway Officials with recommended specification requirements. The test was also accepted as a tentative standard by the American Society for Testing Materials.

In the field of new materials, a laboratory investigation of the new coalmodified tar binder was conducted, and experimental projects were observed. These studies revealed some undesirable characteristics of the new material that must be overcome before it can be generally used on a large scale.

## **Chemical investigations**

A study and report were completed which extends the application of a rapid instrumental method (flame photometer) to the analyses of water-soluble alkalies and high alkali contents of portland cement. The proposed procedures are more accurate and less time-consuming than present methods.

New rust-inhibitive paints for structural steel appear to offer economic advantages over presently used paints by virtue of greater durability. Field exposure studies of such paints were continued and included such paint types as basic lead silico chromate, zinc-rich, and phenolic wetting additives.

The extremely rapid abrasion of ordinary bridge paints by high wind, sand, snow, and ice presents a critical, costly maintenance problem in some areas in Alaska. A cooperative laboratory study was initiated to determine the type of paint best suited for such conditions. Thermoplastic materials have been proposed as more economical substitutes for standard traffic paints for the striping of traffic lanes on highways. A questionnaire was sent to State highway departments and large cities to obtain information on the relative durability, safety, and economy of such materials and the findings will be used to determine the degree of Federal financial participation in the cost of such materials for new Federal-aid and Interstate highway construction.

### Cement, aggregates, and concrete

A report of a research investigation on the chemical properties and identification tests of retarders and their effects on strength, water and air content, and durability of concrete was completed. The report, with a suggested guide specification for retarders, will be valuable to the States. The study results indicated that retarders increase compressive strength, reduce water content, and do not adversely affect the durability or volume change of air-entrained concrete when used at a rate that retards the setting time 2½ to 3 hours beyond that of similar nonretarded concrete. The results indicated that retarders are of value in concrete by increasing strength or by reducing placement problems under conditions of high temperatures that cause rapid setting or stiffening of concrete.

A study of the use of 14 manufactured lightweight aggregates in concrete was completed and a report was in preparation. Increased use of such materials in the last 5 years in bridge deck slabs and in prestressed concrete beams has raised some questions about volume change, creep, and durability of the lightweight concrete. The manufacturers of the lightweight aggregates have improved their products and the previous research data on the properties and methods of use were not necessarily applicable to their present product. The preliminary test results indicated that most lightweight concretes approach the crushing strengths of concretes made with natural aggregates and equal cement content. The durability of the lightweight concrete in freezing and thawing appears to be dependent on the degree of saturation at the time of freezing.

A report on the study of mixing time of 34–E dual-drum paving mixers was completed during the year. Conclusions were that satisfactorily mixed paving concrete can be obtained with 50–60 seconds mixing time, exclusive of transfer time. In addition, most mixers can mix up to 20 percent above the 34 cubic feet of rated capacity of concrete without a decrease in strength or segregation of the concrete. Time and efficiency studies on all of the mixer study jobs were made and a report on the measurement of mixing time was completed.

Durability tests of concrete slabs exposed to outside weathering or de-icing by chemical salts was continued. The beneficial value of air-entrainment in preventing or minimizing scaling was demonstrated again and checked the observations of previous years.

The work on development of test methods for concrete continued. More data were obtained on the indirect tensile (cylinder splitting) test, and various sulfur mixtures for capping of cylinders were studied. The Proctor needle test for determination of setting time of screened mortars from concrete has proven satisfactory as a measure of the retardation of concrete.

Control of the quality of concrete can be facilitated if the cement content of the fresh concrete can be accurately determined. A study was in progress of a proposed ASTM method for determining the cement content of fresh concrete, using both washing and centrifuging for separation of cement from the concrete. Primary emphasis was placed on determining the accuracy to be expected from the procedure when different types and sizes of coarse aggregates and different kinds of cement are used. A study of the factors affecting the results obtained in the Los Angeles abrasion test for aggregates was conducted over a 2-year period in cooperation with nine other laboratories. It was determined that nonuniformity of rotational speed during each revolution of the Los Angeles drum was a contributing factor to the observed variability. Precision limits for the Los Angeles test were recommended to the appropriate ASTM committees on the basis of the data obtained.

### Structural design of concrete pavements

Cooperative investigations of continuously reinforced concrete pavements were in progress in California, Illinois, Indiana, Pennsylvania, and Maryland. Performance surveys of pavements in service were being conducted in Illinois, Maryland, Michigan, and Oklahoma. Other cooperative projects included investigations in Indiana on the effectiveness of various types and thicknesses of subbases in the control of pumping; in New York on the corrosion of dowels using unprotected steel, stainless steel sleeves, and nickel coatings; and in a study at Purdue University to develop a nondestructive method of measuring moisture gradients in hardened concrete.

In anticipation of cooperative prestressed concrete pavement experimental projects, Public Roads completed a thorough literature survey on the subject during the year. Field tests were also completed on methods designed to reduce friction between the slab and subbase.

#### Road surface research

A nationwide correlation study of roughometers constructed from Public Roads plans was initiated. Comparison studies between Bureau and State machines were made in nine States. As a result certain minor changes were being considered to improve standardization of the roughometer and its operation. The Public Roads roughometer team worked in nearly one-third of the States during the year.

Progress was made in developing and improving the equipment used to measure the skid resistance of wet pavement surfaces. A forced water system was installed and a sequence timer was included to simplify the control of the various operations and to provide uniformity. Rigorous tests were being conducted to appraise the merit of the equipment. To supplement the locked-wheel skid data developed by the Public Roads machine, a two-wheeled trailer which measures rolling-wheel or incipient friction was acquired.

### **Bridge research**

Work continued on the analysis of data from the dynamic tests on bridges in Missouri, Nebraska, and South Dakota while Public Roads' electronic field testing equipment has been in use on the bridges on the AASHO Test Road. These tests and the cooperative theoretical and laboratory research on this subject at the University of Illinois were clarifying the concept of impact as it affects the design of highway bridges and suggesting the type of testing which will be most productive when the Bureau equipment again becomes available for work in the field.

Wind tunnel tests on one-fiftieth scale section model were made to determine the aerodynamic characteristics of proposed suspension bridges at San Pedro, Calif., and over the Straits of Bosporus in Turkey. Similar studies were in progress to determine the aerodynamic effect of adding rapid transit facilities to the Golden Gate Bridge.

During the past year the continuing cooperative research on structural steel at Lehigh University and the Universities of Illinois and Washington led to important changes in the design specifications for bolted joints and plate girders. Continuing cooperative studies of fatigue of steel at the University of Illinois and in the field of concrete and prestressed concrete at that university and Lehigh University indicated the desirability of changes in design practices and specifications. Important, possibly far-reaching, cooperative studies of creep and fatigue in concrete were initiated at the Universities of Illinois and Missouri.

# Foreign Activities

## Inter-American Highway

Since 1930 the United States, through the Bureau of Public Roads, has been assisting the Republics of Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras, Nicaragua, Costa Rica, and Panama in the construction of the Inter-American Highway, which is that section of the Pan American Highway from Nuevo Laredo on our Mexican border, to Panama City at the Pacific terminal of the Panama Canal, a distance of 3,142 miles. Connecting highways from El Paso and Nogales, Tex., to Mexico City now afford more direct routes from the western United States. At the end of the year, 95 percent of the Inter-American Highway was passable in all kinds of weather by motor vehicles, and uninterrupted travel of the entire distance to Panama City was scheduled by the spring of 1962.

Throughout its length of 1,587 miles in Mexico, the highway was open and practically all of it was paved. This section of the highway has been financed and constructed entirely by Mexico.

From the Guatemalan-Mexican border, the highway was also passable at all times over paved or gravel roads for 1,113 miles to San Isidro, Costa Rica. In this distance, many sections were under construction, but the route was open and passable, although with some difficulty. Beginning at San Isidro, Costa Rica, an impassable section of the route extended for about 150 miles through rough and undeveloped territory to Concepcion, Panama. This entire section was under construction. From Concepcion to Panama City, a distance of about 287 miles, the highway was passable at all times, but considerable construction was underway.

On the 1,555 miles of the highway between the Mexican border and Panama City, contracts were awarded during the year for 54 bridges and 186 miles of base and paving. Still to be placed under construction were 460 miles of base and paving, in addition to widening 7 miles of the route in the Selegua Canyon in Guatemala. Widening and partial construction on 11 miles of the highway in the mountainous section between Cartago and San Isidro in Costa Rica and work on 27 miles of a relocation in Panama between Guabala and Santiago remained to be completed.

The condition of the highway in the Central American Republics and the work accomplished during the fiscal year are described in the following paragraphs.

In Gnatemala, the entire highway was passable at all times, although occasional slides and washonts may delay traffic in the Selegua Canyon for short periods for several years until the highway becomes stabilized. Construction work continued on the grading and bridge contracts previously awarded. A contract for paying in the northern part of Guatemala awarded last year was delayed pending a study of the most desirable type of surfacing, and was being revised. About 140 miles will be gravel surfaced under present financing plans.

In El Salvador, the entire tength of the highway was completed prior to fiscal year 1960.

In Honduras, grading, bridges, and subbase for the highway were completed. A contract for the base and paving of the entire route was signed. Construction had started and should be completed by the end of the next fiscal year.

In Nicaragua, the base and paying were completed on a 35-mile section in northern Nicaragua. A 22-mile section in southern Nicaragua was under construction for base and paving. A contract for the base and paving on the last 48-mile section was awarded during the year. It is estimated that the entire highway in Nicaragua will be completed by the end of the next fiscal year.

In Costa Rica, paving was completed in the northern section between the Nicaraguan border and San Ramon. In southern Costa Rica, grading, drainage, and subbase work were substantially completed on the 133-mile impassable gap. A contract was awarded for the construction of 39 bridges in this section. With completion of these bridges, scheduled for March 1962, the Inter-American Highway will be passable at all times for its full length. Upon completion of this contract, 206 miles of the route in Costa Rica will be paved. An additional 204 miles will have an all-weather gravel surface, but funds are not available for base and paving work.

During the year the engineering and supervision of construction of all future work on the Inter-American Highway in Costa Rica' was transferred to the Government of Costa Rica, in line with the policy of the Bureau of Public Roads of assisting the Central American Republics in setting up and maintaining competent highway departments of their own.

In Panama, the highway was passable at all times except for a 17-mile section adjacent to the Costa Rican border. During the year agreements were entered into with Panama which will provide funds for a paved highway from the Costa Rican border to Panama City. Contracts were awarded during the year on 44 miles of base and paving work, and provision had been made for paving an additional 54 miles. On the remaining 62-mile section, between Guabala and Santiago, for which a relocation was being planned, Panama agreed to pave the old road. This will provide a satisfactory temporary route until plans are completed and funds available for the relocation.

### **Other Latin American projects**

In Guatemala, Public Roads continued to furnish technical engineering assistance to the International Cooperation Administration in connection with the construction and improvement of the Pacific Highway from the Mexican border to the border of El Salvador and the Atlantic Highway from Guatemala City to Puertos Barrios, as well as rural development roads.

During the year Public Roads sent three equipment specialists to Panama to furnish technical advice and assistance on the operation and maintenance of road construction equipment and to train equipment operators.

The United States is aiding Nicaragua financially in the construction of the Rama Road, which will form the main transportation link from the settled portion of Nicaragua on the Pacific Coast to the undeveloped, fertile areas of eastern Nicaragua and the Atlantic Ocean. The road extends 158 miles from San Benito on the Inter-American Highway to Rama, a port on the Escondida River. Construction with United States funds in 1943–48 had completed 96 miles of all-weather road from San Benito to Villa Somoza. Work was resumed in 1955 and since then 42 miles have been put under contract, of which 37 miles were completed. Funds were available for only a portion of the remaining 20 miles of construction.

During the year, two engineers were sent to Colombia, two to Chile, two to Costa Rica, and one to Guatemala on temporary assignments to furnish technical advice and assistance in highway matters to those countries, at their request.

The VIII Pan American Highway Congress held in Bogota, Colombia, May 20–29 was attended by personnel from the Bureau of Public Roads.

## Other foreign activities

Since the end of World War II the Bureau of Public Roads has furnished technical assistance, advice, and consultation to many foreign countries with the objective of developing or furthering programs of highway improvement and communications in those countries, thus fostering their economic and social growth. Emphasis has been on aiding the countries to establish competent highway organizations and training nationals to staff them.

At the end of the year Public Roads was actively participating in highway improvement programs in 11 foreign countries, 9 of which were sponsored by the International Cooperation Administration. Programs in Ethiopia and Iran were financed by the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development and the Export-Import Bank of Washington, respectively. A new program of technical assistance was undertaken in Turkey, financed by a loan made to the Turkish government by the Export-Import Bank of Washington for forestry development. An agreement was being negotiated with the Philippines for provision of services and technical assistance in connection with a public works program being undertaken with financing from the Development Loan Fund. Public Roads had 106 persons in its overseas missions and was recruiting for 90 more.

Activities in Ethiopia.—During the fiscal year Public Roads had 13 engineers and technicians assigned to assist the Ethiopian Imperial Highway Authority. Construction by contract was initiated during the year on 500 miles of new roads, and force-account betterment construction was underway on a total of 1,160 miles of roads. A total of 7,300 miles of all-weather roads were under maintenance, of which 2,200 miles were asphalt surfaced.

Training of Ethiopian nationals, organized and directed by Public Roads personnel, has been a major activity.

Benefits to Ethiopia resulting from highway improvement have been outstanding. For example, in 1952 a truck took 2 weeks to make the 440-mile trip from the Red Sea port of Assab to Addis Ababa, in the dry season. The trip is now made in 2 to 2½ days, in both dry and rainy seasons. The cost of truck transport has been reduced from \$31 per ton in 1953 to \$14 per ton in 1959, a 54percent saving.

Activities in Iran.—During the fiscal year Public Roads continued technical assistance to the Ministry of Roads of Iran on its highway maintenance program, and began to assist in some design and construction work. The major effort, however, has been toward training equipment operators and mechanics, and developing an organization sufficient to support the routine maintenance of Iran's highway system. Some betterment work, consisting of widening and bituminous surfacing, has been performed.

During the year approximately \$3.25 million of highway maintenance equipment has been delivered to Iran, and an additional \$1 million of equipment was purchased with Iranian local funds. Some \$750,000 of equipment has been supplied from Development Loan Fund sources.

Activities in Jordan.—Public Roads continued to supply technical assistance and special aid to the Government of Jordan for highway construction throughout the year. Five engineers were added to the staff to provide assistance in location and design, specifications and contract forms for bridge construction, highway materials testing and control, development of a cost accounting system, and planning for a highway physical inventory and traffic-count program.

The initial demonstration program of 95 miles of primary and 90 miles of secondary road construction was completed except for the Sweileh-Jarash section of 55 miles. Improvement was made during the year in the better use, maintenance, repair, and operation of equipment.

Advice and assistance was also furnished during the year on a road relief program financed from the sale of wheat under Public Law 480. This program provided work for an average of 10,000 laborers during the year. Training of
mechanics was started in a building completed during the year at the new equipment depot at Wadiseer. The survey and design of the Dead Sea-Jerusalem-Bethlehem highway routes by engineering consultants was completed.

Activities in Laos.—The Public Roads program in Laos was initiated under a preliminary agreement with ICA dated November 12, 1959, providing for a temporary detail to Laos of six men. This agreement was supplanted by a long-term agreement dated March 21, 1960, providing for a full staff of specialists from the United States and other countries to furnish technical advice, assistance, and training to Lao nationals. It also provided that Public Roads would design and supervise construction of a 63-mile road in the central part of the country.

The initial Public Roads team reviewed plans and specifications for the 30mile Vientiane-Thadeau route, which was turned over to the U.S. Navy to redesign and supervise construction for ICA. Public Roads was given full management of the 63-mile road project on which the French had made preliminary studies and had been building major structures. At the close of the fiscal year the plans and contract documents had been completed and the project was ready for advertising for bids.

A large quantity of component parts of Bailey bridges in Army surplus stocks were inspected in Japan and arrangements were made for their use in Laos. A survey of existing and needed bridges on the Vientiane-Luang-Prabang route indicated need for 67 new bridges. It was expected that 33 of these would be furnished by the British as aid to Laos. The transportation, construction of substructures and approaches, and erection of the bridges was to be done by the Laotian Public Works Department with Public Roads training and supervision.

Equipment specialists completed a survey of the condition of road equipment in the country, and a start was made toward better equipment management.

Activities in Lebanon.—During the year, technical assistance to the Lebanese Highway Department was continued. The Public Roads team in Lebanon worked closely with officials of the Ministry of Public Works in the formation and staffing of an integrated highway department. Progress was made in the fields of design, materials, and equipment maintenance. Training was underway in the preparation of construction plans and specifications for complicated projects in densely populated areas.

A second stage of the Beirut-Tripoli controlled-access highway was completed. Lebanese officials have realized the importance of adequate legislation governing use of controlled-access facilities, and Public Roads technicians aided them in drafting a proposed act covering their design, control, and use.

Activities in Liberia.—The Liberian system of primary and secondary highways continued to expand with the technical advice and assistance of the Bureau of Public Roads. Approximately 120 miles of hard-surfaced roads were completed, 12 miles of which were asphalt, and 26 miles of secondary roads were built. A bridge 300 feet long over the Lofa River and a 500-foot bridge over the Cess River were completed. A 600-foot structure was started at the Farmington River.

The 147-mile Western Province highway, Liberia's first international connection with Sierra Leone, was completed during the fiscal year at a cost of \$9 million, financed by an Export-Import Bank loan. On the Eastern Province highway, financed by a \$15 million loan from the Italian Government and being constructed by an Italian contractor, 30 miles were completed.

The Liberian Division of Highways surveyed 42 miles of highway in the dense jungle of the interior, and completed 43 miles of new highway design. A materials laboratory established by Public Roads aided the progress. Assistance was also given by Public Roads in traffic counts, highway signs, mileage charts, highway diagrams, and safety studies. Eight Liberian highway personnel were receiving training as participants in United States colleges and technical schools.

Expansion of the highway network to 1,200 miles taxed existing maintenance facilities, and a Public Roads maintenance study led to reorganization of the Division of Highways. Maintenance personnel training was conducted by Public Roads technicians, but will gradually be turned over to Liberian personnel.

Near the end of the fiscal year Liberia was placing emphasis on a new rural development program, with Public Roads participating in the advance planning. *Activities in Nepal.*—Public Roads continued its assistance to the Government

of Nepal with a staff of six engineers.

Five highway divisions and a bridge section were set up and construction was underway on 7 road projects and 26 bridges. Lack of competent highway contractors, engineers, and workmen retarded progress.

Over \$2.3 million has been obligated for commodity purchases, and \$600,000 in additional equipment was ordered. Two mechanized construction units were being set up and limited recruitment of technicians on a worldwide basis was started.

Activities in the Philippines.—During the fiscal year, the Public Roads group of four Americans and two Filipino engineers continued to work in the Philippine Islands in a consulting and advisory capacity on highway matters under the ICA program. The Philippine Bureau of Public Highways, which had been set up with the advice and assistance of the Bureau of Public Roads, was recognized as one of the best highway departments in the Far East.

Construction worth \$5.7 million was completed on Mindanao development roads during the year despite adverse weather. Sixty-one miles of roads and 31 bridges were completed during the year. At the end of the year, 144 miles of roads and 20 bridges were still under construction. Only 8 miles of roads and 5 bridges remain to be constructed in this program.

On the national highway program, 520 miles of road improvements and 76 bridges were completed and 540 miles of roads and 38 bridges were under construction during the year, financed solely with Philippine Government funds. In addition, construction of bridges with materials furnished by the United States continued.

Under the barrio (village) and feeder road program, 587 miles were completed and 413 miles were under construction during the year.

Training of Philippine personnel continued. Eight employees were sent to the United States for specialized practical work in administration and design. Emphasis was given by Public Roads personnel to ordinary and preventive maintenance of field equipment, and equipment maintenance seminars were started for project engineers and supervisors in every district. The Development Loan Fund provided \$9 million for the procurement of equipment spare parts, and Public Roads agreed to effect this procurement as well as an additional \$9.7 million of new equipment and materials.

Activities in Sudan.—Public Roads started a program of technical assistance to the Government of Sudan, sponsored by ICA, in April 1958. Projects in highway development and construction were continued during the fiscal year. The Public Roads staff included four engineers, a construction superintendent, and two equipment specialists. Training through scholarships of nine Sudanese was started, and two officials made observation tours in the United States.

A substantial amount of highway equipment was procured for the Sudan. The heavy equipment repair shop was reorganized and plans for a shop building and a training aids building were completed. On-the-job training was carried out in connection with all activities. Work was underway on a 13-mile highway construction demonstration project. A map study and preliminary estimate were completed for an additional 100mile construction project, and a reconnaissance was made of another 100-mile route proposed for construction. Technical advice was given on a project for improvement of streets in Khartoum, including the construction of a multilane street, which will be the only one in Sudan.

Activities in Turkey.—Although the technical assistance program to the Turkish Directorate of Highways was terminated in June 1959, Public Roads continued its assistance to Turkey on two specific projects financed by ICA. One provided for finishing the traffic survey initiated in 1958 and required the services of a specialist for 3 months. The other project was to establish an integrated accounting system and a cost research engineering department. Under a Central Treaty Organization financed project, a specialist was assigned to assist in the installation of an electronic computer and in the development of a program for its use. His Turkish counterpart received training in the United States during the fiscal year.

Preparations were underway for procurement of machinery and equipment to construct adequate forest roads and to facilitate the development of the vast natural forest resources of Turkey under an agreement between Public Roads and the Turkish Forestry Service, with financing by an Export-Import Bank loan.

Activities in Yemen—During the year an agreement was entered into with ICA for a highway improvement program in Yemen, including construction of a 270mile road from the port of Mocha via Taiz to Saana. Maximum utilization will be made of Yemen nationals and an active training program will be conducted by Public Roads personnel. Surveys have been made and a Public Roads engineer was assigned temporarily to Yemen to make necessary arrangements. Engineers, technicians, equipment operators, and service and shop specialists were recruited and were being prepared for departure to Yemen.

## Training of foreign engineers

An increasing number of highway engineers and officials from other countries came to the United States during the year to study and observe at first hand the techniques, methods, and equipment developed for and used in our highway improvement programs. Public Roads, with the cooperation of State highway departments, cities, counties, and private industry, has arranged for or provided programs of training for these visitors.

While a large majority of these visitors are under the sponsorship of the International Cooperation Administration, numerous individuals were sponsored or referred to Public Roads by the Department of State, the United Nations, and private foundations, or came to the United States under the auspices of their own governments. A total of 615 man-months of observation and training were provided for visitors from 43 countries.

During the year, Public Roads produced a motion picture, *Rchabilitation of Philippine Highways*, which shows practical highway work in underdeveloped countries. The film will be instructive to American engineers and technicians going on overseas assignments, and to engineers and officials of other countries that are embarking on road development programs.

## Appendix

## LIST OF TABLES

Pag		
7	. Summaries of programs approved and work completed in the fiscal year 1960, by class of highway and by fund	1.
7	Projects under construction or plans approved on June 30, 1960, by class of highway and by fund	2.
7	Projects financed with Federal-aid funds programed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1960, by State	3.
,	Projects involving Federal funds awarded to contract during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1960, by program and by State	4.
,	. Status of Federal-aid projects as of June 30, 1960, and projects com- pleted during the fiscal year	5.
	Mileage of Federal-aid highway projects completed during fiscal year 1960, by program and by number of lanes	6.
	Lane classification of mileage of Federal-aid highway projects com- pleted during fiscal year 1960, by class of fund	7.
	Apportionments of Federal-aid highway funds authorized for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1961	8.
	Federal highway funds paid by Bureau of Public Roads during fiscal year ended June 30, 1960, by program and by State	9.
	Balances of Federal-aid funds available to States for projects not yet programed as of June 30, 1960	10.
	National System of Interstate and Defense Highways: Status of im- provement as of June 30, 1960	11.
	Interstate System improvements financed with Federal-aid funds: Status of projects as of June 30, 1960, and projects completed dur- ing the fiscal year	12.
	Improvements of the Federal-aid primary system in rural areas financed with Federal-aid funds: Status of projects as of June 30, 1960, and projects completed during the fixed year	13.
	<ul> <li>Improvements on secondary roads in rural areas financed with Federal- aid funds: Status of projects as of June 30, 1960, and projects com- pleted during the fiscal year.</li> </ul>	14.
	Improvements in urban areas financed with Federal-aid funds: Status of projects as of June 30, 1960, and projects completed during the fiscal year	15.
	Funds authorized by Sees. 2(a) and 2(e) ("D" and "L" funds, re- spectively) of the 1958 act: Projects completed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1960, by State	16.
1	. Status of program authorized by sees. 2(a) and 2(e) ("D" and "L" funds, respectively) of the 1958 act as of June 30, 1960, by program and by State	17.
1	Mileage of designated Federal-aid highway systems, by State, as of Dec. 31, 1959	18.
1	Status of national forest highway projects as of June 30, 1960, and projects completed during the fiscal year	19.
1	Mileage of the national forest highway system, by forest road class and by State, as of June 30, 1960	20.
1	Mileage of highways in national parks, monuments, and parkways constructed under the direct supervision of the Bureau of Public Boads during fiscal year 1960	21.
1		

Table 1.--Summaries of programs approved and work completed in the fiscal year 1960, by class of highway and by fund

		PROGRA	MS APPE	tOVED 1				WORK	COMPL	ETED		
				Railwe crossir	ty-highway ig improve	7 grade ments				Railwa crossin	ty-highway ig improver	grade nents
	Total cost	Federal funds	Miles	Crossings elimi- nated	Struc- tures recon- structed	Crossings pro- tected	Total cost	Federal funds	Miles	Crossings elimi- nated	Struc- tures recon- structed	Crossings pro- tected
		BY CL	ASS OF HIGH	4 MAY				BY CLA	ASS OF II161	IWAY		
Primary-rural: Interstate All other Secondary-rural	\$931, 000, 855 632, 948, 078 396, 851, 388	\$814, 875, 966 334, 347, 098 208, 953, 457	$\begin{array}{c} 1,929.1\\ 4,997.6\\ 10,368.5\end{array}$	65 67 24	162	$\begin{array}{c}1\\93\\156\end{array}$	\$980, 136, 599 823, 849, 992 588, 824, 752	\$856, 762, 269 455, 695, 847 321, 444, 681	$\begin{array}{c} 2, 145, 8\\ 8, 454, 0\\ 17, 134, 9\end{array}$	201 201	- t~ m	$^{2}_{209}$
Interstate	999, 128, 856 443, 724, 900	738, 848, 239 228, 402, 252	267.3 650.3	53 59	18	55	752, 413, 433 506, 271, 654	603, 976, 638 266, 585, 463	273.4 821.5	890	80 B	5. 16
Suhtotal Not classified <sup>2</sup>	3, 403, 654, 077 79, 030, 489	$2, 325, 427, 012 \\ 75, 480, 666$	$     \begin{array}{c}       18, 212.8 \\       1, 103.9     \end{array} $	268	28	310	3, 651, 496, 430 86, 975, 714	$2, 504, 464, 898 \\72, 998, 810$	28, 829, 6 1, 129, 6	421 1	23	365 1
Total	3, 482, 684, 566	2,400,907,678	19, 316. 7	268	28	310	3, 738, 472, 144	2, 577, 463, 708	29, 959. 2	422	33	366
			By Fund						By Fund			
Federal aid: Primary Secondary Urbanne Interstate "D" funds	\$691, 017, 826 411, 263, 110 378, 434, 901 1, 922, 938, 240	365, 548, 535 216, 019, 242 193, 862, 458 1, 549, 996, 777	$\begin{array}{c} 5, 196.4 \\ 10, 419.5 \\ 404.7 \\ 2, 192.2 \end{array}$	81 25 46 116	361	106 156 42 6	\$656, 929, 263 475, 634, 357 381, 489, 786 1, 718, 561, 367 418, 881, 657	\$340, 217, 480 247, 464, 566 195, 160, 327 1, 453, 210, 937 268, 411, 588	$\begin{array}{c} 5, 639.9\\ 14, 096.8\\ 2, 401.8\\ 6, 315.7\end{array}$	248 196 196 24 24 24 24 24	64648	112 209 33 4
Subtotal	3, 403, 654, 077	2, 325, 427, 012	18, 212.8	268	28	310	3, 651, 496, 430	2, 504, 464, 898	28, 829, 6	421	23	365
Defense access roads National forest highway <sup>3</sup> . National park and parkway <sup>4</sup> . Bureau of Land Management <sup>1</sup> . Forest development <sup>4</sup> . Fublie lands. Burgency flood relief.	16, 897, 160 30, 491, 648 26, 125, 900 300, 532 5, 215, 249	16, 540, 121 28, 596, 727 26, 125, 900 300, 032 3, 917, 886	241.4 483.3 311.3 3.3 64.6				13, 597, 807 26, 167, 701 22, 158, 344 2, 233, 537 5, 765, 861 5, 765, 861 5, 861 16, 168, 401	11, 712, 414 22, 687, 981 22, 158, 344 22, 158, 344 5, 253, 567, 861 5, 559, 610 7, 559, 610	$\begin{array}{c} 222.2\\ 480.6\\ 57.3\\ 57.3\\ 3.8\\ 3.8\\ 3.8\\ 50.9\end{array}$	I		1
Subtotal	79, 030, 489	75, 480, 666	1, 103. 9				86, 975, 714	72, 998, 810	1, 129.6	1		1
Total	3, 482, 684, 566	2,400,907,678	19, 316. 7	268	28	310	3, 738, 472, 144	2, 577, 463, 708	29, 959. 2	422	23	366-
<sup>1</sup> Initial commitment of funds. <sup>2</sup> Defense access roads, forest, public lands and emergency floo.	park, Bureau of d relief projects.	Land Managem	ent, forest	developme	nt, 4	Includes co Constructic	astruction projection supervised by	ts only. Bureau of Public	c Roads.			

nud
ĥ
f.
and
way
igh
f h
0 8
clas
ay e
0, 1
96
.1
3(
June
on .
ed
0
ppr
s a
lan
r p
0 1
tio
rue
nst
CO
der
ůn
cts
oje
Pr
e 2
abl

				Railway-highw	ay grade crossing	improvements
	Total cost	Federal funds	Miles	Crossings eliminated	Structures re- constructed	Crossings protected
	BY CLASS OF HIC	YAWH				
Primary-rural: Interstate All other. Secondary-rural	\$2, 710, 536, 599 1, 162, 008, 970 790, 242, 147	\$2, 397, 062, 149 608, 233, 840 415, 548, 705	$\begin{array}{c} 4, 641. \\ 7, 256. \\ 17, 563. 2\end{array}$	224 138 66	1- <u>1</u> 4- 4-	2 112 311
Urban: Interstate All other	2, 476, 721, 887 1, 050, 544, 370	2, 019, 471, 894 542, 937, 626	722.4 1, 178.5	166 162	$\frac{1}{25}$	8 65
Subtotal . Not classified 1	8, 190, 053, 973 122, 995, 144	5, 983, 254, 214 115, 499, 875	31, 362.3 1, 566.4	756 1	51	498 .1
Total	8, 313, 049, 117	6, 098, 754, 089	32, 928, 7	757	51	499
	BY FUND					
	_					
Federal-aid: Primary Secondary Urban Urban "D" funds: "D" funds:	\$1. 282, 914, 918 \$15, 176, 737 916, 742, 872 5. 148, 122, 174 5. 148, 122, 174	\$671, 868, 845 425, 357, 828 472, 896, 725 4, 396, 221, 620 16, 909, 196	7, 524, 5 17, 528, 7 736, 7 5, 357, 7 214, 7	157 68 141 386 4	21 4 8 8 8	125 311 52 10
Subtotal	8, 190, 053, 973	5, 983, 254, 214	31, 362. 3	756	51	498
Defense access roads National forest highway <sup>2</sup> National park and parkway <sup>3</sup> Bureau of Land Management <sup>3</sup> Forest development <sup>3</sup> . Emergency flood relief	17, 300, 360 48, 347, 125 35, 833, 502 7, 079, 400 3, 570, 250 3, 844, 075 6, 970, 422	16, 429, 092 45, 118, 957 7, 079, 400 3, 820, 410 3, 820, 418 3, 648, 249	171.1 171.1 337.8 337.8 195.7 195.7 50.1 50.1	1		1
Subtotal.	122, 995, 144	115, 499, 875	1,566.4	1		1
Total	8, 313, 049, 117	6, 098, 754, 089	32, 928, 7	757	51	499
<sup>1</sup> Defense access roads, forest, park, Bureau of Land Management, forest d public lands and emergency flood relief projects.	evelopment, <sup>2</sup> 1 3 (	Includes construction Construction supervi	n projects only. ised by Bureau o	f Public Roads.		

Table 3,-Projects fuanced with Federal-aid funds programed,<sup>1</sup> during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1960, hy State

	Miles	508.6 92.7 179.5 460.9	260.6 331.3 37.3 52.9	$\begin{array}{c} 172.1 \\ 323.9 \\ 12.1 \\ 197.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 473.\ 2\\121.\ 8\\703.\ 1\\763.\ 5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 154.0\\ 269.5\\ 103.6\\ 151.9\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 55.0\\ 761.9\\ 1,343.3\\ 458.8\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 665.7\\ 424.1\\ 411.0\\ 157.6 \end{array}$	44.6 53.0 229.0 409.4
Total	Federal funds	$\begin{array}{c} \$33, 552, 980\\ 18, 948, 659\\ 36, 780, 875\\ 26, 224, 299\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 204,081,621\\ 26,441,377\\ 40,996,851\\ 6,894,332\end{array}$	38, 822, 663 20, 848, 765 1, 812, 457 22, 170, 341	$\begin{array}{c} 116,323,680\\ 62,185,131\\ 30,214,380\\ 24,090,268 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25,673,625\\62,998,084\\13,570,938\\37,884,664 \end{array}$	67, 690, 285 80, 317, 939 64, 220, 882 25, 474, 386	68, 135, 224 29, 283, 014 30, 688, 013 19, 731, 504	$\begin{array}{c} 14,234,401\\ 70,013,068\\ 27,634,964\\ 155,265,676\end{array}$
	Total cost	\$56, 460, 256 21, 654, 152 41, 812, 651 39, 890, 830	$\begin{array}{c} 391,150,194\\ 37,442,202\\ 55,097,852\\ 10,172,360\end{array}$	50, 383, 835 33, 381, 697 4. 385, 894 27, 411, 191	$155, 386, 720\\91, 250, 264\\47, 825, 758\\41, 535, 978$	35, 948, 010 84, 130, 725 20, 739, 816 48, 664, 557	$\begin{array}{c} 99,120,119\\ 116,607,122\\ 135,294,600\\ 35,273,530\end{array}$	94, 203, 032 39, 027, 823 42, 930, 482 22, 190, 643	$\begin{array}{c} 20,667,882\\ 88,278,611\\ 35,522,424\\ 233,156,301 \end{array}$
	Miles	67.5 77.9 49.8	97.2 86.8 8.3 .2	54.7 12.0 58.5	$\begin{array}{c} 80.0\\ 21.0\\ 33.9\\ 49.6\end{array}$	45.8 41.8 26.3 14.5	$15.9 \\ 60.6 \\ 43.4 \\ 63.7 \\ 63.7 \\ 7$	73.5 60.4 35.9 24.5	$\begin{array}{c} 7.8\\ 13.9\\ 31.9\\ 50.2 \end{array}$
iterstate	Federal funds	\$15, 318, 498 27, 359, 128 13, 481, 853	$\begin{array}{c} 181,127,752\\ 17,147,651\\ 30,992,744\\ 3,996,562 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 31,855,255\\ 10,861,449\\ 16,501,412 \end{array}$	85, 465, 175 84, 218, 583 13, 555, 042 11, 637, 916	$\begin{array}{c} 17,031,361\\ 47,776,228\\ 6,692,912\\ 29,408,866\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 41,150,875\\ 49,550,103\\ 40,686,863\\ 17,566,957\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 45, 721, 001\\ 16, 579, 784\\ 18, 426, 510\\ 9, 717, 309\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9,693,704\\ 58,486,356\\ 15,092,597\\ 96,868,526\end{array}$
II	Total cost	$\begin{array}{c} \$17,020,554\\ 28,960,943\\ 14,993,451 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 347, 701, 742\\ 19, 759, 104\\ 35, 108, 802\\ 4, 448, 720 \end{array}$	36, 405, 567 12, 234, 958 17, 848, 428	$\begin{array}{c} 95,113,654\\ 38,020,648\\ 14,990,463\\ 16,742,358\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 18,999,349\\ 54,038,238\\ 7,436,569\\ 32,621,629 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 45,946,017\\ 55,055,672\\ 90,752,521\\ 19,495,171\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50,534,983\\ 18,079,530\\ 20,440,479\\ 10,234,017\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,448,308\\ 65,179,339\\ 16,130,250\\ 108,070,833\end{array}$
	Miles	21.6 3.4 5.5	5.0 4.7 9.3 10.7	9.9 .5	21.3 21.3 21.3 21.3 21.3	$\begin{array}{c} 7.9\\ 1.2\\ 13.8\end{array}$	$12.8 \\ 14.4 \\ 13.5 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 12.1 \\ 2.0 \\ 12.1 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.$	16.9 1.3 .5	2.6 11.5 37.5
Urban	Federal funds	\$5, 246, 110 1, 052 673, 931 341, 108	$\begin{array}{c} 6,677,273\\ 2,001,862\\ 6,230,249\\ 969,193\end{array}$	$1, 644, 792 \\324, 392 \\318, 623$	9, 233, 892 7, 584, 516 2, 721, 504 398, 101	$\begin{array}{c} 62,500\\ 3,541,335\\ 823,916\\ 6,378,481\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17,699,540\\ 8,215,861\\ 4,353,140\\ 198,823\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,449,354\\ 284,896\\ 1,060,448\\ 376,206\end{array}$	690, 107 7, 253, 925 497, 763 24, 452, 675
	Total cost	10, 276, 685 1, 222 1, 017, 774 631, 452	$\begin{array}{c} 12,575,486\\ 4,053,294\\ 12,498,504\\ 1,761,086 \end{array}$	3, 289, 745 636, 784 670, 132	$\begin{array}{c} 17,858,409\\ 13,066,842\\ 5,319,593\\ 789,485\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 125,000\\ 6,817,576\\ 1,653,398\\ 12,163,513\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 35,602,723\\ 16,492,722\\ 7,288,695\\ 396,646\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12,168,238\\ 506,486\\ 1,156,006\\ 386,817\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 382, 624\\ 14, 564, 150\\ 793, 742\\ 53, 975, 056 \end{array}$
	Miles	$\begin{array}{c} 320.2\\ 25.2\\ 62.0\\ 243.2\\ 243.2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 132.8\\ 158.8\\ 12.5\\ 28.1\\ 28.1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.7\\ 136.6\\ 5.9\\ 91.0\end{array}$	201.0 109.6 328.1 494.7	$\begin{array}{c} 79.7\\ 141.7\\ 41.2\\ 41.2\\ 111.0\end{array}$	$^{12.7}_{1,082.7}_{1,082.7}$	$\begin{array}{c} 462.1\\ 207.4\\ 251.4\\ 44.6\end{array}$	15.8 13.1 139.6 126.7
econdary	Federal funds	$\begin{array}{c} \$4, 785, 389\\ 7, 377, 121\\ 2, 898, 589\\ 4, 529, 435 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,086,774\\ 4,213,209\\ 1,669,718\\ 1,169,775 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}1,349,363\\4,010,701\\636,267\\1,573,736\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,234,627\\7,715,466\\4,309,501\\4,515,368\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,400,387\\ 4,317,406\\ 2,122,879\\ 1,477,315\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2, 160, 192\\ 5, 701, 024\\ 8, 811, 165\\ 2, 299, 744 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5,025,364\\ 5,803,917\\ 4,232,833\\ 1,658,786 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,  501,  064 \\ 450,  022 \\ 5,  206,  439 \\ 7,  572,  726 \end{array}$
20	Total cost	\$10, 468, 732 \$, 352, 686 4, 031, 899 9, 062, 471	$\begin{array}{c} 11,994,508\\7,904,697\\3,245,169\\2,336,150\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,699,378\\ 8,598,828\\ 1,278,134\\ 2,886,791 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8,487,257\\ 15,350,152\\ 8,586,921\\ 8,954,737\end{array}$	6, 771, 974 8, 733, 577 4, 246, 958 2, 647, 594	$\begin{array}{c} 4,023,441\\ 111,367,979\\ 17,441,206\\ 4,651,389\end{array}$	9, 986, 046 9, 262, 697 8, 148, 708 1, 990, 860	$\begin{array}{c} 3,043,586\\ 900,043\\ 7,630,334\\ 16,453,894\end{array}$
	Miles	99.3 67.5 36.2 162.4	25.6 81.0 7.2 13.9	$ \begin{array}{c} 44.8\\ 174.8\\ 6.0\\ 48.3\\ 48.3 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 170.9\\ 278.4\\ 319.8\\ 216.8\end{array}$	28.5 78.1 34.9 34.9	$13.6 \\ 263.5 \\ 203.7 \\ 140.4$	$\frac{113.2}{155.0}$ $\frac{155.0}{88.1}$	$18.4 \\ 14.5 \\ 57.5 \\ 195.0$
Primary	Federal funds	$\begin{array}{c} \$8, 202, 983 \\ \$11, 570, 486 \\ 5, 849, 227 \\ 7, 871, 903 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,189,822\\ 3,078,655\\ 2,104,140\\ 758,802 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,973,253\\ 5,652,223\\ 857,567\\ 4,095,193\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17, 389, 986\\ 12, 666, 566\\ 9, 628, 333\\ 7, 538, 883\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5, 179, 377\\ 7, 363, 115\\ 3, 931, 231\\ 620, 002 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,679,678\\ 16,850,951\\ 10,369,714\\ 5,408,862 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,939,505\\ 6,614,417\\ 6,968,222\\ 7,979,203 \end{array}$	2, 349, 526 3, 822, 765 6, 838, 165 26, 371, 749
	Total cost	$\begin{array}{c} \$18, 694, 325\\ 13, 300, 244\\ 7, 802, 035\\ 15, 203, 456\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 18,878,458\\ 5,725,107\\ 4,245,377\\ 1,626,404 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7,989,145\\ 11,911,127\\ 2,437,628\\ 6,675,972 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33,927,400\\ 24,812,622\\ 18,928,781\\ 15,049,398\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,051,687\\ 14,541,334\\ 7,402,891\\ 1,231,821 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,547,938\\ 33,690,749\\ 19,812,178\\ 10,730,324\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21,513,765\\ 11,179,110\\ 13,185,289\\ 9,578,949\end{array}$	4, 793, 364 7, 635, 079 10, 968, 038 51, 656, 518
	State or territory	Alabama Alaska Alaska Arkansas	California Colorado Connecticut Delaware.	Florida Georgia Hawaii Idaho	Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas	Kentucky	Massachusetts Michigan - Minnesota Mississippi	Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico New York

309.6 703.6 217.5 444.9	332.0.192.7 192.7 4.4 596.3	$\substack{504. \ 6}{661. \ 4}$ 1, 715. $_0$	36.5 404.7 358.2 284.7	$\begin{array}{c} 367.\ 6\\ 257.\ 1\\ 3.\ 7\\ 15.\ 0\end{array}$	18, 212.8
$\begin{array}{c} 23,178,048\\ 15,617,205\\ 146,973,895\\ 31,122,235\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 41,323,656\\ 110,295,870\\ 9,482,767\\ 18,940,689\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26,268,796\\ 47,958,237\\ 106,273,400\\ 23,755,893 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11, 669, 637\\ 56, 640, 274\\ 33, 528, 115\\ 25, 067, 036 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34,124,142\ 33,590,827\ 33,560,136\ 3,750,808\ 3,750,808 \end{array}$	2,325,427,012
$\begin{array}{c} 37,460,546\\ 24,732,414\\ 193,777,386\\ 44,866,144\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 53,478,484\\ 160,949,931\\ 11,239,430\\ 31,122,045 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33, 652, 923\\ 64, 686, 236\\ 162, 192, 392\\ 26, 944, 871\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15, 735, 935\\ 77, 916, 906\\ 50, 329, 029\\ 34, 071, 336\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 46, 516, 863\\ 39, 051, 821\\ 30, 225, 828\\ 7, 706, 006 \end{array}$	3,403,654,077
$   \begin{array}{c}     42.5 \\     38.0 \\     58.9 \\     58.9 \\   \end{array} $	92.4 40.8 13.0	102.8 56.4 100.1 21.8	47.2 47.2 18.5 22.8	$62.6 \\ 109.1 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.3$	2, 192. 2
$\begin{array}{c} 11,113,558\\7,310,272\\108,965,179\\19,462,069\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 28,806,399\\ 69,290,145\\ 8,691,980\\ 7,467,666 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19,489,328\\ 35,081,257\\ 52,164,500\\ 16,859,099 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8, 558, 033\\ 38, 251, 405\\ 19, 350, 758\\ 18, 303, 348\\ 18, 303, 348\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23,098,378\\ 26,849,888\\ 16,914,483\end{array}$	1,549,996,777
$\begin{array}{c} 12,390,896\\ 8,074,230\\ 122,440,722\\ 21,627,321 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32, 647, 690\\ 77, 160, 766\\ 9, 657, 756\\ 8, 868, 273\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21, 399, 236\\ 38, 979, 179\\ 58, 067, 566\\ 17, 773, 521 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9, 509, 433\\ 42, 499, 398\\ 23, 946, 388\\ 20, 377, 054 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25,477,678\\ 28,804,429\\ 19,424,407\end{array}$	1,922,938,240
3.4 3.5 13.8 7.1	$\begin{array}{c}1.1\\13.3\\5.6\end{array}$	2.5 2.5 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.7	$   \begin{array}{c}     1.3 \\     5.7 \\     7.4 \\     1.8 \\     1.8   \end{array} $	2.9 .7 .3.9	404.7
$\begin{array}{c} 685,435\\ 532,300\\ 15,817,305\\ 1,654,813\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 932,848\\ 15,592,150\\ 286,646\\ 867,660\end{array}$	$115, 615 \\1, 750, 684 \\15, 216, 700 \\465, 939$	$\begin{array}{c} 249,916\\ 2,532,249\\ 2,599,036\\ 1,485,485\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,931,460\\ 35,518\\ 3,193,281\\ 1,211,790 \end{array}$	193, 862, 458
$\begin{array}{c} 1, 350, 370\\ 1, 067, 990\\ 30, 439, 058\\ 3, 326, 115\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,550,383\\ 31,242,743\\ 573,292\\ 1,735,320\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 208,995\\ 3,501,369\\ 27,664,260\\ 633,194 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 492,833\\ 4,949,403\\ 4,846,980\\ 2,970,970\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,437,800\\ 52,770\\ 5,864,591\\ 2,539,580\end{array}$	378, 434, 901
$   \begin{array}{c}     180.8 \\     494.2 \\     115.1 \\     245.0 \\   \end{array} $	$151.3 \\ 106.2 \\ 2.4 \\ 448.7 $	248.1 542.1 989.7 58.7	$\begin{array}{c} 10.4\\ 305.9\\ 264.6\\ 168.8\\ 168.8 \end{array}$	$     \begin{array}{c}       180.3 \\       82.8 \\       5.6 \\       5.6 \\     \end{array} $	0, 419. 5
$\begin{array}{c} 4,585,310\\ 3,989,253\\ 8,054,975\\ 3,904,901 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,669,492\\ 12,079,275\\ 205,883\\ 3,599,232\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,566,063\\ 6,177,202\\ 16,382,300\\ 2,582,263\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 973,538\\ 7,632,124\\ 5,376,665\\ 2,422,130\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,216,796\\ 2,499,843\\ 1,767,178\\ 518,517\end{array}$	216, 019, 242
$\begin{array}{c} 10,274,020\\ 8,013,474\\ 14,944,546\\ 7,807,694 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7,776,998\\ 24,415,200\\ 411,766\\ 7,172,465\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,  637,  241 \\ 12,  307,  500 \\ 32,  477,  725 \\ 3,  418,  198 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,948,354\\ 14,480,330\\ 9,986,459\\ 5,076,875 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,266,669\\ 3,883,775\\ 3,359,634\\ 1,065,321 \end{array}$	411, 263, 110
82.9 167.9 40.2 133.9	$\begin{array}{c} 87.2\\ 32.4\\ 1.3\\ 1.3\\ 129.0\end{array}$	151.2 58.3 566.7 36.4	17.4 45.9 67.7 91.3	$121.8 \\ 64.5 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.8 \\ 5.5 $	5, 196. 4
$\begin{array}{c} 6,793,745\\ 3,785,380\\ 14,136,436\\ 6,100,452\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6, 914, 917\\ 13, 334, 300\\ 238, 258\\ 7, 006, 131\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,097,790\\ 4,949,094\\ 22,509,900\\ 3,848,592\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,838,090\\ 8,224,496\\ 6,201,596\\ 2,856,073\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5,877,508\\ 4,205,578\\ 785,194\\ 2,020,501 \end{array}$	365, 548, 535
$\begin{array}{c} 13,445,260\\ 7,576,720\\ 25,953,060\\ 12,105,014 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,573,413\\ 23,131,222\\ 596,616\\ 13,345,987\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7,407,451\\ 9,898,188\\ 43,982,841\\ 5,119,958\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,778,305\\ 15,987,715\\ 11,549,202\\ 5,646,437\end{array}$	$11, 334, 716 \\ 6, 310, 847 \\ 1, 577, 196 \\ 4, 041, 105$	391, 017, 826
North Carolina North Dakota Ohio	Oregon Peunsylvania. Rhode Island South Carolina.	South Dakota. Tennessee. Utah.	Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia	Wiseonsin Wyoming District of Columbia	Total

<sup>1</sup> Initial commitment of funds.

Table 4.-Projects involving Federal funds awarded to contract<sup>1</sup> during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1960, by program and by State

State or torritory	Total cost	Total Federal		Federal-a	id funds		Access funds	Miles
		funds	Primary <sup>2</sup>	Secondary	Urban <sup>3</sup>	Interstate		
Alabama. Alaska Alaska Arfaona.	\$61, 611, 661 24, 009, 619 36, 950, 970 46, 197, 445	\$36, 484, 485 21, 357, 444 32, 221, 370 34, 164, 170	\$11, 254, 716 \$04, 430 4, 725, 737 4, 413, 171	\$3, 938, 340 9, 393, 836 4, 557, 767 4, 860, 763	\$5, 947, 140 1, 946 816, 420 46, 459	\$15, 344, 289 21, 901, 227 24, 843, 777	\$1,057,232 220,219	$614.0 \\ 53.1 \\ 227.4 \\ 446.7 $
California Colorado Comercicut Delaware	426, 341, 745 35, 345, 744 84, 534, 002 7, 453, 405	203, 367, 014 23, 570, 153 66, 434, 940 4, 722, 783	$\begin{array}{c} 15, 372, 865 \\ 6, 039, 096 \\ 4, 663, 382 \\ 931, 435 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9,  345,  620 \\ 4,  601,  994 \\ 2,  216,  526 \\ 1,  242,  597 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21,190,430\\ 2,210,070\\ 4,118,417\\ 448,599\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 157,263,478\\ 10,059,952\\ 55,417,265\\ 2,100,152 \end{array}$	194, 621 659, 041 19, 350	355.2 385.8 55.9 55.1
Florida Georgia Ilavaii Idato	$\begin{array}{c} 77,486,398\\ 54,497,212\\ 3,866,984\\ 23,904,104\end{array}$	59, 256, 510 34, 688, 304 1, 852, 312 18, 606, 542	5, 296, 280 6, 206, 009 573, 567 5, 322, 003	$\begin{array}{c} 3,626,376\\ 9,424,071\\ 960,122\\ 1,918,911 \end{array}$	3, 192, 288 688, 778 318, 623 4, 651	46, 053, 732 18, 369, 446 11, 355, 477	1,087,834 5,500	281.0 535.6 10.2 252.0
Illinois. Indiana Iorra Kansas.	$\begin{array}{c} 175, 597, 569\\ 110, 914, 912\\ 46, 748, 842\\ 52, 241, 246\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 123, 364, 415\\ 79, 146, 521\\ 31, 618, 549\\ 31, 367, 689\\ 31, 367, 689\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17,365,408\\ 13,151,694\\ 7,139,263\\ 6,716,273\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,254,542\\ 7,415,457\\ 4,737,045\\ 6,605,015 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,592,772\\7,889,120\\2,109,675\\1,688,331\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 84,\ 129,\ 293\\ 50,\ 614,\ 218\\ 17,\ 159,\ 808\\ 16,\ 218,\ 130\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22,400\\ 76,032\\ 472,758\\ 139,940\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 680.0\\ 435.0\\ 685.7\\ 1,035.8\end{array}$
Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland	51, 163, 833 93, 015, 545 19, 808, 300 44, 828, 792	36, 537, 684 67, 436, 139 13, 909, 988 33, 862, 538	$\begin{array}{c} 6, 844, 457\\ 11, 788, 925\\ 2, 518, 426\\ 1, 405, 002 \end{array}$	5, 174, 865 4, 713, 276 1, 835, 378 1, 235, 908	$\begin{array}{c} 1,465,454\\ 3,417,205\\ 680,716\\ 5,035,483\end{array}$	23, 041, 308 45, 727, 288 8, 581, 112 26, 126, 645	$11,789,445\\1,789,445\\294,356\\59,500$	$\begin{array}{c} 193. \\ 280. \\ 95. \\ 7 \\ 133. \\ 0 \end{array}$
Massachusetts Michigan Mintestota Missestpi	66, 733, 443 158, 364, 884 123, 903, 261 51, 260, 494	44, 224, 984 116, 458, 491 55, 750, 703 36, 937, 836	4, 877, 630 15, 069, 710 9, 613, 687 4, 637, 435	$\begin{array}{c} 2, 714, 479\\ 8, 524, 961\\ 7, 944, 222\\ 5, 212, 228\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,995,207\\ 9,274,511\\ 3,478,850\\ 1,202,550\end{array}$	23, 534, 489 82, 740, 326 34, 598, 520 25, 881, 996	$\begin{array}{c} 1,103,179\\ 848,983\\ 115,424\\ 3,627\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50.6\\ 1,005.5\\ 1,230.5\\ 699.0\end{array}$
Missouri. Montana Nebraska Nevada	$\begin{array}{c} 100,982,512\\ 32,293,146\\ 39,001,488\\ 14,699,418 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 70, 803, 164\\ 24, 654, 746\\ 27, 094, 951\\ 13, 081, 711 \end{array}$	14, 041, 557 3, 658, 763 6, 893, 845 4, 807, 360	7, 724, 492 5, 154, 161 4, 472, 172 1, 836, 677	5, 090, 562 370, 664 552, 046 355, 819	$\begin{array}{c} 43,839,448\\ 15,461,158\\ 14,929,238\\ 5,272,887\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 107,105\\ 10,000\\ 247,650\\ 808,968\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,001.6\\ 316.9\\ 488.7\\ 132.1\\ \end{array}$
New Hampslire. New Jersey- New Markoo- New York	$\begin{array}{c} 19, 621, 332\\ 72, 533, 893\\ 32, 623, 819\\ 220, 854, 784 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13, 785, 192\\ 51, 380, 849\\ 25, 497, 653\\ 139, 104, 789\end{array}$	2, 105, 455 3, 711, 265 4, 599, 752 23, 906, 967	$\begin{array}{c} 1,401,587\\ 1,192,297\\ 5,960,955\\ 8,384,983 \end{array}$	560, 327 12, 009, 701 840, 170 29, 133, 936	9, 657, 823 34, 467, 586 14, 096, 776 77, 663, 903	60, 000 15, 000	41, 7 61, 6 234, 7 450, 8
North Carolina	50, 787, 901 30, 374, 954 184, 114, 987 52, 404, 962	33, 125, 804 18, 648, 336 130, 252, 794 36, 237, 282	$\begin{array}{c} 6,\ 232,\ 497\\ 4,\ 548,\ 073\\ 11,\ 045,\ 895\\ 5,\ 140,\ 774 \end{array}$	8, 727, 133 6, 188, 046 9, 593, 791 6, 293, 297	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 560, 628\\ 250, 689\\ 31, 325, 903\\ 2, 230, 658 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16,605,546\\ 7,661,528\\ 78,201,805\\ 22,551,553\end{array}$	25, 400 21, 000	564. 6 1, 201. 2 194. 5 635. 5

155.1 67.7 64.4 9372.5 579.6 372.5 372.5 372.5 372.5 23.8 23.8 23.8 23.8 23.8 21,946.9	30, 000 738, 576 1, 131, 963 3, 969 2, 14, 859 2, 14, 859 7, 95, 566 7, 95, 566 13, 111, 371	22, 854, 853 16, 996, 205 54, 042, 050 19, 954, 900 15, 192, 387 18, 531, 696 12, 838, 295 15, 226, 245 15, 226, 245 1, 558, 705, 959	412, 204 227, 791 2, 259, 938 202, 285 1, 432, 719 1, 432, 719 3, 328, 459 3, 425 3, 425 220, 737, 734	3, 060, 571 1, 148, 719 7, 3816, 678 3, 875, 512 6, 279, 651 1, 714, 419 1, 714, 449 1, 714, 449 1, 714, 449 1, 714, 449 1, 714, 832 269, 574, 832	5, 447, 086 5, 447, 086 8, 543, 539 8, 543, 539 7, 530 5, 936, 910 5, 936, 910 5, 936, 910 5, 936, 910 5, 914, 535 3, 512, 618 3, 512, 618 3, 64, 961, 339	31, 804, 714 21, 653, 542 31, 141, 487 31, 141, 483 21, 622, 243 32, 191, 976 21, 678, 568 21, 678, 568, 568, 568, 568, 568, 568, 568, 56	36, 615, 483 27, 460, 093 27, 460, 093 29, 424, 413 29, 424, 413 29, 424, 413 29, 424, 413 29, 424, 723 30, 834, 502 30, 834, 502 30, 833, 506 30, 863, 363, 496	ah rmont restinta sehinta sekinta seonsin iseonsin iseonsin terto Rico retro Rico
737.6 672.0 1, 916.5 155.1	68, 914 55, 000 30, 000	9, 706, 167 50, 345, 721 91, 419, 680 22, 854, 853	$\begin{array}{c} 371,929\\ 1,745,004\\ 14,829,100\\ 412,204\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5,064,909\\ 6,150,962\\ 20,660,200\\ 3,060,571 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,475,422\\ 5,183,418\\ 18,013,600\\ 5,447,086 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21, 687, 341\\ 63, 480, 105\\ 144, 922, 580\\ 31, 804, 714 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{31,\ 771,\ 274}\\ \mathbf{32,\ 104,\ 976}\\ \mathbf{204,\ 618,\ 628}\\ \mathbf{36,\ 615,\ 483}\\ \mathbf{36,\ 615,\ 483}\end{array}$	unth Dakota
333.9 137.3 15.8 590.7	229, 860	$\begin{array}{c} 25, 621, 410\\ 45, 507, 204\\ 11, 050, 880\\ 7, 887, 108 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 534, 602\\ 8, 941, 420\\ 185, 496\\ 264, 543\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5,886,044\\ 6,987,300\\ 1,480,323\\ 4,367,269\\ \end{array}$	$5, 509, 158 \\14, 200, 400 \\498, 279 \\6, 123, 295$	38, 551, 214 75, 636, 324 13, 444, 838 18, 642, 215	$\begin{array}{c} 50, 264, 282 \\ 112, 481, 154 \\ 17, 014, 475 \\ 30, 433, 290 \end{array}$	regon masylvania hode Island uth Carolina

<sup>1</sup> Includes preliminary engineering, right-of-way, and force-account projects on which work was started during the fiscal year.

<sup>2</sup> Funds available for either rural or urban portions of the Federal-aid primary highway system.
<sup>5</sup> Funds available for primary system or urban extensions of secondary system.

Table 5.—Status of Federal-aid projects<sup>1</sup> as of June 30, 1960, and projects completed during the fiscal year

	Programed	, <sup>2</sup> plans not app	proved	Plans app cor	roved, not m istruction	nder	Und	er construction		Completed	l during fiscal	year
Searce of relation y	Total cost	Federal funds	Miles	Total cost	Federal funds	Miles	Total cost	Federal funds	Miles	Total cost	Federal funds	Miles
Alabama Alaska Arizona Arizona	\$56, 918, 540 11, 400, 414 23, 209, 177 44, 429, 234	\$35, 415, 836 9. 799, 271 21, 229, 957 33, 623, 929	115.2 124.0 68.5 306.4	\$25, 447, 912 2, 110, 180 15, 071, 651 12, 322, 390	\$16, 990, 596 1, 816, 653 13, 157, 602 9, 142, 106	$\begin{array}{c} 198.9\\ 16.8\\ 85.0\\ 124.2\end{array}$	\$153, 071, 797 37, 385, 004 53, 603, 743 105, 346, 139	\$112, 956, 891 32, 517, 659 47, 829, 181 81, 112, 488	856. 2 181. 1 191. 1 526. 9	\$54, 844, 314 12, 289, 556 29, 318, 345 32, 576, 666	\$34, 931, 394 9, 832, 989 25, 448, 507 20, 381, 571	988, 1 152, 4 261, 3 287, 1
California. Colorado Connectieut Delaware	28, 775, 374 10, 602, 556 8, 474, 234	20, 650, 193 7, 33%, 694 7, 107, 657	71. 4 69. 3 7. 1	88, 114, 534 7, 934, 418 8, 684, 483 4, 137, 001	68, 033, 750 5, 478, 557 4, 555, 112 3, 339, 943	8 25.0 8 8 25.0 8 8 25.0 8	538, 886, 803 46, 326, 070 128, 438, 185 30, 136, 500	316, 816, 780 32, 398, 673 92, 538, 459 22, 713, 251	326.2 334.3 86.5 69.8	288, 776, 078 42, 330, 965 35, 839, 003 9, 877, 682	167, 289, 509 30, 164, 967 23, 053, 733 6, 141, 012	437.3 410.5 49.3 63.1
Florida Georgia Ilawaii Idaho	7, 958, 000 87, 801, 904 2, 945, 220 16, 420, 014	4, 918, 800 70, 113, 807 1, 168, 235 14, 481, 306	111.8 315.1 5.7 49.8	$\begin{array}{c} 7,690,357\\ 18,034,955\\ 49,229\\ 7,703,848 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5,018,445\\ 111,797,371\\ 23,229\\ 5,939,073 \end{array}$	25.3 93.7 63.4	145, 472, 068 198, 533, 074 10, 305, 172 41, 262, 250	$\begin{array}{c} 112, 687, 349\\ 137, 743, 310\\ 4, 867, 429\\ 33, 660, 999\end{array}$	335.6 891.5 14.0 252.6	87, 074, 291 78, 750, 000 5, 824, 304 28, 911, 822	69, 312, 147 46, 604, 845 3, 139, 152 21, 742, 070	378.1 957.6 13.4 424.1
Illinois. Indiana Iowa Kansas.	54, 069, 161 45, 249, 931 11, 230, 596 8, 336, 129	39, 522, 058 32, 626, 053 8, 638, 500 5, 550, 970	$     \begin{array}{c}       144.2 \\       81.2 \\       64.0 \\       219.5 \\     \end{array}   $	$\begin{array}{c} 71,435,384\\ 38,591,255\\ 21,478,721\\ 11,665,621 \end{array}$	50, 597, 911 29, 073, 798 14, 653, 440 8, 025, 201	141. 2 214. 8 212. 5 199. 1	396, 434, 369 197, 264, 584 77, 874, 103 64, 787, 417	306, 111, 893 143, 524, 268 59, 219, 638 42, 259, 102	931.4 487.6 702.7 1,200.9	178, 810, 847 73, 959, 076 77, 405, 882 65, 024, 808	121, 557, 732 46, 078, 608 56, 940, 312 42, 362, 345	$\begin{array}{c} 1,088,6\\ 477,7\\ 1,014,8\\ 1,463,8\end{array}$
Kentucky Louistana. Maine Maryland.	$\begin{array}{c} 27, 762, 520\\ 111, 927, 851\\ 7, 402, 800\\ 22, 644, 100 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21,442,735\\ 10,643,697\\ 4,469,490\\ 18,826,790\end{array}$	76.3 23.6 12.5 17.9	$\begin{array}{c} 21,243,682\\ 40,994,340\\ 3,926,130\\ 30,481,646 \end{array}$	17, 763, 313 29, 512, 140 2, 842, 917 22, 624, 123	42.2 83.4 15.3 74.1	140, 067, 256 182, 216, 617 38, 806, 667 65, 230, 238	104, 447, 432 131, 638, 183 31, 207, 711 49, 028, 648	348. 7 514. 9 112. 7 163. 7	72, 255, 617 83, 910, 495 29, 981, 825 47, 293, 962	51, 452, 236 57, 979, 873 20, 108, 099 31, 637, 802	330, 3 652, 8 134, 3 230, 4
Massachusetts Michigan . Minnesota Mississippi	12, 468, 002 21, 913, 914 16, 746, 006 43, 037, 087	10, 788, 381 12, 357, 862 15, 054, 583 33, 670, 381	$\begin{array}{c} 2.5 \\ 115.1 \\ 1.0 \\ 235.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 74,\ 570,\ 192\\ 36,\ 247,\ 701\\ 15,\ 718,\ 812\\ 12,\ 163,\ 300 \end{array}$	54, 499, 827 24, 278, 128 10, 382, 281 8, 740, 920	48.4 291.1 169.0 143.3	206, 068, 471 279, 136, 444 256, 272, 366 113, 556, 001	149, 808, 920 220, 073, 114 160, 461, 314 83, 999, 690	$\frac{117.2}{822.3}$ 1,631.7 926.8	69, 424, 878 114, 312, 222 48, 728, 859 54, 417, 663	48, 866, 223 76, 230, 114 30, 082, 418 34, 827, 177	$\begin{array}{c} 60.3\\912.4\\1.312.1\\1.008.8\end{array}$
Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	18, 581, 688 13, 366, 813 4, 883, 808 8, 948, 978	12, 795, 766 10, 506, 266 3, 828, 996 8, 032, 580	359. 6 127. 8 31. 8 35. 9	$\begin{array}{c} 21,328,706\\ 14,940,007\\ 16,427,817\\ 1,771,192 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15, 693, 626\\ 11, 085, 704\\ 111, 397, 620\\ 1, 476, 108\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 189.2 \\ 161.1 \\ 122.8 \\ 39.1 \end{array}$	$160, 558, 640 \\ 81, 073, 144 \\ 78, 886, 923 \\ 43, 891, 405$	113, 781, 553 64, 523, 556 58, 117, 963 40, 663, 914	$\begin{array}{c} 1,020.3\\ f65.4\\ 828.1\\ 123.4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 102,087,426\\ 32,236,552\\ 40,610,978\\ 14,280,606\\ \end{array}$	73, 857, 829 22, 861, 328 24, 358, 675 24, 358, 675 12, 600, 128	$1,152.1\\(0),0\\805.1\\162.1$
New Hampshire New Jorsey New Mexico New York	$\begin{array}{c} 5,081,828\\ 46,657,060\\ 10,140,817\\ 38,918,476 \end{array}$	3, 974, 254 35, 862, 964 8, 506, 630 28, 648, 134	8.3 58.0 35.3 30.2	5, 968, 723 16, 860, 900 3, 651, 057 71, 583, 344	$\begin{array}{c} 4,033,979\\ 12,980,783\\ 2,524,020\\ 51,809,091 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15.5\\23.1\\33.4\\107.0\end{array}$	39, 892, 959 190, 710, 033 41, 815, 065 652, 795, 347	31, 633, 974 34, 876, 052 34, 643, 014 452, 616, 914	65.7 85.6 208.4 663.7	22, 378, 278 45, 707, 813 41, 616, 054 322, 800, 161	16, 010, 463 30, 457, 026 32, 956, 514 207, 679, 599	56. 7 102. 7 345. 1 512. 5

1 368.8	586.5	546.6		431.1	439.7	39. 0 000 r	800, 0	915.7	905.6	2, 443. 5	184.3	67.8	424.0	439.7	126.3		837.1	346.8	12.1	32.0	0.000	28, 829. 6	
43, 107, 354 96, 064, 003	242. 238. 342	43, 922, 383		34, 538, 563	159, 636, 899	8, 450, 167	26, 425, 315	18, 242, 382	35, 228, 467	145, 877, 896	19, 056, 979	7 843 970	26, 515, 228	58, 716, 861	14, 100, 099		52, 388, 915	30,690,873	8,066,247	6, 424, 818		2, 504, 464, 898	
65, 191, 481	305 280 889	60, 002, 583		45, 689, 879	225, 195, 194	12, 476, 236	41, 384, 100	28, 142, 344	55, 712, 862	214, 396, 818	23, 213, 024	19 860 033	43, 518, 667	76. 856. 718	22, 984, 381		74. 458, 467	36, 853, 552	13, 729, 667	12, 753, 469		3, 651, 496, 430	
582.9	1, 110, 1	679.0		377.5	324.3	25.2	1, 197.5	635.5	667.5	1.687.2	176.6	04.0	406. 2	346.1	193. 7		615.4	393.0	13.3	73.2		25, 537. 9	
57, 987, 170	21, 252, 521	50, 969, 575		87, 536, 219	182, 185, 054	30, 568, 991	78, 446, 768	39, 541, 620	160, 403, 494	254, 280, 403	46, 555, 722	ED 242 001	30, 040, 301 144, 035, 318	60, 296, 983	73, 018, 825		71. 550, 243	52, 857, 886	47, 066, 490	14, 532, 248		5, 180, 051, 516	
79, 818, 399	40, 127, 990	71, 296, 469		105.617.621	248, 773, 517	42, 320, 729	102, 562, 928	51, 167, 363	904 451 489	334 312 519	52, 194, 480	01 100 010	01, 433, 939 177 131 537	70 319 736	05 919 428	A	104.020.018	62, 853, 616	64, 115, 369	30, 148, 393		7, 080, 420, 685	
128.9	176.7	202.7		85.9	80.8	1.5	265.7	200.4	963 4	160.7	95.8		2. I 907 8	121.9	356.7	• • • • • •	165.1	65.6	4			5, 824.4	
10, 989, 012	8, 152, 147	37, 333, 233	TT, 000, TT	13 641.650	44, 766, 551	377.210	9, 048, 347	8 611 133	0, 011, 100	20, 212, 102 17 097 600	10, 791, 856		491, 343 00 202 550	16 060 457	10, 300, 401 17, 008, 050	11, 000, au	13 091 807	5 440 005	5 996 809			803, 202, 698	
16, 573, 568	12,000,190	52, 957, 610 18, 079, 301	10, 312, 001	16 518 081	66, 797, 164	476.900	13, 393, 559	10 000 893	0.6 407 419	07 EDE 171	24, 099, 171		982, 687	29, 510, 167	23, 009, 209	79, 479, 001	17 582 491	R 564 095	7 505 550	1, 100, 000		1, 109, 633, 288	
124.2	304.4	19.8	2.606	55 Q	49.8		256.1	904-2	0.12.0	240.1	228.3			20.02	14.1	0.10	5 24 4	0 ° ° 4	+ 0.0 9	0.02		5, 195. 9	
16, 803, 228	5, 239, 487	17, 814, 469	17, 000, 641	12 000 818	10, 000, 010	1 561 700	14, 596, 100	04 107 967	34, 107, 337	35, 092, 518	46. 799, 700 0 560 046	nto (nno (o	339, 825	6, 537, 190	8, 370, 010	38, 450, 634	10 004 000	10, 301, 000	10, 004, 340	20, 470, 411 8 405 731	TOI POL O	926, 721, 631	
22. 795. 172	6, 517, 722	21, 487, 310	24, 909, 200	000 111 01	10, 141, 009	01, 200, 200	21, 956, 338	10 400 400	40, 429, 450	45, 451, 320	58, 041, 600 10, 594, 412	IU, 023, TIO	677, 250	9, 037, 256	14, 443, 876	50, 950, 999	00 410 000	22, 510, 003	17, 014, 502	28, 945, 651	11, 031, 002	1, 217, 579, 216	
North Carolina	North Dakota	Ohio	Oklahoma		Oregon	Pennsylvania	Rhode Island Sonth Carolina		South Dakota	Tennessee	Texas	Utan	Vermont	Virginia	Washington	West Virginia		Wisconsin	W youning	District of Columbia	Puerto Rico	T'otal	

<sup>2</sup> Initial commitment of funds. 1 Includes projects financed from Federal-aid primary, secondary, urban, "D" and Interstate finds.

Table 6.-Mileage of Federal-aid highway projects completed during fiscal year 1960, by program and by number of lanes

	Pri	mary progra	ш	Secondary	Url	an progra	ц	Inter	state prog	ram	33	D" prograt	e
State or territory	2 lanes	4 lanes	6 lanes or more	program 1	2 lanes	4 lanes	6 lanes or more	2 lanes	4 lanes	6 lanes or more	2 lanes	4 lanes	6 lanes or more
Alahama Alaska Alaska Arizona	127.0 28.5 22.6 97.8	93.0 .8 3.5 .1		$\begin{array}{c} 577. 6\\ 19. 0\\ 101. 3\\ 235. 5\end{array}$		7.4 12.2		27.9	51.8 58.8 26.1	.2	$\begin{array}{c} 98.0\\ 102.8\\ 46.8\\ 165.1\end{array}$	33.3	
California Colorado Comecticut Defaware	7.1.3 5.4 7.4 7.4	55.7 5.3 3.4 1.5	3.6	176.0 169.8 5.8 33.1	1.0	3.1	8,4	15.1	62. 7 45. 1 3. 1	17.2 1.6	81.4 105.4 19.7 19.6	17.0 4.0 6.2	2.6
Florida Georgia Hawaii	$\begin{array}{c} 21.1\\ 220.6\\ 3.4\\ 79.8\end{array}$	40.8 26.6 2.9		247.5 399.5 4.5 174.6	1.0	 			30. 0 32. 9 46. 3	6.7	20.2 274.4 4.2 119.1	8.9 1.8 1.1	3.0
Illinois. Indiana Jova. Kanasa.	161.6 45.2 181.9 323.1	17.6 47.7 32.1		$\begin{array}{c} 450.0\\ 190.0\\ 525.7\\ 800.0\end{array}$	2.0	33.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9	4.1	.1	$\begin{array}{c} 20.5\\ 14.4\\ 106.6\\ 48.0\end{array}$	5.1 4.1 4.3	$\begin{array}{c} 375.1 \\ 128.2 \\ 177.4 \\ 245.8 \end{array}$	13. 1 44. 6 3. 5 1. 1	6.0
Kentucky Louisiana Marine Maryland	37.9 32.3 32.3 43.4	22.6 5.6 3.6		$120.3 \\ 249.5 \\ 44.7 \\ 143.2 \\ 143.2 \\ 143.2 \\ 123.2 \\ 123.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2 \\ 120.2$	1.0	5.5 6.7 6.7 6.7 7 7 7		8.2	48.1 29.3 8.6 8.6	4.1	86.4 161.9 36.7 13.0	7.4 3.4 11.7	
Massachusetts Michigan Michigan Missispini	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \\ 141.3 \\ 91.7 \\ 190.9 \end{array}$	25.5 32.3 34.8	.1	5.2 473.5 950.0 474.0	99.53 99.53 99.53	ю́сіг,4; 4 ю – 4	6.0	1.0	56.1 8.4 56.0	10.7 6.7	$\begin{array}{c} 21.9\\ 177.1\\ 213.6\\ 245.9\end{array}$	4.7 12.2 6.7	1.9 10.5 .8
Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevrada	93.0 64.2 155.5 25.5	8.1 3.1 1.1		880. 7 307. 6 465. 8 63. 1	61 20041	6.9		10.1	$\begin{array}{c} 64.4 \\ 14.8 \\ 7.1 \\ 30.2 \end{array}$	5.0	77.5 198.7 174.5 50.3	13.8	
New Hampshire New Jorsey New Mersto	16.5 48.0 112.1	23.8 21.8 21.7	2 ·	$\begin{array}{c} 14.7\\ 26.0\\ 151.4\\ 134.6\end{array}$	1.2	3.6	. 8 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	6.3 30.7	8.8 38.7 26.5	2.8 34.7	8.8 8.1 51.2 130.8	27.2	2.5

1.9						31.7
13.1	27.1 .2	7.55.51 4.55 2.4	50.3 50.3	11.4 4.5 4.5	5.0 1.6 10.7	437.8
118.3	236.8 29.1	$74.9 \\ 88.0 \\ 9.6 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ 185.8 \\ $	179.9 137.9 349.0 20.1	11.6 87.6 54.2 46.2	173. 1 77. 4 1. 4 3. 1	5, 846.2
2.	3.2 1.7	$1.4 \\ 2.5$	$   \begin{array}{c}     2.2 \\     16.1 \\     5.0   \end{array} $	8.8	. 1	149.3
97.8 74.6	150.0 97.9	110.6 $69.0$ $15.8$	$14.1 \\ 27.0 \\ 197.6 \\ 13.0 \\ 13.0 \\$	$^{2.0}_{6.4}$	143. 3 73. 5	2, 095. 9
14.9	90 10 10	17.5		10.5	10.1	156.6
.5	.1	. 5	8.4	1.1	. 2	45.7
3,33	5.8 6.1	26.2 4.2	2.9 2.8 03.6 1.3	8.9 2.6	4.0 .6 .3 .3	284.5
2.2	2.6	2.4	1.9	1.2 1.2	1.4	45.2
370.9	86.6 313.5	147.6 132.8 11.2 560.7	$\begin{array}{c} 432.8\\ 613.0\\ 1,008.3\\ 113.3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32.4\\ 207.5\\ 212.8\\ 28.0\end{array}$	329.0 155.1 2.7 13.9	14,096.8
	.1		1.9		3.7	10.3
20.0	$28.0 \\ 26.6$	$\begin{array}{c} & 5 \\ 33.9 \\ 1.5 \\ 30.3 \end{array}$	10.1 38.5 178.3 1.6	26.2 19.6 7.0	29.1 3.8 1.4	1,011.1
90.0	46.1 67.6	71.6 44.3 1.4 58.3	$\begin{array}{c} 275.9 \\ 80.9 \\ 569.8 \\ 19.1 \end{array}$	21.9 74.4 84.3 40.8	$\begin{array}{c} 152.6\\24.4\\22.5\end{array}$	4, 618.5
North Carolina	Ditio	Dregon comsylvania studde Island south Carolina	south Dakota Pennessee Dexas	Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia	Wisconsin. Wyoming	Total

<sup>1</sup> Total mileage completed, principally 2-lane construction.

Table 7.-Lane classification of mileage of Federal-aid highway projects completed during fiscal year 1960, by class of fund

				Mile	age				
ber of lanes	Primarv	Secondary	Urban	Interstate		"D" funds		Total	Total lane miles
					Primary	Secondary	Urban		
	$\begin{array}{c} 4,618.5\\ 1,011.1\\ 10.3\end{array}$	1 14,096.8	45.2 284.5 45.7	$\begin{array}{c} 156.6\\ 2,095.9\\ 149.3\end{array}$	2, 718, 0 375, 7 14, 3	1 3, 116. 0	$12.2 \\ 62.1 \\ 17.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 24,763.3\\ 3,829.3\\ 237.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 49,526.6\\ 15,317.2\\ 1,422.0\end{array}$
	5, 639. 9	14, 096. 8	375.4	2.401.8	3.108.0	3, 116.0	91.7	28, 829. 6	2 66, 265. 8

 $^1$  Total mileage completed, principally 2-lane construction.  $^2$  6-lane-and-over mileage was all converted to lane miles on the basis of 6 lanes.

Table 8.-Apportionment of Federal-aid highway funds authorized for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1961

State or territory	Primary	Secondary	Urban	Subtotal	Interstate	Total
	(\$416,250,000)	(\$277,500,000)	(\$231,250,060)	(\$925,000,000)	(\$1,800,000,000)	(\$2,725,000,000)
Alabama.	\$7, 442, 365	\$6, 317, 499	\$2, 955, 538	\$16, 715, 402	$\begin{array}{c} \$35, 141, 040\\ 24, \$42, 120\\ 17, 695, 260\end{array}$	\$51, 856, 442
Alaban	22, 049, 140	14, 681, 518	37, 861	36, 765, 519		36, 768, 519
Arizona	5, 889, 574	3, 857, 646	866, 198	10, 613, 415		34, 955, 538
Arkansas.	6, 057, 332	4, 657, 262	1, 278, 528	11, 993, 122		29, 658, 382
California. Colorado Colorado Delavare	$\begin{array}{c} 17,451,261\\ 6,747,455\\ 2,295,419\\ 1,904,438 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9,\ 224,\ 241\\ 4,\ 855,\ 274\\ 1,\ 213,\ 581\\ 1,\ 266,\ 053\\ \end{array}$	19, 946, 525 1, 858, 997 4, 446, 738 472, 264	46, 622, 027 13, 461, 726 7, 955, 738 3, 642, 755	$\begin{array}{c} 181,086,840\\ 13,810,500\\ 21,740,400\\ 6,272,640\end{array}$	227, 708, 867 27, 272, 226 29, 696, 138 9, 915, 395
Florida. Georgia Itawui	5, 648, 757 8, 754, 735 1, 776, 483 4, 554, 103	$\begin{array}{c} 4,046,103\\ 7,121,855\\ 1,373,625\\ 2,964,277 \end{array}$	4, 122, 823 3, 285, 847 774, 347 443, 179	13, 817, 683 19, 162, 437 3, 924, 455 7, 961, 559	46, 171, 620 42, 999, 660 12, 295, 800	59, 989, 303 62, 162, 097 3, 924, 455 20, 257, 359
Ulthors.	13, 514, 886	8, 078, 909	$\begin{array}{c} 15,975,253\\ 5,509,086\\ 2,657,697\\ 2,060,994\\ \end{array}$	37, 569, 048	91, 380, 960	128, 950, 008
In diama.	8, 197, 228	6, 549, 726		20, 256, 040	51, 392, 880	71, 648, 920
Iowa.	8, 522, 408	6, 478, 842		17, 658, 947	16, 911, 180	34, 570, 127
Karasa.	8, 596, 906	6, 017, 373		16, 675, 273	15, 948, 900	32, 624, 173
Kentucky	6, 852, 530	5, 505, 718	2, 391, 368	14, 749, 616	31, 327, 560	46, 077, 176
Louisiana	5, 782, 447	3, 860, 670	3, 375, 566	13, 018, 683	47, 062, 620	60, 081, 303
Maine	2, 809, 923	2, 256, 180	962, 409	6, 028, 512	9, 159, 480	15, 187, 992
Maine	3, 028, 173	2, 265, 566	3, 908, 190	9, 201, 929	40, 148, 460	49, 350, 389
Massachusetts.	4, 438, 260	1, 935, 586	9, 347, 161	15, 721, 010	49, 628, 700	65, 349, 710
Midhjæn	12, 415, 195	7, 437, 112	10, 717, 541	30, 569, 888	70, 032, 600	100, 602, 488
Mitmesota	10, 372, 240	7, 139, 053	3, 749, 777	21, 261, 070	33, 590, 700	54, 851, 770
Mitmesota	6, 934, 681	5, 860, 796	1, 274, 928	14, 070, 405	19, 566, 360	33, 636, 765
Missouri Montana Nebraska	16, 013, 756 7, 163, 242 6, 869, 124 4, 519, 154	6, 953, 814 5, 024, 030 5, 043, 895 3, 096, 583	5, 671, 088 542, 212 1, 350, 579 175, 376	22, 638, 658 12, 729, 514 13, 263, 598 7, 791, 113	50, 840, 460 20, 261, 340 10, 994, 940 9, 319, 860	73, 479, 118 32, 990, 854 24, 258, 538 17, 110, 973
New Hampshire New Jersey New Yorkio New York	2, 060, 438 4, 628, 033 6, 389, 848 16, 991, 840	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 373, 625\\ 1, 889, 329\\ 4, 145, 928\\ 6, 925, 452 \end{array}$	681,958 9,824,877 755,733 29,838,686	4, 116, 021 16, 342, 239 11, 291, 509 53, 755, 978	9, 801, 000 57, 665, 520 21, 348, 360 88, 262, 460	$\begin{array}{c} 13,917,021\\ 74,007,759\\ 32,639,869\\ 142,018,438\end{array}$
North Carolina	8, 706, 626	8, 353, 086	2, 938, 169	19, 997, 881	9, 658, 440	29, 656, 321
North Dakota	5, 087, 595	3, 505, 565	389, 378	8, 982, 538	7, 894, 260	16, 876, 798
Obio	13, 066, 600	7, 395, 728	13, 010, 692	33, 473, 020	116, 079, 450	149, 552, 500
Delahoma	7, 863, 874	5, 713, 132	2, 266, 894	15, 843, 900	16, 198, 320	32, 012, 280

43, 261, 022	113, 488, 991	13,046,038	26, 255, 577		17, 426, 953	70, 116, 621	129, 579, 627	25, 308, 370		20, 340, 975	91, 527, 634	45, 868, 349	31.383.013		37, 671, 760	26, 704, 898	22, 717, 126	5, 989, 953	
30, 882, 060	72, 669, 960	8, 304, 120	14,683,680		7, 555, 680	52, 854, 120	80, 510, 760	16, 661, 700		16, 804, 260	75, 503, 340	32, 272, 020	22, 328, 460		18, 764, 460	18, 514, 980	17,659,620		
12, 378, 962	40, 819, 031	4, 741, 918	11.571.897		9, 871, 273	17.262,501	49, 068, 867	8, 646, 670		3, 536, 715	16,024,294	13, 596, 329	9 054 553		18, 907, 300	8, 189, 918	5, 057, 506	5, 989, 953	
1, 745, 053	17.371.558	1, 646, 165	1.584.049		447, 263	3, 284, 489	10, 736, 526	975, 749		358, 552	3. 590, 904	3.470.365	1 466 825	2000 toot is	4.298.531	250, 381	1. 989. 381	· 1, 703, 225	
4, 373, 344	9,091.531	1. 259. 305	4, 541, 262		4.092.667	6.204.559	15. 821. 611	3, 053, 379		1.318.517	5. 272. 786	4, 163, 968	3 214 013	0, 011, 011	5.957.388	3, 360, 183	1. 236, 697	2, 270, 791	
6.260.565	14 355 942	1 .836.448	5 446 586	000 '011 '0	5. 331. 343	7. 773. 453	22, 510, 730	4, 617, 542		1 859 646	7 160 604	5 961 996	4 979 215	TO 17 17 1	8 651 381	4, 579, 354	1 831 428	2, 015, 937	
	Jregon	centsylvania	Shode Island	south Carolina		South Dak0ta	ennessee	10.012	01841		(ermont	(rrginia	Vashington	Vest Virginia.		Visconsin	Voining	District of Columbia	1

State	
hy	
րվ	
n a	
grai	
pro;	
by ]	
50,	
19(	
30,	
me	
E J	
lded	
r en	
year	
cal	
fis.	
ring	
dm	
spr	
Ro	
olic	
Pul	
$0^{\mathbf{f}}$	
eau	
Bure	
- A	
id l	
pa	
spui	
fu .	
1Way	
l'air	
all	
eder	
E	
6	
e	

Table 9.—Federal highway funds paid	by Bureau	of Public Re	oads during	fiscal year	ended June	30, 1960, b	v program a	nd by State
State or territory	Primary <sup>1</sup>	Secondary	Urban	Subtotal	Interstate	punj "Q"	"L" fund	Total
Alahama. Alaska. Arizona.	\$7, 931, 207 6, 533, 706 4, 044, 402 5, 221, 841	\$5, 240, 619 4, 756, 476 4, 697, 592 4, 134, 538	\$2, 796, 720 1, 178 645, 379 678, 550	\$15,968,546 11,291,360 9,387,373 10,034,929	\$31, \$38, 052 19, 441, 339 19, 793, 796	\$2, 390, 438 3, 183, 364 481, 325 997, 429	\$714. 322 76. 722 70. 251 337. 769	\$50, 911, 358 14, 551, 446 29, 380, 288 31, 163, 923
California Contrado Contectiont Delaware	19, 528, 111 4, 828, 870 2, 629, 917 1, à84, 578	8, 065, 571 3, 216, 912 2, 202, 441 1, 979, 668	13, 181, 678 1, 470, 493 7, 235, 868 170, 897	40, 775, 360 9, 516, 275 12, 068, 226 3, 535, 143	119, 283, 695 20, 528, 960 14, 469, 351 4, 249, 308	$\begin{array}{c} 6, 745, 563\\ 2, 821, 610\\ 1, 651, 535\\ 362, 000 \end{array}$	1, 699, 746 731, 855 493, 712 102, 000	168, 504, 364 33, 598, 700 28, 682, 824 8, 248, 451
Florida Georgia Liawaii Liawaii	5, 005, 645 11, 957, 212 1, 765, 255 3, 508, 617	$\begin{array}{c} 5, 554, 096\\ 7, 051, 032\\ 1, 400, 463\\ 2, 906, 897 \end{array}$	6, 183, 611 3, 313, 831 676, 736 344, 006	$\begin{array}{c} 16.\ 743,\ 352\\ 22,\ 322,\ 075\\ 3.\ 842,\ 454\\ 6,\ 759,\ 520 \end{array}$	56, 017, 897 32, 540, 866 13, 992, 906	$\begin{array}{c} 980,538\\ 4,583,822\\ 362,817\\ 1,125,997\end{array}$	301, 376 933, 677 116, 105 217, 541	74, 043, 163 60, 440, 440 4, 321, 376 22, 095, 964
Illinois. Indiana Dova. Kansas.	$\begin{array}{c} 19, 177, 905\\ 10, 043, 557\\ 8, 256, 897\\ 9, 920, 523 \end{array}$	10, 877, 229 8, 327, 350 5, 906, 659 6, 632, 073	25, 921, 718 3, 210, 281 1, 675, 435 2, 270, 483	55, 976, 852 21, 581, 188 15, 838, 991 18, 823, 079	$\begin{array}{c} 132, 392, 451 \\ 46, 072, 014 \\ 49, 290, 043 \\ 30, 078, 628 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9, 190, 737\\ 5, 134, 174\\ 1, 986, 654\\ 1, 815, 958\\ 1, 815, 958 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2, 431, 419\\ 1, 652, 875\\ 579, 178\\ 570, 781\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 199,991,459\\ 74,440,251\\ 67,694,866\\ 51,288,446\end{array}$
Kentucky. Louisiana Marrand Marrand	9, 757, 421 7, 506, 507 1, 540, 541 3, 767, 042	7, 335, 681 9, 165, 179 1, 832, 542 3, 021, 478	2, 021, 704 3, 900, 231 318, 219 4, 565, 173	19, 114, 8(6 20, 631, 917 3, 721, 302 11, 353, 693	42, 991, 534 45, 907, 951 14, 402, 513 13, 110, 403	2, 968, 154 2, 298, 950 1, 389, 527 2, 116, 241	895, 580 753, 790 415, 282 696, 706	$\begin{array}{c} 65,970,074\\ 69,592,608\\ 19,928,624\\ 27,277,043 \end{array}$
Massachusetts Michigan Mithresota Missispipi	5, 714, 373 11, 743, 454 10, 112, 579 6, 480, 693	2, 054, 692 7, 181, 217 8, 081, 136 5, 750, 053	8, 244, 781 8, 089, 807 4, 370, 543 961, 568	16, 013, 846 27, 014, 478 22, 564, 258 13, 192, 314	45, 926, 002 62, 819, 050 38, 596, 281 20, 761, 295	4, 471, 244 6, 035, 013 3, 012, 384 2, 189, 365	384, 116 109, 913 161, 745	66, 795, 208 95, 978, 454 64, 364, 668 36, 142, 974
Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevrata	11, 099, 855 6, 537, 088 5, 492, 498 3, 134, 142	7, 636, 543 5, 244, 803 7, 096, 764 2, 362, 017	4, 412, 640 257, 852 723, 526 7, 781	23, 149, 038 12, 039, 743 13, 312, 788 5, 503, 940	47, 764, 724 14, 555, 482 9, 033, 576 3, 082, 642	3, 955, 829 2, 232, 509 3, 631, 229 902, 777	1, 093, 098 517, 878 1, 115, 001 60, 198	75, 962, 689 29, 345, 612 27, 092, 594 9, 549, 557
New Hampshire. New Jorsey New Mexico	2, 028, 022 5, 006, 471 4, 167, 593 18, 364, 469	1, 574, 348 2, 044, 113 1, 536, 535 9, 789, 620	591, 733 6, 739, 015 271, 669 31, 091, 974	4, 194, 103 13, 789, 599 8, 975, 797 59, 246, 063	14, 360, 459 32, 488, 799 13, 684, 294 138, 227, 435	918, 710 5, 127, 826 1, 023, 130 15, 019, 616	$\begin{array}{c} 1.676,828\\ 174,659\\ 4,463,108\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19,473,272\\ 53,083,052\\ 23,857,880\\ 216,956,222\\ \end{array}$
North Carolina North Dakota Obio	7, 924, 917 4, 990, 079 18, 539, 568 5, 619, 391	8, 594, 009 3, 944, 628 8, 558, 653 6, 430, 746	3, 200, 020 441, 917 15, 124, 026 1, 237, 716	19, 719, 036 9, 376, 624 42, 222, 247 13, 287, 853	35, 575, 784 20, 234, 241 105, 963, 759 19, 024, 522	3, 140, 093 2, 357, 262 8, 124, 089 621, 153	891, 029 692, 997 2, 504, 936 201, 304	59, 325, 942 32, 661, 124 158, 815, 031 33, 134, 832

Lagon	5. 711. 924 1	5.070.298	2,041,153	12, 823, 375	33, 688, 280	2, 691, 531	630, 058	49, 833, 244
2.05011+	11 120 745	6.072.247	13. 652. 768	30, 845, 760	69, 622, 447	12, 273, 134	3, 720, 473	110, 401, 514
0 hodo Tolond	1 507 715	1 130 033	1.403.849	4.041.597	7, 171, 218	979, 292	298, 621	12, 490, 728
Midue Island	4 371 338	4 103 791	2, 445, 091	11.010.220	33, 744, 276	1, 937, 381		46, 691, 877
voutu Varounia	1, 00 (1 10 (T							
	000 000	010 200 1	770 730	19 309 047	10 904.117	2.108.609	433, 878	25, 748, 651
south Dakota	0, 902, 299	4, 021, 010	0.000 1.00	200 010 11	15 462 961	9 006 044	2 056 200	66.749.871
Cennessee	7, 202, 350	6, 634, 558	3, 382, 405	1 1, 219, 000	100, 100, 201	0.000 000	0 702 179	107 503 210
Payas	29. 548. 700	18, 437, 340	15, 818, 310	63, 804, 350	172, 120, 477	0, 000, 220	2,100,112	90.954 005
Itah	3, 974, 165	3,196,269	1, 145, 199	8, 315, 633	22, 849, 355	1, 189, 017		<b>3</b> 2, <b>3</b> 04, UUU
( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	· - · -							000
	1 706 010	1 024 607	951 334	3 082 871	12. 922. 789	395, 943	129, 030	16, 530, 633
/ermont	1, 190, 040	1, 001, 031	0.011,000	14 202 200	34, 729, 400	2 809 482	871.295	53.220.156
Virginia	5, 675, 856	0, 774, 013	3, 331, U2U	14, 000, 003	01, 10, 10, 10,	0 000 100	665 250	41 010 190
Vachington	6 191.556	3.457.930	3.667,132	13, 316, 618	25, 558, 055	2, 009, 400	000, 000	10 010 011
TT	1 020 490	5 054 201	060 631	10.954.261	34.056, 376	1, 379, 003	362, 571	40, 702, 211
west virgiting	1, 300, 140	+0+ (ron 'n	Too tooo					
		100	100 011 1	17 056 500	36 434 509	5 373 358	1. 599, 961	61.264,499
Visconsin	7, 945, 718	5, 762, 186	4, 145, 064	11, 500, 000	00, 101, 002	100 000	120 020	95 144 059
Trunha	4 066 192	2, 843, 551	456.778	7,366,521	15, 551, 900	1, 803, //4	008,001	-00, 111, 005 -0, 107, 005
	- 000 010	017 909	1 124 980	4 080 015	10.669.370	1.077.004	298, 878	16, 123, 207
Jistrict of Columbia	2, 020, 342	060.116	1, 107 (TUL)	000 000 1		1 425 967	988 309	7.324.538
Dilerto Rico	1,841,902	2, 716, 053	1,042,924	3, 000, 379		1, 100, 201		
								100 000
	276 000 017	979 106 041	222 119.100	870.315.158	1, 843, 994, 655	164, 154, 609	42, 395, 242	2, 920, 859, 664
Tpp10 I.	910'000'01P	TT 0 50 01 64 17				_		
		-						

<sup>1</sup> Funds available for either urban or rural portions of the Federal-aid primary highway system.

19
30,
June
ĥ.
as e
, p
programe
yet
not
projects
for
St ates
<b>t</b> 0
available
funds
Federal-aid
$\mathbf{of}$
10Balances
Table

State or territory	Primary <sup>1</sup>	Secondary	Urhan	Subtotal	Interstate	Total
Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas	321,998 14,661,159 241,092 5,541,172	$\begin{array}{c} \$2, 970, 476\\ 7, 290, 676\\ 677, 404\\ 5, 545, 912 \end{array}$	\$14, 758 226, 048 114, 381 2, 027, 992	<ul> <li>\$3, 337, 232</li> <li>\$22, 177, 883</li> <li>1, 032, 877</li> <li>13, 115, 076</li> </ul>	\$33, 331, 513 17, 874, 037 7, 808, 826	\$36, 668, 745 22, 177, 883 18, 906, 914 20, 923, 902
California. Colorado Connecticut Detavare	8, 560, 045 6, 136, 871 837, 104 2, 798, 622	8, 221, 138 4, 556, 483 833, 497 1, 032, 278	$\begin{array}{c} 13,920,453\\ 1,577,690\\ 6,634,226\\ 630,115\end{array}$	30, 701, 636 12, 271, 044 8, 304, 827 4, 461, 015	65, 230, 768 18, 767, 903 8, 070, 907 17, 242, 153	95, 932, 404 31, 038, 947 16, 375, 734 21, 703, 168
Florida Georgia Ilawaii Idahi	$\begin{array}{c} 3,696,591\\ 2,273,105\\ 4,487,755\\ 2,589,802\\ \end{array}$	3, 654, 134 3, 460, 343 1, 584, 875 5, 191, 059	3, 771, 530 3, 725, 125 1, 155, 630 617, 720	$\begin{array}{c} 11,\ 122,\ 255\\ 9,\ 458,\ 573\\ 7,\ 228,\ 260\\ 8,\ 398,\ 581 \end{array}$	14, 375, 153 36, 844, 377 25, 428, 835	25, 497, 408 46, 302, 950 7, 228, 260 33, 827, 416
Illinois. Indiana Iowa Konaa	$\begin{array}{c} 1,476,688\\ 879,325\\ 411,777\\ 3,484,487\end{array}$	5, 050, 755 3, 795, 613 2, 793, 104 3, 437, 983	8, 062, 919 6, 043, 397 931, 663 2, 152, 298	$\begin{array}{c} 14, 590, 362\\ 10, 718, 335\\ 4, 136, 544\\ 9, 074, 768\end{array}$	37, 275, 194 76, 745, 098 6, 136, 599 30, 289, 756	51, 865, 556 87, 463, 433 10, 273, 143 39, 364, 524
Kentucky Louisiana Maine Marie	1, 518, 249 1, 518, 249 2, 889, 471 5, 028, 931	2, 109, 965 6, 205 1, 735, 395 2, 003, 436	$\begin{array}{c} 2. \ 949, 855\\ 25, 418\\ 2. \ 462, 559\\ 2. \ 356, 737\\ 2. \ 356, 737\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,578,069\\ 221,499\\ 7,087,425\\ 9,389,104 \end{array}$	24, 106, 856 22, 833, 294 14, 795, 776 48, 181, 093	$\begin{array}{c} 30, 684, 925\\ 23, 054, 793\\ 21, 883, 201\\ 57, 570, 197\end{array}$
Massachusetts. Michigan. Minnesota. Mississippi.	$\begin{array}{c} 731,\ 270\\ 1,\ 578,\ 878\\ 2,\ 288\\ 1,\ 806,\ 633\end{array}$	801, 712 6, 850, 107 1, 683, 925 4, 529, 919	$\begin{array}{c} 340,556\\ 12,706,858\\ 159,263\\ 1,335,206\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,873,538\\ 21,135,843\\ 1,845,476\\ 7,671,758\end{array}$	20, 633, 637 36, 566, 542 36, 770, 928 6, 864, 823	28, 507, 175 58, 002, 385 38, 616, 404 14, 536, 581
Missouri Montana Nebraska	$\begin{array}{c} 3,029,339\\ 3,594,645\\ 2,400,926\\ 2,935,493\end{array}$	3, 097, 889 2, 991, 020 3, 042, 383 3, 856, 261	4, 551, 937 1, 120, 309 3, 136, 626 290, 072	$\begin{array}{c} 10,679,165\\7,705,974\\8,579,935\\7,081,826\end{array}$	40, 358, 457 53, 295, 499 39, 444, 431 23, 672, 859	$\begin{array}{c} 51,037,622\\ 61,001,473\\ 48,024,366\\ 30,754,685 \end{array}$
New Ilampshire. New Jersey. New Merito. New York	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 377,\ 726\\ 5.\ 755,\ 067\\ 556,\ 402\\ 1,\ 736,\ 295\end{array}$	380, 505 2, 590, 722 662, 087 6, 299, 192	$\begin{array}{c} 732,840\\ 16,004,216\\ 284,128\\ 24,093,917\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,491,071\\ 24,350,005\\ 1,502,617\\ 32,129,404 \end{array}$	4, 091, 435 67, 245, 633 16, 565, 504 34, 931, 978	6, 582, 506 91, 595, 638 18, 068, 121 67, 061, 382
North Carolina North Dakota Difio Oklatoma	9, 996, 588 633, 556 177, 115 4, 451, 736	$\begin{array}{c} 9,623,172\\ 966,478\\ 6,647,626\\ 3.432,772\end{array}$	3, 674, 895 372, 731 526, 885 2, 870, 480	$\begin{array}{c} 23,  294,  655\\ 1,  972,  765\\ 7,  351,  626\\ 10,  754,  988 \end{array}$	29, 542, 527 13, 247, 495 5, 612, 606 14, 818, 267	52, 837, 182 15, 220, 260 12, 964, 232 25, 573, 255

1, 714, 545   10, 479, 546   12, 194, 091	42, 721, 186 93, 609, 536 136, 330, 722	6, 759, 424 9, 570, 874 16, 330, 298	6,414,945 $6,495,593$ $12,910,538$		3, 583, 913 2, 202, 576 5, 786, 489	14,013,629 $33,651,780$ $47,665,409$	17, 380, 658 $50, 614, 153$ $67, 994, 811$	3,728,500 10,955,389 14,683,889	9 186 638 99 904 737 94 301 375	7. 824. 684   117. 732. 496   125. 557. 180	6, 559, 670 25, 471, 148 32, 030, 818	6, 154, 974 $9, 022, 176$ $15, 177, 150$	10 101 000 055 10 001 501	12, 191, 2/0 34, 050, 200 40, 521, 351	3, 232, 643 $6, 895, 425$ $10, 128, 068$	6, 911, 335 12, 495, 571 19, 406, 906	4, 603, 329		509, 807, 360 1, 401, 332, 014 1, 911, 139, 374
1.190.887	24, 341, 281	2, 185, 152	1. 232. 350		583, 300	5,003,083	269, 140	636, 986	650 504	4. 848. 059	3, 216, 747	1, 575, 076	0 014 110	2, 651, 743	483, 955	2.476.551	1 108 374		184, 084, 711
113.001	6, 631, 975	1, 187, 670	3, 273, 337		2, 046, 465	4, 116, 229	15, 373, 051	1,032,658	607 912	1 684 660	1, 741, 091	2, 532, 587	000 100	6, 260, 436	1.897.516	1.869.955	2 521 036	inno francis	176, 385, 350
410.657	11. 747, 930	3, 386, 602	1, 909, 258	007 (000 ft	954, 148	4.894,317	1, 738, 467	2,058,856	000 001	1 901 056	1, 601, 832	2,047,311		3, 279, 097	851.172	2, 564, 829	073 010	010 000	149, 337, 259
O	Dregulturentering	t cumpyty auto	Induction and the second s	South Carolina	South Dakota	Tornesson		T control T T control		Vermout	V Ifginia	washiingout Woet Vironia	1. Cot. 1. Builden	Wieconein		With the formation of the second s		ruero Mico	Total

<sup>1</sup> Funds available for either urban or rural portions of the Federal-aid primary system.

		Total desig- nated sys- tem mileage	0	873.9	1, 161.2 517.7	2, 182.8 949.9 297.8 40.5	$1, 142.2 \\ 1, 111.4$	610.8	$\begin{matrix} 1, 586.3 \\ 1, 118.8 \\ 708.7 \\ 801.1 \end{matrix}$	697.4 680.3 312.0 353.9	$\begin{array}{c} 461.9\\ 1,074.5\\ 892.8\\ 671.9\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,100.3\\ 1,179.6\\ 488.6\\ 534.0\end{array}$
1960.		Remaining mileage		453.8	476.3 16.1	$\begin{array}{c} 498.9 \\ 537.8 \\ 27.2 \\ 9.1 \end{array}$	852.7 696.1	246.2	$\begin{array}{c} 484.3 \\ 513.9 \\ 239.4 \\ 306.9 \end{array}$	373.1 315.8 166.6 32.2	147.4 335.4 499.6 324.1	$\begin{array}{c} 43.5\\710.4\\328.0\\319.2\end{array}$
June 30,	Interstate	Totalunder	way	360.6	$171.2 \\ 460.4$	$1, 174.9 \\ 211.1 \\ 139.5 \\ 27.9$	206.5 282.8	288.3	697.3 429.3 340.6 148.8	256.4 346.4 70.4 206.8	132.8 469.1 314.4 318.7	748.7 408.5 134.2 158.6
ent as of	rogress with funds	Engineer-	ing or right- of-way	123.1	130.1 384.1	$1,063.6\\113.1\\110.7\\27.2$	65.5 135.4	197.9	527.9 304.2 218.7 62.8	$\begin{array}{c} 154.7\\ 245.4\\ 27.7\\ 189.4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 67.1 \\ 289.3 \\ 240.6 \\ 102.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 648.1\\ 336.8\\ 91.5\\ 145.9\end{array}$
mprovem	Work in pi	Under con-	struction	237.5	$\frac{41.1}{76.3}$	111.3 98.0 28.8 .7	141.0 147.4	90.4	169.4 125.1 121.9 86.0	$101.7 \\ 101.0 \\ 42.7 \\ 17.4$	65.7 179.8 73.8 216.2	100.6 71.7 42.7 12.7
tatus of n		Total open	to traffic	59.5	513.7 41.2	509 0 201. 0 131. 1 3. 5	83.0 132.5	76.3	$\begin{array}{c} 404.7\\ 175.6\\ 128.7\\ 345.4\\ \end{array}$	67.9 18.1 75.0 114.9	$\begin{array}{c} 181.7\\ 270.0\\ 78.8\\ 29.1\end{array}$	308. 1 60. 7 26. 4 56. 2
hways: 31		Toll facil-	ities			18.9 19.5 2.3	42.4		$151.5 \\ 156.9 \\ 3.8 \\ 187.1$	40.0 59.8 10.5	126.5	3.0
ense Hig		adequate e	Total	51.5	347.0 2.8	345.0 102.6 23.7 .6	89.0	61.2	$156.5 \\ 6.7 \\ 6.7 \\ 21.5 \\ 62.5$	8.5 3.0 44.9	27.3 5.0 62.6 29.1	256.5 12.9
and Defe	traffic	) standards : present traffi	With other public funds	32.1	278.3 2.8	177.2 39.3 18.1	89.0	37.4	$133.2 \\ 2.8 \\ 46.5$	8.5 3.0 29.1	27.3 5.0 6.3 13.8	168.1
nterstate	Open to	Improved to for p	With Inter- state funds	19.4	68.7	167.8 63.3 5.6		23.8	23. 3 3. 9 21. 5 16. 0	15.8	56.3	88.4 5.5
em of 1		eptable	Total	8.0	166.7 38.4	$145.1 \\ 98.4 \\ 87.9 \\ .6$	40.6 43.5	15.1	$\begin{array}{c} 96.7\\ 12.0\\ 103.4\\ 95.8\end{array}$	19.4 17.3 59.5	$27.9 \\ 260.1 \\ 16.2$	48.6 60.7 13.2 50.7
ional Syst		l to full or acc standards	With other public funds		0.2	22.1 4.3 71.1	17.5		20.3	2.9 2.9 32.5	5.4 127.1	1.9
11.—Nat		Completed	With Inter- state funds	8.0	166.5 38.4	$123.0 \\ 94.1 \\ 16.8 \\ .6$	40.6 26.0	15.1	76.4 12.0 103.4 95.8	19.4 14.4 11.3 27.0	$\begin{array}{c} 22.5\\ 133.0\\ 16.2\end{array}$	46.7 60.7 13.2 50.7
Labie		State or territory		Alabama	Arizona Arkansas	California Colorado - Conneeticut	Florida Georgia Jawaii	daho.	llinois. Indiana owa Xansas.	Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland	Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi	Missouri Montana Vebraska Vevada

213.2 371.5 1,002.9 1,227.2	$\begin{array}{c} 773.2\\ 567.9\\ 1,489.3\\ 795.6\end{array}$	$1, 531.4 \\ 1, 531.0 \\ 71.1 \\ 679.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 677.6\\ 1,050.6\\ 3,031.0\\ 921.7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 321.2\\ 1,066.7\\ 726.9\\ 394.4\end{array}$	452.5 915.4 32.8	1 40, 594. 4
120. 0 187. 2 638. 9 243. 3	$\begin{array}{c} 324.1\\ 320.1\\ 553.0\\ 233.0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 202. \ 1 \\ 634. \ 4 \\ 46. \ 4 \\ 311. \ 9 \end{array}$	282. 4 653. 4 738. 1 670. 1	$\begin{array}{c} 168.8 \\ 471.3 \\ 118.8 \\ 208.8 \end{array}$	608.1 16.8	16, 705.0
43. 5 95. 0 72. 7 344. 2	203. 4 73. 7 441. 1 279. 3	$131.0 \\ 320.6 \\ 4.7 \\ 313.5$	$\begin{array}{c} 331.5\\ 330.0\\ 1,480.9\\ 198.1\end{array}$	144. 6 444. 1 318. 3 99. 6	313. 7 223. 1 12. 1	14, 782. 9
$\begin{array}{c} 7.4\\72.8\\32.2\\217.5\end{array}$	$21.2 \\ 30.5 \\ 329.1 \\ 143.6$	25.6 203.0 3.3 72.0	$239.4 \\ 230.6 \\ 1, 208.3 \\ 129.8 \\$	$110.8\\337.0\\282.0\\47.1$	256.0 82.4 7.6	10, 092. 5
36.1 22.2 40.5 126.7	182.2 43.2 112.0 135.7	$105.4 \\ 117.6 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 241.5$	$\begin{array}{c} 92.1\\ 159.4\\ 272.6\\ 68.3\\ \end{array}$	33.8 107.1 36.3 52.5	57.7 140.7 4.5	4, 690.4
49.7 89.3 291.3 639.7	$\begin{array}{c} 245.7\\ 174.1\\ 495.2\\ 283.3\end{array}$	398.3 576.0 20.0 53.6	63.7 7.2 812.0 53.5	$\begin{array}{c} 7.8\\151.3\\289.8\\86.0\end{array}$	138.8 84.2 3.9	9, 106.5
25.7 52.4 500.3	173.5 175.8	362.8	30.0	39.2 35.8 85.8		2, 274. 2
22.0 94.0 18.7	153. 7 59. 3 38. 0 50. 2	227.4 18.4 10.1	56.5 262.6 22.0	85.3 232.8	39.5 20.5 1.3	3, 139.6
15.0 12.5	85. 2 33. 2 38. 0 22, 6 22, 6	199.7 12.2 7.9	145.0	37.3 111.0	39.5 1.3	1, 894. 4
$\begin{array}{c} 7.0\\ 94.0\\ 6.2\end{array}$	68.5 26.1 27.6	27.7 6.2 2.2	56.5 117.6 19.9	48.0 121.8	20.5	1, 245. 2
23.7 14.9 197.3 120.7	$\begin{array}{c} 92.0\\ 1114.8\\ 283.7\\ 57.3\end{array}$	$170.2 \\ 194.8 \\ 19.7 \\ 43.5 \\ 43.5 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 19.7 $	7.2 7.2 319.4 31.5	26.8 56.4 .2	99.3 63.7 2.6	3, 692. 7
51.9	25.3	26.4 58.6 9.7	$   \frac{1.7}{54.2} $	4.4 2.8	2.6	551.6
23.7 7.1 197.3 68.8	92. 0 114. 8 57. 3	143. 8 136. 2 10. 0 43. 5	465.2 31.5 31.5	53.6 53.6	99.3 63.7	3, 141. 1
New Hampshire New Jorsey New Mexico	North Carolina	Dregon. Dregon. Pennsylvania. Bhode Island. South Carolina. South Carolina.	South Dakota Teunesee Texas Utah	Vermont Virginia Washington	Wisconsin Wyoming District of Columbia Puerto Rico	Total

<sup>1</sup> The system is limited to 41,000 miles by law. The small balance is held in reserve for adjustments as final locations are selected and projects built.

Table 12.—Interstate System improvements financed with Federal-aid funds:<sup>1</sup> Status of projects as of June 30, 1960, and projects completed during the fiscal year

				m annio d	nn naiaidin		meren y can					
State or territory	Programed	1, <sup>2</sup> plans not ap;	proved	Plans af	oproved, not un onstruction	ıder	Und	er construction		Complete	ed during fiscal	year
	Total cost	Federal funds	Miles	Total cost	Federal funds	Miles	Total cost	Federal funds	Miles	Total cost	Federal funds	Miles
Alabama	\$50, 992, 313	\$32, 779, 228	101.3	\$12, 133, 500	\$10, 920, 150	53.6	\$96, 170, 107	\$\$5,014,001	191.2	\$14, 789, 798	\$13, 314, 138	51.8
Arizona	$\begin{array}{c} 19,609,000\\ 27,886,086\end{array}$	$\frac{18,674,823}{25,097,479}$	57.9 50.0	$\begin{array}{c} 9,948,102\\ 7,389,890\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9,387,311\\ 6,651,881 \end{array}$	42.8 9.2	$\frac{37}{72}, \frac{977}{140}, \frac{350}{207}$	35, 925, 573 64, 182, 178	66.3 74.5	$18, 196, 041 \\9, 811, 179$	$\frac{17,041,127}{8,153,733}$	86.7 26.4
California Colorado Connecticut Delaware	14, 458, 317 6, 016, 080 7, 140, 234	$\begin{array}{c} 12,672,090\\ 4,741,151\\ 6,468,057 \end{array}$	6.1 26.1 2.9	$\begin{array}{c} 62,\ 274,\ 308\\ 3,\ 948,\ 609\\ 587,\ 403\\ 3,\ 277,\ 001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 54, 386, 850\\ 3, 481, 000\\ 525, 042\\ 2, 909, 943 \end{array}$	34.3 16.7 .8 .1	$\begin{array}{c} 415,489,510\\ 19,200,240\\ 76,369,534\\ 21,646,698 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 250,059,124\\ 17,490,813\\ 67,741,873\\ 18,409,200 \end{array}$	121.4 82.7 25.9 .5	$\begin{array}{c} 216, 893, 916\\ 18, 363, 535\\ 8, 813, 284\\ 1, 541, 100 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 126, 644, 278\\ 16, 612, 529\\ 7, 787, 751\\ 1, 386, 000 \end{array}$	95.0 45.2 4.7
Florida Georgia	$\begin{array}{c} 2,312,000\\ 64,838,073\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,080,800\\ 58,352,466\end{array}$	15.0 72.3	$\begin{array}{c} 2,934,397\\7,844,902 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,640,678\\ 6,919,772\end{array}$	9.7 26.9	$101, 328, 989\\112, 067, 474$	90, 287, 243 94, 137, 654	131. 7 117. 9	63, 326, 204 21, 385, 368	56, 815, 583 15, 817, 637	36. 7 46. 1
Idaho.	14, 071, 626	12, 976, 624	27.0	3, 766, 446	3, 510, 526	8.3	25, 707, 878	23,660,585	88.4	11, 975, 504	11,084,787	46.3
llinois. Indiana Iowa Kansas.	34, 925, 527 24, 736, 837 7, 015, 604 3, 433, 075	29, 886, 758 22, 262, 866 6, 326, 954 3, 089, 768	$\begin{array}{ccc} 26.4 \\ 24.6 \\ 9.8 \\ .9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 37,439,375\\ 21,636,030\\ 9,322,905\\ 5,427,475\end{array}$	29, 091, 096 19, 472, 427 8, 438, 250 4, 884, 725	32.3 21.3 25.8 13.3	$\begin{array}{c} 283,423,426\\ 122,945,134\\ 49,026,679\\ 33,917,366 \end{array}$	249, 294, 048 106, 277, 335 44, 374, 639 26, 802, 261	131.3 123.3 86.0 79.1	$\begin{array}{c} 73, 516, 726\\ 19, 564, 475\\ 42, 261, 191\\ 22, 915, 889\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 64,502,379\\ 17,171,273\\ 37,626,059\\ 20,565,981 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25.8\\ 14.4\\ 110.7\\ 52.3\end{array}$
Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland	$\begin{array}{c} 18,477,936\\ 11,626,429\\ 1,601,500\\ 18,018,100 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16, 631, 043\\ 10, 463, 786\\ 1, 441, 350\\ 1, 441, 350\\ 16, 293, 290 \end{array}$	51.7 23.6 1.5 11.4	$\begin{array}{c} 17,  361,  639\\ 25,  931,  600\\ 2,  207,  000\\ 18,  198,  875 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15, 510, 251\\ 23, 338, 440\\ 1, 986, 300\\ 16, 099, 929\\ 16, 099, 929\end{array}$	28.6 5.3 4.4	85, 936, 906 119, 562, 103 29, 621, 028 42, 391, 064	76, 390, 010 101, 106, 687 26, 505, 081 37, 523, 393	70.8 47.6 24.2	$\begin{array}{c} 32,948,814\\ 38,677,368\\ 12,003,368\\ 15,681,749\end{array}$	29, 588, 355 34, 141, 651 10, 569, 216 13, 579, 000	48. 7 41. 6 12. 4 9. 1
Massachusetts Michigan . Minnesota Mississippi	$\begin{array}{c} 11,416,928\\ 3,514,510\\ 15,720,197\\ 30,262,267\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,275,235\\ 3,163,060\\ 14,409,178\\ 27,251,051 \end{array}$	. 4 . 7 . 9 . 00. 1	43, 926, 906 15, 726, 381 5, 881, 373 6, 460, 300	39, 180, 416 13, 998, 160 5, 425, 494 5, 834, 600	20.6 16.4 5.2 24.5	$\begin{array}{c} 126,281,329\\ 201,375,488\\ 180,856,801\\ 74,607,112 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 109,809,813\\ 181,261,259\\ 120,282,351\\ 65,485,900 \end{array}$	51.3 172.6 82.0 171.2	$\begin{array}{c} 32,294,538\\ 48,672,913\\ 10,821,198\\ 18,112,431\\ \end{array}$	28, 921, 339 43, 462, 471 9, 850, 127 15, 972, 177	$10.7 \\ 63.8 \\ 8.4 \\ 8.4 \\ 56.0$
Missouri Montana Nebraska	8, 134, 631 6, 928, 161 3, 213, 484 4, 977, 155	$\begin{array}{c} 7, 350, 740\\ 6, 366, 497\\ 2, 921, 034\\ 4, 723, 297 \end{array}$	11.9 12.1 1.6 11.8	$\begin{array}{c} 11,  962,  492 \\ 6,  657,  606 \\ 6,  155,  883 \end{array}$	10, 770, 106 6, 086, 790 5, 541, 475	21.7 15.1 11.8	88, 595, 923 48, 589, 410 45, 681, 183 34, 528, 846	77, 738, 197 44, 397, 403 40, 997, 907 32, 803, 578	116.3 112.7 39.2 12.7	53, 307, 740 6, 808, 934 5, 932, 798 7, 000, 433	48, 181, 877 6, 185, 854 4, 963, 494 6, 673, 210	$69.6 \\ 24.9 \\ 7.1 \\ 30.2$
New Hampshire- New Jersey- New Mexico- New York-	$\begin{array}{c} 3, 583, 350\\ 31, 336, 210\\ 7, 203, 040\\ 20, 847, 240\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,225,015\\ 28,202,589\\ 6,665,232\\ 18,762,516\end{array}$	3.1 13.6 17.3 8.2	$\begin{array}{c} 2.974,002\\ 11.729,980\\ 759,271\\ 46,977,515 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,555,383\\ 10,415,323\\ 701,513\\ 40,220,113 \end{array}$	140 141 141	$\begin{array}{c} 31,249,411\\ 131,057,187\\ 26,503,797\\ 393,999,502 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 27,378,848\\ 114,111,381\\ 24,640,151\\ 328,943,048\\ \end{array}$	33. 0 32. 8 37. 3 102. 3	$\begin{array}{c} 11,955,499\\ 16,521,608\\ 20,582,907\\ 159,968,351 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10, 329, 959\\ 14, 756, 948\\ 19, 127, 025\\ 128, 385, 732\end{array}$	$15.1 \\ 6.9 \\ 72.7 \\ 61.3$

98.6 89.5 153.2 103.4	128.1 70.5	$\begin{array}{c} 14. \ 1\\ 29. \ 3\\ 213. \ 7\\ 18. \ 0\end{array}$	1.9 6.7 6.4	143. 3 83. 6	2, 419. 2
$\begin{array}{c} 20,797,554\\ 14,436,699\\ 193,740,259\\ 30,690,275 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19, 865, 251\\ 98, 520, 004\\ 4, 213, 764\\ 10, 718, 597\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5,  301,  115\\ 14,  544,  182\\ 82,  752,  776\\ 10,  937,  897 \end{array}$	2, 625, 633 8, 271, 183 41, 006, 022 6, 642, 584	32, 422, 463 21, 303, 973 2, 736, 986	1, 460, 738, 907
$\begin{array}{c} 23, 188, 456\\ 16, 201, 453\\ 216, 950, 782\\ 34, 147, 427\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22, 865, 782\\ 110, 773, 789\\ 4, 681, 960\\ 12, 509, 623 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5,814,664\\ 16,160,210\\ 92,279,731\\ 11,967,050 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,918,329\\ 9,226,038\\ 45,873,665\\ 9,332,452 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 36,944,078\ 22,989,168\ 3,080,516 \end{array}$	1, 732, 550, 032
175.8 81.4 81.9 83.5	$134.8 \\ 107.6 \\ 1.3 \\ 242.1 \\ 242.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 78.2\\ 129.8\\ 241.3\\ 60.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34.3\\ 34.3\\ 37.3\\ 50.6\end{array}$	76.4 196.8 4.0	4, 414.5
$\begin{array}{c} 39,967,741\\ 15,619,193\\ 135,616,889\\ 34,491,635\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 68,214,577\\ 132,513,918\\ 21,974,711\\ 60,503,166\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 27,947,058\\ 137,126,719\\ 191,172,160\\ 36,857,365 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44,929,447\\ 123,513,365\\ 44,621,244\\ 58,568,774\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 48, 179, 640\\ 39, 944, 229\\ 36, 808, 710\end{array}$	3, 881, 602, 075
$\begin{array}{c} 44, 507, 516\\ 17, 260, 126\\ 154, 178, 585\\ 38, 346, 546\\ 38, 346, 546\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 74,173,225\\ 147,348,943\\ 24,965,287\\ 67,388,309\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30,701,979\\ 156,346,229\\ 212,569,329\\ 39,044,442 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50,028,424\\ 138,044,254\\ 50,468,354\\ 67,153,175\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 56, 180, 381\\ 42, 953, 539\\ 41, 753, 790\end{array}$	4, 581, 660, 115
24.4 18.0 15.5 23.1	30.3 16.3 24.3	41.6 17.7 46.2 31.5	42.6 14.4 22.5	59.3 22.4 .4	949.3
$\begin{array}{c} 7, 395, 370\\ 4, 793, 167\\ 18, 760, 158\\ 4, 696, 290 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,324,200\\ 25,934,346\\ 312,210\\ 5,882,674 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,448,683\\ 15,731,359\\ 11,204,600\\ 7,781,587\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15,940,519\\ 11,425,178\\ 111,378,322 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9, 188, 626\\ 3, 792, 684\\ 3, 058, 051\\ \end{array}$	534, 931, 968
$\begin{array}{c} 8,217,090\\ 5,285,180\\ 21,584,295\\ 5,222,360\\ 5,222,360 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,229,142\\ 28,851,661\\ 346,900\\ 7,075,020 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7,081,808\\ 17,479,293\\ 12,468,900\\ 8,547,066 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17, 733, 030\\ 17, 733, 030\\ 13, 020, 241\\ 12, 682, 580 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,233,203\\ 4,101,168\\ 3,598,268\\ \end{array}$	605, 598, 371
48.9 30.9 53.2 53.2	29.5 16.5 6.1	134.8 43.4 77.3 20.7	11.1 $1.4$ $16.4$	38.4 43.3 1.2	1, 277.5
$\begin{array}{c} 11,985,956\\ 4,356,060\\ 14,935,050\\ 11,446,000\\ 11,446,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10,061,135\\ 30,897,719\\ 1,253,700\\ 7,502,029 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 30,024,612\\ 27,818,904\\ 40,005,450\\ 7,685,662 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,700\\ 4,489,000\\ 4,682,780\\ 29,152,383\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16, 145, 267\\ 15, 045, 396\\ 19, 320, 540\end{array}$	700, 364, 320
$\begin{array}{c} 13, 317, 728 \\ 4, 790, 068 \\ 16, 594, 500 \\ 12, 921, 500 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,092,307\\ 34,678,799\\ 1,393,000\\ 8,336,893 \end{array}$	32, 963, 170 30, 909, 892 44, 549, 500 8, 108, 413	$\begin{array}{c} 3,000\\ 4,988,556\\ 7,838,031\\ 32,391,537\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17, 749, 131 \\ 16, 067, 286 \\ 20, 970, 480 \end{array}$	793, 959, 701
North Carolina North Dakota Ohio	Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina	South Dakota Temessee Texas	Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia	Wisconsin Wyoming District of Columbia Puerto Rico	Total

<sup>1</sup> Includes projects financed from Federal-aid primary, secondary, urban and Interstate funds. <sup>2</sup> Initial commitment of funds.

Table 13.—Improvement of the Federal-aid primary system in rural areas financed with Federal-aid funds: <sup>1</sup> Status of projects as of June 30, 1960 and projects completed during the fiscal year

year	Miles	352.9 75.6 111.9 181.6	$\begin{array}{c} 175.5 \\ 222.7 \\ 222.6 \\ 23.5 \\ 23.5 \end{array}$	93.6 468.3 7.6 169.7	440.1 259.5 320.1 448.2	$\begin{array}{c} 121.7\\270.6\\79.9\\69.0\end{array}$	30.1 301.6 192.1 276.4	193, 9 206, 7 266, 5 86, 7	37.2 15.2 144.1 965.1
d during fiscal	Federal funds	\$24,079,425 5,387,028 14,445,989 10,756,372	$\begin{array}{c} 72, 597, 380\\ 22, 374, 345\\ 11, 986, 653\\ 3, 052, 567 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22,  340,  185\\ 31,  571,  257\\ 1,  866,  290\\ 15,  723,  126 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 46,008,801\\ 32,003,961\\ 34,043,868\\ 24,221,272 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 27,492,671\\ 29,414,285\\ 12,618,185\\ 13,977,381 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11.\ 711,\ 269\\ 46,\ 666,\ 095\\ 11.\ 292,\ 852\\ 20,\ 534,\ 843 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 34,974,096\\ 10,850,100\\ 14,325,265\\ 10,350,106\end{array}$	12, 124, 260 22, 227, 562 23, 283, 088 67, 796, 973
Complete	Total cost	$\begin{array}{c} \$34, 282, 713\\ 7, 174, 518\\ 15, 979, 687\\ 15, 840, 052 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 111, 817, 202\\ 29, 585, 245\\ 17, 793, 965\\ 4, 445, 582 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 29,408,835\\ 49,989,159\\ 3,320,846\\ 20,101,308 \end{array}$	68, 362, 029 48, 264, 227 44, 319, 471 36, 697, 055	38, 964, 834 40, 341, 584 17, 582, 319 19, 647, 330	$\begin{array}{c} 15.\ 697,\ 302\\ 62,\ 733,\ 444\\ 18,\ 243,\ 359\\ 28,\ 667,\ 768 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 46,097,991\\ 15,494,437\\ 22,345,829\\ 11,291,090 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16,338,840\\ 32,143,165\\ 27,865,038\\ 07,603\\ 010\end{array}$
	Miles	347. 2 48. 6 79. 8 168. 4	$   \begin{array}{c}     142.0 \\     175.3 \\     35.3 \\     33.6 \\   \end{array} $	$204.8 \\ 280.9 \\ 1.7 \\ 120.4$	342.6 337.4 279.4 249.7	163. 6 145. 3 58. 2 50. 7	47. 4 376. 6 376, 3 348. 5	265.9 312.4 193.9 80.6	46.3 22.6 50.6
eonstruction	Federal funds	$\begin{array}{c} \$79, 316, 440\\ 15, 941, 925\\ 30, 438, 940\\ 41, 562, 742\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 112, 815, 673\\ 21, 142, 016\\ 39, 957, 960\\ 10, 579, 141 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 54, 606, 768\\ 71, 637, 665\\ 614, 587\\ 25, 344, 817 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 135,910,514\\ 103,560,083\\ 34,693,076\\ 17,100,228\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 72,912,715\\ 55,804,062\\ 17,444,128\\ 18,191,058 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 48, 815, 933\\ 164, 591, 885\\ 46, 107, 091\\ 54, 400, 155\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 61,991,386\\ 48,404,318\\ 34,016,014\\ 14,494,449\end{array}$	27, 908, 841 39, 969, 886 11, 903, 390 10, 506, 597
Unde	Total cost	$\begin{array}{c} \$101, \$51, 012\\ 18, 096, \$31\\ 32, 725, 106\\ 50, 776, 962 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 176,921,427\\ 27,387,274\\ 52,886,561\\ 15,138,980 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 66,968,042\\ 94,880,868\\ 1,344,668\\ 29,631,665\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 172.\ 888,\ 437\\ 132.\ 566,\ 745\\ 44.\ 867,\ 964\\ 24,\ 534,\ 902\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 91,483,871\\74,585,849\\21,309,087\\25,312,552\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 63,462,224\\ 194,707,616\\ 66,361,604\\ 69,410,758\end{array}$	84, 875, 739 58, 042, 403 43, 481, 167 16, 041, 699	33, 539, 345 48, 986, 492 13, 800, 616
lder	Miles	67.0 1.1 65.0 18.2	31.6 26.5 3.1 8.0	17.5 38.8 31.9	89.6 138.2 144.3 78.3	29.2 42.2 8.1	$\begin{array}{c} 26.4\\ 130.4\\ 38.2\\ 78.1\\ 78.1\end{array}$	45.5 81.4 68.6 26.9	25.4 25.4 25.4
proved, not ur instruction	Federal funds	\$10, 870, 874 556, 417 9, 254, 427 3, 038, 767	$\begin{array}{c} 20,961,645\\ 3,057,208\\ 1,628,775\\ 3,339,943 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,579,376\\ 7,968,372\\ 12,475\\ 5,235,998 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23,695,738\\ 14,253,446\\ 12,131,552\\ 5,487,081 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12, 687, 080\\ 9, 135, 060\\ 1, 423, 150\\ 269, 607\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33,144855\\ 17,063,396\\ 5,047,552\\ 6,749,830\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,040,136\\ 7,601,851\\ 6,423,843\\ 1,066,675\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2, 152, 678\\ 3, 333, 384\\ 1, 930, 778\\ 0, 078\end{array}$
Plans ap) co	Total cost	$\begin{array}{c} \$13, 751, 105\\ \$13, 751, 105\\ 646, 320\\ 10, 436, 977\\ 3, 832, 510 \end{array}$	30, 709, 643 4, 221, 809 2, 809, 714 4, 137, 001	$\begin{array}{c} 4,924,641\\ 10,637,909\\ 26,685\\ 6,579,948 \end{array}$	32, 457, 994 19, 730, 274 16, 473, 179 7, 636, 143	$\begin{array}{c} 14,471,049\\ 15,916,025\\ 1,831,430\\ 281,798 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40,695,115\\ 25,187,751\\ 7,343,942\\ 8,985,240 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14,076,122\\ 9,548,703\\ 9,623,157\\ 1,279,910 \end{array}$	3, 214, 251 4, 973, 026 2, 745, 435
boved	Miles	89.6 73.8 183.3	4.3 45.0 3.3	20.3 248.7 4.4 40.4	43. 4 31. 6 49. 4	68.3 23.6 12.5 13.2	6.2 165.9	20.4 99.2 35.9	4.8 15.0 32.5
<sup>2</sup> plans not apl	Federal funds	\$19, 227, 688 \$19, 227, 688 6, 011, 946 14, 762, 275 23, 251, 356	$\begin{array}{c} 4,218,300\\ 5,705,350\\ 5,139,657\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,\ 226,\ 800\\ 39,\ 985,\ 930\\ 308,\ 000\\ 13,\ 597,\ 652 \end{array}$	17, 153, 987 17, 432, 638 5, 968, 717 4, 232, 491	$\begin{array}{c} 16,259,325\\ 10,548,217\\ 4,152,790\\ 16,262,790 \end{array}$	956, 728 4, 363, 549 4, 978, 683 25, 971, 323	6, 132, 798 8, 909, 216 3, 151, 924 7, 962, 468	$\begin{array}{c} 1,765,921\\ 11,496,587\\ 7,125,490\\ 7,125,490\end{array}$
Programed,	Total cost	$\begin{array}{c} \$23, 320, 701\\ 7, 050, 344\\ 15, 605, 595\\ 31, 530, 328\\ \end{array}$	5, 447, 817 6, 969, 959 5, 794, 234	$\begin{array}{c} 3,052,000\\ 48,696,984\\ 1,223,000\\ 15,103,289\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21,810,624\\ 21,773,321\\ 7,782,631\\ 5,838,321 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20,312,700\\ 11,764,091\\ 6,769,400\\ 18,217,100 \end{array}$	1, 127, 914 5, 897, 089 5, 605, 618 32, 644, 784	7, 862, 996 11, 247, 177 3, 675, 264 8, 863, 850	2, 265, 162 15, 025, 230 8, 531, 870
Stata or tamifort	orate of periods	Alabama Alaska Arizoua Artausas	California. Colorado	Florida Georgia Itawaii Idaho	Illinois. Indiaua Iowa. Kansas.	Kentucky Lonisiana	Massachusetts	Missouri Montana Nebraska.	New Hampshire

337.7 414.7 310.9 195.4	226.5 209.6 7.6 183.2	$\begin{array}{c} 370.7\\ 185.3\\ 1,148.2\\ 58.4\end{array}$	$31.2 \\ 127.3 \\ 176.3 \\ 45.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 407.7\\ 186.6\\ 111.2\end{array}$	10, 599. 8
$\begin{array}{c} 31, 511, 734\\ 19, 904, 973\\ 140, 541, 534\\ 29, 067, 748\\ \end{array}$	26, 300, 922 65, 166, 049 433, 002 15, 688, 941	$\begin{array}{c} 11, 836, 111\\ 18, 824, 365\\ 82, 499, 740\\ 11, 055, 868 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5, 817, 658\\ 13, 061, 927\\ 24, 077, 082\\ 9, 093, 891 \end{array}$	39, 791, 407 23, 675, 922 2, 081, 692	1, 312, 458, 116
$\begin{array}{c} 43, 331, 504\\ 26, 470, 995\\ 167, 658, 230\\ 37, 356, 440 \end{array}$	33, 029, 352 91, 372, 341 799, 285 22, 486, 072	$\begin{array}{c} 17,027,093\\ 26,995,697\\ 115,907,665\\ 12,839,289\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9,097,646\\ 20,030,219\\ 32,878,470\\ 13,943,218 \end{array}$	53, 135, 116 27, 427, 023 3, 761, 693	1, 803, 986, 591
$\begin{array}{c} 276.7\\ 255.8\\ 157.6\\ 161.9\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 194.\ 2\\150.\ 6\\5.\ 6\\339.\ 9\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 291.\ 2\\ 204.\ 8\\ 626.\ 1\\ 96.\ 5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 64. \ 0\\ 185. \ 2\\ 100. \ 4\\ 115. \ 2\end{array}$	$   \begin{array}{c}     192.0 \\     307.0 \\     23.3 \\   \end{array} $	9, 454, 1
$\begin{array}{c} 44,426,839\\ 19,917,877\\ 97,799,710\\ 31,386,920 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 47,241,925\\ 102,629,766\\ 5,831,800\\ 65,064,897 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 27,082,087\\ 81,009,810\\ 113,205,945\\ 22,279,922 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 41,448,874\\ 117,404,645\\ 25,407,415\\ 54,495,258 \end{array}$	30, 310, 374 47, 813, 636 4, 724, 334	2, 595, 166, 747
$\begin{array}{c} 56,082,005\\ 25,712,555\\ 128,139,868\\ 40,528,020 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 55, 372, 898\\ 134, 584, 944\\ 8, 302, 360\\ 77, 946, 045 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33, 314, 443\\ 100, 458, 556\\ 146, 071, 748\\ 25, 021, 089 \end{array}$	49, 480, 453 139, 576, 436 32, 343, 984 65, 800, 213	$\begin{array}{c} 40,093,419\\ 55,685,891\\ 9,583,338\end{array}$	3, 327, 970, 474
53.2     145.3     30.4     103.8     103.8     103.8	33.9 16.5 71.1	75.5 21.3 125.7 53.6	$2.1 \\ 63.3 \\ 41.9 \\ 22.5 $	104.0 39.7	2, 444. 1
$\begin{array}{c} 9,256,121\\ 7,623,909\\ 15,193,843\\ 7,862,048 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.\ 170.\ 000\\ 15.\ 822,\ 594\\ 6.\ 293,\ 242 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7,193,761\\ 12,062,740\\ 9,066,650\\ 8,593,594 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 491,343\\ 17,656,686\\ 9,082,966\\ 11,403,602 \end{array}$	9, 309, 603 3, 837, 613	410, 129, 242
$\begin{array}{c} 11,923,325\\ 10,956,290\\ 22,191,618\\ 11,886,763\end{array}$	7, 081, 636 20, 784, 459 8, 676, 952	8, 432, 820 13, 606, 133 13, 496, 571 9, 988, 244	$\begin{array}{c} 982,687\\21,535,140\\11,601,942\\12,729,058\end{array}$	$11, 746, 646 \\4, 261, 260 \\$	544, 575, 095
84.9 32.4 19.3 142.3	47.3 21.7 108.3	$210.4 \\ 69.2 \\ 172.9 \\ 28.9$	$\begin{array}{c} 11.1\\ 25.8\\ 14.9\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.2\\ 53.4\\ .6\\ 10.7\\ \end{array}$	2, 565.6
$\begin{array}{c} 13, 868, 432 \\ 4, 550, 880 \\ 15, 554, 302 \\ 14, 396, 250 \end{array}$	11, 428, 728 21, 182, 279 8, 964, 947	29, 154, 328 25, 358, 964 23, 567, 050 5, 362, 396	$\begin{array}{c} 2.700 \\ 4.598,790 \\ 4.417,780 \\ 17,893,058 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8,  315,  085 \\ 15,  588,  964 \\ 312,  500 \\ 2,  788,  000 \end{array}$	543, 180, 915
$\begin{array}{c} 17,482,518\\ 5,166,508\\ 18,976,780\\ 19,177,300 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,660,595\\ 25,833,351\\ 13,834,654\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33, 607, 950\\ 30, 055, 724\\ 30, 144, 500\\ 6, 003, 313 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,000\\ 5,189,256\\ 8,949,113\\ 22,451,950\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10, 392, 984\\ 17, 001, 719\\ 625, 000\\ 5, 581, 000 \end{array}$	676, 999, 548
North Carolina	Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina	South Dakota Tennessee Utah	Vermont. Virginia. Washington	Wisconsin Wyoming District of Columbia Puerto Rico	Total

93

<sup>1</sup> Includes projects on rural portions of the Federal-aid primary highway system financed from Federal-aid primary, secondary, "D" and Interstate funds. <sup>2</sup> Initial commitment of funds.

Table 14.—Improvements on secondary roads in rural areas financed with Federal-aid funds:<sup>1</sup> Status of projects as of June 30, 1960 and projects completed during the fiscal year

ued, <sup>2</sup> plans not al	- C2.	proved	Plans ap ec	proved, not un onstruction	nder	Unde	r construction		Complete	ed during fiscal	year
t Federal funds		Miles	Total cost	Federal funds	Miles	Total cost	Federal funds	Miles	Total cost	Federal funds	Miles
55 \$263, 243 99 3, 787, 178 00 27, 000	1	5.9 50.2	\$3, 802, 360 1, 463, 860 1, 191, 496	\$1, \$11, 290 1, 260, 236 \$56, 207	98.2 15.7 13.1	\$13, 868, 677 16, 465, 718 7, 362, 531	$ \begin{array}{c} \$6, 631, 202 \\ 14, 104, 196 \\ 5, 325, 299 \\ 5, 325, 299 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 449.4\\ 128.3\\ 98.2\\ 910.6 \end{array} $	\$12, 116, 682 4, 806, 299 6, 633, 058		603 144 238
28 I, 345, 684 70 2, 969, 303 32 635, 089		112.3 61.8 19.2	3, 256, 690 8, 537, 000 1, 535, 000 73, 333	$\begin{array}{c} 1,628,345\\ 4,367,900\\ 642,637\\ 36,666\end{array}$	99.2 82.1 23.1 .4	10, 209, 942 12, 881, 182 7, 438, 613 8, 340, 115 2, 256, 496	o, 255, 7 20 6, 902, 873 4, 178, 666 4, 234, 789 1, 129, 948	319. 5 113. 8 146. 1 27. 4 26. 4	9, 540, 400 17, 805, 156 8, 050, 065 2, 798, 069 4, 599, 894	$\begin{array}{c} 3, 313, 343\\ 9, 170, 239\\ 4, 366, 696\\ 1, 589, 842\\ 2, 650, 295\end{array}$	221. 175. 11. 37.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		87.9 31.1 7.2	$\begin{array}{c} 2,244,400\\ 20,818\\ 1,091,037\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,185,440\\ 9,939\\ 673,901 \end{array}$	38.4 31.5	$\begin{array}{c} 9,\ 444,\ 582\\ 27,\ 559,\ 989\\ 4,\ 370,\ 494\\ 4,\ 370,\ 417\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,\ 907,\ 072\\ 13,\ 449,\ 425\\ 2,\ 176,\ 237\\ 2,\ 922,\ 529\end{array}$	111.6 542.6 10.5 124.3	$\begin{array}{c} 10,001,652\\ 22,144,247\\ 928,478\\ 7,073,891 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,787,987\\ 111,644,773\\ 426,762\\ 4,715,575\end{array}$	255. 469. 252.
54         3, 144, 927           36         4, 868, 668           30         188, 765           96         1, 157, 423		$\begin{array}{c} 88.1\\ 35.4\\ 10.0\\ 169.5\end{array}$	2, 840, 600 3, 318, 010 1, 411, 420 2, 364, 170	$1, \frac{420}{703}, \frac{300}{791}, \frac{1703}{717}, \frac{791}{470}, \frac{1717}{112}, \frac{470}{085}$	31. 6 61. 5 49. 5 119. 1	30, 243, 752 20, 441, 807 10, 623, 294 16, 222, 886	$\begin{array}{c} 15,084,203\\ 10,239,784\\ 5,376,662\\ 8,208,072 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 529.\ 2\\ 127.\ 6\\ 370.\ 2\\ 919.\ 9\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 24,276,571\ 16,377,957\ 15,420,555\ 15,427,545\ 15,427,545\end{array}$	12, 772, 784 8, 251, 133 8, 119, 426 8, 174, 974	586. 199. 675. 990.
74 225, 187 132 49, 566			$\begin{array}{c} 686,800\\ 1,142,600\\ 515,000\\ 2,220,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 343,400\\ 580,340\\ 257,500\\ 1,264,500\end{array}$	$27.6 \\ 5.1 \\ 55.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 23,060,682\\ 25,000,817\\ 3,969,796\\ 2,801,527\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,496,238\\ 12,238,256\\ 1,988,200\\ 1,412,008 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 174.8\\ 329.9\\ 41.1\\ 86.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,687,100\\ 20,078,629\\ 5,897,645\\ 8,195,981 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7,723,808\\ 10,680,504\\ 3,013,396\\ 4,057,792 \end{array}$	196. 361. 49. 142.
22 149, 511 154 1, 746, 577 1589, 637 881 674, 691	1	2.1 99.2 49.9	$\begin{array}{c} 384,000\\ 3,946,000\\ 2,119,600\\ 1,492,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 360,000\\ 1,979,800\\ 1,059,780\\ 694,650\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .3\\ 157.2\\ 121.4\\ 57.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8, 378, 795\\ 16, 562, 255\\ 21, 192, 628\\ 14, 322, 120 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,\ 488,\ 975\\ 8,\ 337,\ 506\\ 11,\ 039,\ 039\\ 6,\ 561,\ 677\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19.1\\ +23.3\\ 1,184.6\\ 531.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 917,170\\ 15,922,751\\ 16,399,620\\ 21,082,874 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 452,460\\ 8,612,460\\ 8,387,368\\ 11,238,181\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.\\ 5.80.\\ 1,\ 105.\\ 719.\end{array}$
02 2, 443, 381 00 917, 067 348, 554 46, 647		333.0 27.9 28.4	$\begin{array}{c} 3,\ 427,\ 800\\ 2,\ 869,\ 993\\ 3,\ 040,\ 350\\ 360,\ 000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 744,\ 700\\ 1.\ 847,\ 372\\ 1,\ 535,\ 155\\ 300,\ 024 \end{array}$	140. 5 75. 8 49. 9 11. 2	18, 069, 623 13, 299, 163 17, 486, 111 1, 947, 739	8, 466, 215 8, 377, 901 8, 948, 451 1, 623, 806	$\begin{array}{c} 709.8 \\ 233.0 \\ 630.7 \\ 42.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 14, 154, 326\\ 11, 464, 359\\ 17, 522, 299\\ 2, 979, 496 \end{array}$	7, 198, 757 7, 676, 424 9, 486, 347 2, 241, 686	915.3 390.5 537.4 82.3
08 270, 604 000 730, 000 63 67, 608 364, 475		2.0 22.4 4.8	$\begin{array}{c} 1,453,466\\952,800\\824,000\\2,167,880\end{array}$	$718, 154 \\476, 400 \\531, 996 \\1, 083, 941$	6.0 13.6 7.9 16.8	3, 480, 823 2, 086, 018 8, 683, 627 32, 991, 112	$\begin{array}{c} 1,707,005\\ 1,048,414\\ 5,868,221\\ 15,488,237\end{array}$	15.9 12.3 148.0 212.5	$\begin{array}{c} 3.\ 774,\ 059\\ 2.\ 177,\ 643\\ 9,\ 620,\ 833\\ 23,\ 350,\ 315\end{array}$	2, 248, 086 1, 138, 039 6, 396, 714 11, 569, 985	14. 8 15. 6 196. 9 160. 1

368.4 951.1 956.4	200. 4 339. 6	195.1 150.7 7.7	661.8	537.2 699.7	1, 141.9 119.9	36.4 982 8	248.9 70.4	$\frac{411.8}{155.1}$	13.8	17, 134. 9
7, 619, 028 5, 486, 615	6, 125, 480	$\begin{array}{c} 5, 264, 015 \\ 13, 015, 292 \\ 640 & 330 \end{array}$	5, 575, 079	4, 485, 339 8, 325, 707	18, 653, 300 3, 870, 625	1, 749, 348 7, 482, 171	4, 692, 784 2, 732, 301	6, 245, 412 3, 484, 510	1, 212, 061	321, 444, 681
$\begin{array}{c} 14, 532, 036 \\ 9, 600, 619 \\ 26, 002, 001 \end{array}$	20, 929, 991 11, 951, 515	8, 338, 648 24, 313, 826 1 280, 760	10, 626, 056	7, 659, 239 15, 750, 396	36, 294, 629 5, 628, 265	3, 447, 321 14 976 538	8, 166, 014 4, 542, 369	$11,854,772\\5,416,524$	2, 679, 549	588, 824, 752
289.4 855.5	500. 0	169.7 120.1	846.5	327.8 435.2	923. 3 70. 7	26.5 208.5	224. 7 71. 0	$\frac{408.3}{81.0}$	45.4	14, 590. 5
7, 518, 271 6, 775, 779	10, 390, 900 8, 381, 397	$\begin{array}{c} 6,  964,  193 \\ 10,  336,  038 \\ 64,  079 \end{array}$	7, 832, 476	$\begin{array}{c} 4,602,581\\ 6,328,333\end{array}$	17, 622, 300 3, 247, 579	1, 435, 950 7 406 610	5, 934, 940 5, 752, 060	$\begin{smallmatrix}&8,144,226\\&2,945,493\end{smallmatrix}$	4, 147, 531	350, 083, 620
14, 915, 119 13, 553, 819 96, 114, 700	16, 721, 986	$\begin{array}{c} 11,372,626\\ 20,649,035\\ 124,868\end{array}$	15, 334, 897	7, 852, 113 12, 586, 558	34, 932, 405 4, 370, 890	2,856,889 14 101 491	11,011,568 11,733,080	16,077,946 4,562,591	8, 775, 294	666, 028, 229
68.3 29.2	40. 0 89. 7	44. 2 46. 1 1 5	189.5	124.1 236.6	6.5 39.0	130.6	333.8 333.8	57.3 24.1		2, 972. 7
754, 890 157, 225 # 150, 503	$\frac{0, 100, 730}{1, 544, 650}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,675,150\\ 3,587,050\\ 65,000\end{array}$	1, 605, 820	974, 923 3. $407. 323$	120,000 975,950	9 801 139	2, 824, 015 2, 824, 015 5, 472, 673	$\substack{848,020\\1,098,552}$		65, 465, 085
2, 703, 180 314, 450 0 505 106	3, 089, 300	2, 786, 000 7, 174, 100 130, 000	3, 186, 000	$1, 762, 352 \\ 6, 806, 804$	240,000 1, 310,000	5 365 907	5, 279, 600 10, 485, 174	$\substack{1,\ 616,\ 837\\1,\ 706,\ 625}$		124, 213, 918
$\left  \begin{array}{c} 30.5\\ 272.0 \end{array} \right $	147.7	7.4 9.8	129.9	107.3 169.4	25.0.2	8.5	$\frac{47.4}{36.9}$	$12.4 \\ 1.8$	16.5	2, 271. 1
$\left  \begin{array}{c} 1,204,398\\ 677,358 \end{array} \right $	953, 429	548, 942 1, 824, 111	1, 215, 561	$\begin{array}{c} 1,208,148\\ 3,944,273\end{array}$	496,000 169,764	315,000	$\begin{array}{c} 491,050\\ 3,068,580\end{array}$	440, 273 212, 422	1, 458, 441	48, 643, 115
2,390,796 1,328,716	1, 895, 800	$\begin{array}{c} 916,014\\ 3,648,222\end{array}$	2, 280, 722	$\begin{array}{c} 2,162,085\\ 7,882,146 \end{array}$	1,037,600 180,000	630, 000	$\substack{890,658\\6,117,720}$	768, 246 315, 300	3, 515, 782	90, 767, 561
North Carolina	Oklahoma	Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island	South Carolina	South Dakota	Texas. Utah	Vermont	Washington West Virginla	Wisconsin Wyoming District of Columbia	Puerto Rico	Total

<sup>1</sup> Includes projects on secondary roads in rural areas financed from Federal-aid secondary and "D" funds. <sup>2</sup> Initial commitment of funds.

Table 15.—Improvements in urban areas financed with Federal-aid funds: <sup>1</sup> Status of projects as of June 30, 1960, and projects com-pleted during the fiscal year

Plans approved, not under construction Under construction Completed during fiscal year	Total costFederalMilesTotal costFederalMilesTotal costfundsfundsfundsfunds	7         \$7, \$87, \$894, 447         \$4, 308, 432         33, 6         \$237, 352, 108         \$27, 000, 249         59, 7         \$58, 444, 919         \$4, 611, 204         31, 7           9         3, 417, 534         1, 2         2, 822, 455         2, 471, 539         4, 2         308, 739         206, 519         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 3         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2         3, 2 </th <th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></th> <th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></th> <th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></th> <th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></th> <th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></th> <th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></th> <th>5 1, 301, 006 1, 163, 147 1, 0 2, 872, 791 2, 018, 128 3, 5 2, 205, 379 1, 638, 117 4, 7 5 10 935, 074 9, 70, 999 3, 1 139, 637, 532 102, 857, 752 50, 8 11, 387, 005 7, 091, 425 36, 0</th>	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 1, 301, 006 1, 163, 147 1, 0 2, 872, 791 2, 018, 128 3, 5 2, 205, 379 1, 638, 117 4, 7 5 10 935, 074 9, 70, 999 3, 1 139, 637, 532 102, 857, 752 50, 8 11, 387, 005 7, 091, 425 36, 0
ider construc	Federa	27,009, 2,471, 34,905	197, 098, 197, 098, 197, 098, 197, 098, 198, 197, 197, 198, 198, 198, 198, 198, 198, 198, 198	4         53, 173, 1           5         52, 656, 1           2         0.76, 1           5         393, 1	2 155, 117, 2 29, 724, 5 19, 149, 9	20, 038, 11, 775, 11, 775, 29, 425, 12	2 96, 504, 6 47, 143, 47, 143, 103, 315, 23, 037, 9	8 43, 323, 7, 741. 24, 545,	1 2, 018, 3 102, 857,
Un	Total cost	\$37, 352, 10 2, 822, 45 13, 516, 10 43, 990, 239	49, 979, 29 349, 084, 19 11, 500, 18 67, 211, 50 12, 741, 023	69, 659, 44 76, 092, 21 4, 593, 010 6, 673, 168	193, 302, 18 44, 256, 03 22, 382, 84 24, 029, 620	25, 522, 70 82, 629, 95 13, 527, 78 37, 116, 156	134, 227, 45 67, 866, 57 168, 718, 13 29, 823, 12	57, 613, 27 9, 731, 571 17, 919, 645 25, 901, 965	2, 872, 79 139, 637, 52
nder	Miles	33.6 6.9 6.2	0.0 5.4 5.4 4.4	16.6	19.9 15.0 18.7 1.7	13.7 13.7 19.0	21.7 8.9.4 8.24	8.8.4 9.49.4 9.49.6	1.0
proved, not n	Federal funds	\$4, 308, 432 3, 046, 968 4, 774, 004	4, 4/4, 394 42, 704, 205 1, 778, 712 2, 889, 671	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 439, 069\\ 2, 643, 558\\ 815\\ 29, 175\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25,481,873\\ 13,116,561\\ 1,804,418\\ 1,356,035\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,732,833\\ 19,796,740\\ 1,162,267\\ 21,090,016\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20,  994,  973 \\ 5,  234,  932 \\ 4,  274,  949 \\ 1,  296,  440 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,\ 908,\ 790\\ 1,\ 636,\ 481\\ 3,\ 438,\ 622\\ 109,\ 409 \end{array}$	1, 163, 147 9, 170, 999
Plans ap co	Total cost	\$7, 894, 447 3, 443, 178 5, 443, 178	o, 253, 190 48, 867, 891 2, 177, 609 5, 801, 436	$\begin{array}{c} 2,765,716\\ 5,152,646\\ 1,726\\ 32,863\end{array}$	36, 136, 790 15, 542, 971 3, 594, 122 1, 665, 305	$\begin{array}{c} 6,085,833\\ 23,935,715\\ 1,579,700\\ 27,979,848\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.\ 491,\ 077\\ 7,\ 113,\ 950\\ 6,\ 255,\ 270\\ 1,\ 686,\ 060\end{array}$	3, 824, 784 2, 521, 311 3, 764, 310 131, 282	1, 301, 006
proved	Miles	19. 7 18. 9	10. 8 2. 2 3. 8	35.3 35.3 2.2 2.2	12.7 5.8 6.8	8.0	9.4 9.7 19.7	6.3 1.7	1.5
<sup>2</sup> plans not apt	Federal funds	\$15, 924, 904 6, 440, 682 6, 000, 000	$\begin{array}{c} 9,026,889\\ 13,462,590\\ 998,255\\ 1,968,000\end{array}$	979, 000 28, 651, 276 648, 435 580, 472	$\begin{array}{c} 19,\ 223,\ 144\\ 10,\ 324,\ 747\\ 2,\ 481,\ 018\\ 161,\ 056\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,\ 958,\ 223\\ 45,\ 914\\ 316,\ 700\\ 2,\ 564,\ 000 \end{array}$	9, 682, 142 6, 247, 736 9, 786, 266 7, 024, 367	$\begin{array}{c} 4,\ 219,\ 586\\ 679,\ 984\\ 328,\ 518\\ 23,\ 465\end{array}$	1, 937. 729 92, 636, 277
Programed,	Total cost	\$33.054.984 7.573.582	$\begin{array}{c} 10,213,778\\ 18,486,887\\ 2,511,565\\ 2,680,000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,510,000\\ 36,387,018\\ 1,296,870\\ 876,557\end{array}$	25, 968, 623 13, 801, 374 3, 144, 435 182, 912	$\begin{array}{c} 7,086,646\\ 75,828\\ 633,400\\ 4,427,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11, \ 041, \ 066\\ 12, \ 556, \ 471\\ 10, \ 774, \ 720\\ 9, \ 006, \ 422 \end{array}$	5, 855, 290 860, 936 541, 436 29, 156	2, 275, 458
and a second sec	state of territory	ama ka	unsas	da giù aii	lis. una. as	tucky siana re- yland	achusetts	ouri tana aska	Ilampshire

12.6 3.0	37.1	11.6	9.5	79.5	24.2	15.4	7.9	20.6	153.4	5.9	.3	13.8	14.5	10.8	17.6	5.1	12.1	. 7.0	1, 094. 9
3, 976, 592 672, 505	86, 532, 995	8, 729, 155	2, 973, 627	81, 455, 558	7, 376, 835	5, 161, 295	1, 920, 931	8, 078, 395	44, 724, 856	4, 130, 485	276, 265	5, 971, 129	29, 946, 995	2, 273, 907	6, 352, 095	3, 530, 441	8,066,247	3, 131, 065	870, 562, 101
7, 327, 941 1. 067, 424	110, 698, 668	10, 694, 628	4, 321, 879	109, 509, 027	10, 396, 191	8, 271, 972	3, 456, 012	12, 966, 769	62, 194, 524	4, 745, 470	315,066	9, 211, 910	35, 812, 234	4, 498, 794	9,468,579	4,010,005	13, 729, 667	6, 312, 227	1, 258, 685, 087
16.8 3.9	43.2	17.1	13.5	53.7	19.6	11.2	16.5	27.5	137.8	9.4	4.3	12.5	21.0	7.5	15.1	5.0	13.3	4.5	1, 493.3
6, 042, 060 589, 165	87, 580, 812	11. 201, 258	33, 330, 101	69, 219, 250	24, 673, 119	5, 549, 395	7, 856, 952	73, 065, 351	123, 452, 158	21, 028, 222	7.762.077	19, 224, 063	28,954,628	12, 771, 507	33,095,644	2, 098, 757	47, 066, 490	5, 660, 384	2, 234, 801, 149
8, 821, 275 861, 616	120, 467, 610	14,046,463	38, 872, 097	93, 539, 538	33, 893, 501	9, 281, 986	10,000,807	91,406,375	153, 308, 366	22, 802, 501	9, 101, 617	23, 453, 680	35, 964, 184	18, 386, 135	47, 848, 653	2, 605, 134	64, 115, 369	11, 789, 761	3,086,421,982
2.3	8.1	9.3	7.8	24.2		5.1	.8	5.5	28.5	3.2		4.6	5.1	en.	3.8	1.9	.4		407.6
978, 001 371, 013	16, 972, 599	2, 153, 744	5, 796, 500	25, 356, 907	312, 210	1, 149, 285	442, 449	4, 742, 089	8, 740, 950	1, 222, 312		1, 775, 734	5, 053, 476	132, 675	2,864,183	512, 840	5, 226, 892		327, 608, 371
1, 947, 063 729, 450	21, 260, 886	3, 996, 238	6, 650, 445	38, 838, 605	346,900	1, 530, 607	795.651	6,014,476	10,858,600	1, 297, 162		2, 915, 140	6, 171, 721	265, 349	4, 219, 938	597,040	7, 505, 550		440, 844, 275
8.8	9.	13.2	1.2	11.4		17.9	6.6	4.5	30.4	3.7	-	8.9	1.5	9.8	3.0	1.2	6.2	5.6	359.2
1, 730, 398	2, 260, 167	2, 301, 162	1, 112, 948	19, 138, 072	1, 561, 700	4, 415, 592	3, 804, 882	5, 789, 581	22, 736, 650	4, 028, 786	22.125	1,938,400	3,466,680	17, 488, 996	10, 209, 525	253, 560	23, 163, 911	4, 159, 290	334, 897, 601
2, 921, 858   22, 498	2, 510, 530	3, 836, 100	1, 565, 280	27, 724, 712	2,009,000	5, 840, 962	4, 659, 451	7, 513, 450	26, 859, 500	4, 341, 100	44.250	3, 848, 000	4, 604, 105	22, 381, 329	11, 354, 833	297, 833	28, 320, 651	8, 494, 580	449, 812, 107
North Carolina	Ohio.	Oklahoma	Oregon	Pennsylvania	Rhode Island	South Carolina	South Dakota	Tennessee	Texas	Utah	Vermont	Virginia	Washington	West Virginia	Wisconsin	Wvoming	District of Columbia	Puerto Rico	Total

<sup>1</sup> Includes projects in urban areas financed from Federal-aid primary, secondary, urban, "D" and Interstate funds. <sup>2</sup> Initial commitment of funds.

## Table 16.—Funds authorized by secs. 2(a) and 2(e) ("D" and "L" funds, respectively) of the 1958 act: Projects completed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1960, by State

		Completed du	ring fiscal year	
State or territory	Total cost	Federa	al funds	Miles
		"D" funds	"L" funds	
Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas			\$1, 517, 404 233, 516 360, 310 878, 593	$131. 3 \\ 104. 2 \\ 46. 8 \\ 165. 1$
California Colorado Connecticut Delaware	$\begin{array}{c} 28,076,749\\ 5,476,714\\ 5,099,563\\ 2,345,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16,840,323\\ 3,532,327\\ 2,973,882\\ 1,562,285 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,034,088\\902,973\\933,556\\479,145\end{array}$	$101.\ 0\\109.\ 4\\25.\ 9\\19.\ 6$
Florida	$\begin{array}{r} 4,979,567\\ 12,955,471\\ 2,157,168\\ 4,657,876\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,296,553\\ 8,624,373\\ 1,403,868\\ 3,364,625\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}971,742\\2,661,656\\467,956\\733,983\end{array}$	$32.0 \\ 276.3 \\ 5.1 \\ 120.2$
Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas	$\begin{array}{c} 21,600,272\\ 11,282,568\\ 4,975,874\\ 6,264,767\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13, 986, 499 \\ 7, 337, 328 \\ 3, 005, 777 \\ 4, 098, 491 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,839,254\\ 2,388,046\\ 877,615\\ 1,264,223 \end{array}$	394. 2172. 7180. 9246. 9
Kentueky Louisiana Maine Maryland	$\begin{array}{c} 7,705,465\\ 8,883,447\\ 3,934,414\\ 7,230,387 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5,049,820\\ 5,613,977\\ 2,508,801\\ 4,394,431 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}1,529,743\\1,780,545\\765,850\\1,357,629\end{array}$	$93.7 \\ 161.9 \\ 40.0 \\ 24.7$
Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi	$\begin{array}{c}9,577,489\\15,635,656\\10,074,339\\8,868,733\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,281,255\\ 9,963,353\\ 5,979,057\\ 5,421,907 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,802,231\\ 178,867\\ 224,152\\ 18,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 28.5 \\ 199.8 \\ 221.1 \\ 245.9 \end{array}$
Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	7, 274, 685 7, 307, 659 8, 934, 197 2, 439, 748	$\begin{array}{c} 4,760,026\\ 4,969,564\\ 5,815,927\\ 1,952,125 \end{array}$	${ \begin{smallmatrix} 1,485,160\\ 1,250,662\\ 1,784,729\\ 135,497 \end{smallmatrix} }$	$91. \ 3 \\ 198. \ 7 \\ 174. \ 8 \\ 50. \ 3$
New Hampshire. New Jersey New Mexico. New York	2, 378, 490 11, 439, 760 3, 587, 695 30, 329, 481	$\begin{array}{c} 1,462,934\\ 7,485,532\\ 2,696,142\\ 17,767,592 \end{array}$	2, 303, 432 556, 131 5, 409, 343	$8.8 \\ 37.9 \\ 51.2 \\ 144.1$
North Carolina North Dakota Ohio	$\begin{array}{c} 7,812,360\\ 5,573,956\\ 14,055,825\\ 1,789,287\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5,110,438\\ 3,658,520\\ 9,100,803\\ 1,189,235 \end{array}$	$1,470,355 \\ 1,133,851 \\ 2,867,965 \\ 367,332$	133. 3322, 6264. 029. 3
Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina	$\begin{array}{c} 6,996,209\\ 27,622,003\\ 2,220,944\\ 5,055,256 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,968,200\\ 18,324,592\\ 1,475,806\\ 3,052,936\end{array}$	$1,042,801 \\ 5,639,487 \\ 450,050$	80. 3 131. 3 22. 8 188. 2
South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah	3, 520, 939 5, 860, 132 20, 171, 050 2, 125, 989	$\begin{array}{c} 2,467,583\\ 3,840,424\\ 12,149,420\\ 1,664,298 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 439,601\\ 1,190,918\\ 3,744,872\\$	$179. 9 \\ 141. 1 \\ 399. 3 \\ 29. 1$
Vermont	2, 223, 134 7, 392, 728 4, 975, 410 4, 304, 531	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 374, 729 \\ 4, 270, 509 \\ 3, 366, 676 \\ 2, 751, 571 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 417,837\\ 1,330,646\\ 925,995\\ 811,716\end{array}$	11.6     99.0     58.7     46.8
Wisconsin Wyoming District of Columbia Puerto Rico	$\begin{array}{c} 10,038,639\\ 4,665,076\\ 2,299,467\\ 4,386,153 \end{array}$	5,826,694 3,424,143 1,215,015 2,762,074	1,733,005652,490347,226853,324	178. 278. 03. 814. 1
Totals	418, 881, 657	268, 411, 588	68, 545, 502	6, 315. 7



Table 17.—Status of program authorized by secs. 2(a) and 2(e) ("D" and "L" funds, respectively) of the 1958 act as of June 30, 1960, by program and by State

					)								
		Primary		<i>6</i> 2	econdary			Urhan			Tot	la	
State or territory	Tatal and	Eddorol	Atiloe	Total cost	Fadlaral	Miles	Total cost.	Federal	Miles	Total cost	Federa	funds	Miles
	1 0141 COSt	spung	SULLA	1000 TEAL	spunj			funds			spun) "G''	spunj ".J."	
Alabama. Alaska. Arisaka.	$ \begin{array}{c}             \$9, 332, 540 \\             \$294, 646 \\             1, 485, 195 \\             3, 542, 153 \\             3, 542, 153             3         $	\$8, 095, 085 2, 189, 407 1, 374, 794 3, 042, 387	178. 2 59. 1 11. 5 141. 3	$x^2$ ,	\$2, 044, 176 \$2, 044, 176 3, 422, 534 3, 686, 252 4, 575, 361	73.2 66.8 63.1 202.3	$\$493, 800\\1, 053, 869\\473, 213\\92, 794$		କ ରା ତା ତା ଉଚ୍ଚ ରା ରା ଭ୍ରତ୍ତ୍ର ରା	\$12, 169, 298 8, 219, 447 6, 003, 908 9, 147, 330	$\begin{array}{c} \$\$, 0\$1, 616\\ 6, 17\$, 599\\ 4, 806, 19\$\\ 5, 880, 73\$\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \$2, 496, 574\\ \$24, 824\\ 682, 394\\ 1, 816, 813 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 260.3\\ 129.1\\ 76.8\\ 346.8\end{array}$
California Colitado Connecticut Delaware	$\begin{array}{c} 21,031,503\\7,707,797\\4,596,601\\1,404,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16, 395, 768\\ 6, 361, 230\\ 3, 502, 495\\ 1, 248, 000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 64.7\\ 165.9\\ 28.6\\ 14.9\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8, 538, 895\\ 1, 131, 102\\ 1, 532, 682\\ 1, 157, 000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5, 559, 720\\ 917, 229\\ 1, 280, 353\\ 985, 430\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 130.2\\ 24.9\\ 7.1\\ 7.4\end{array}$	6, 782, 822 646, 398 147, 879	5, 407, 037 $556, 814$ $104, 046$	7.7 5.0 .7	$\begin{array}{c} 36, 353, 220\\ 9, 485, 297\\ 6, 277, 162\\ 2, 561, 000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22,073,488\\ 6,259,199\\ 3,733,466\\ 1,706,285\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5, 289, 037\\ 1, 576, 074\\ 1, 153, 428\\ 527, 145 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 202.6\\ 195.8\\ 36.4\\ 22.3\\ \end{array}$
Florida. Georgia Hawaii Idalno.	7, 718, 477 9, 713, 445 2, 849, 494 1, 318, 725	6, 785, 593 8, 403, 965 2, 406, 145 1, 102, 930	$\begin{array}{c} 122.7\\ 209.1\\ 13.2\\ 42.3\\ 42.3\end{array}$	2, 095, 159 5, 353, 139 4, 120, 887	$\begin{array}{c} 1,729,989\\ 2,971,548\\ 3,673,345\end{array}$	$\frac{181.9}{69.7}$	57, 059 631, 071	50, 719 560, 952	$   \begin{array}{c}     2.7 \\     12.0 \\   \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 9, 870, 695\\ 13, 697, 655\\ 2, 849, 494\\ 5, 439, 612\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,544,442\\ 9,119,163\\ 1,838,235\\ 3,936,209\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,021,859\\ 2,817,302\\ 567,910\\ 840,066 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 307.3\\ 290.8\\ 13.2\\ 165.9\end{array}$
Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas	$\begin{array}{c} 18, 147, 174 \\ 12, 719, 022 \\ 7, 977, 305 \\ 6, 656, 173 \end{array}$	15, 999, 682 11, 097, 578 6, 617, 979 5, 663, 100	358.0 194.7 145.7 136.2	8, 157, 088 1, 871, 550 4, 891, 992 4, 798, 810	$\begin{array}{c} 5,615,884\\ 1,393,183\\ 3,931,251\\ 4,129,405 \end{array}$	206.0 55.7 253.1 342.7	1,138,235 $$	1,008,594 $606,952$ $840,430$	3.6 3.2 3.4	$\begin{array}{c} 27,442,497\\ 14,500,572\\ 13,558,715\\ 12,506,602\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17, 803, 047\\ 9, 542, 632\\ 8, 523, 047\\ 8, 123, 299\\ 8, 123, 299\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4, 821, 113\\ 2, 948, 129\\ 2, 633, 135\\ 2, 509, 636\\ \end{array}$	567.6 250.4 402.0 482.3
Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland,	4, 824, 188 3, 197, 441 4, 110, 745 6, 830, 387	4, 092, 281 2, 842, 130 3, 428, 435 5, 361, 560	22. X 50. 2 24. 7	6, 041, 777 6, 734, 709 534, 784	5, 252, 034 5, 411, 262 466, 676	93.2 149.4 4.7	400,000	390, 500		$\begin{array}{c} 10,868,965\\ 9,932,150\\ 4,645,529\\ 7,230,387\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7. \ 138, 825\\ 6, \ 205, \ 387\\ 2, \ 975, \ 768\\ 4, \ 394, \ 431 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,205,490\\ 1,948,005\\ 919,343\\ 1,357,629\end{array}$	116.0 55.4 24.7 24.7
Massachusetts	9, 578, 434 9, 353, 558 7, 365, 963	$\begin{array}{c} 7, 556, 137\\ 5, 948, 152\\ 4, 617, 343\\ \end{array}$	29.5 131.7 126.9	$\begin{array}{c} 287, 624\\ 6, 446, 924\\ 6, 038, 727\\ 10, 392, 380\end{array}$	253, 586 4, 341, 697 3, 608, 081 6, 392, 195	$\begin{array}{c} 223.7\\ 363.6\\ 296.2\end{array}$	2, 194, 783 5, 844, 309 2, 724, 675	$\begin{array}{c} 1.899.561\\ 3.770.848\\ 1.712,271\\ \end{array}$	$3.2 \\ 3.1 \\ 7.1 $	$\begin{array}{c} 12,060,841\\ \cdot21,644,791\\ 16,129,365\\ 10,392,380\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7,417,652\\ 13,857,433\\ 9,544,381\\ 6,374,195\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,\ 291,\ 632\\ 203,\ 264\\ 393,\ 314\\ 18,\ 000 \end{array}$	33. 3 375. 8 497. 6 296. 2
Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	$\begin{array}{c} 11,466,058\\ 5,892,507\\ 6,881,835\\ 3,901,893\end{array}$	9, 786, 530 4, 970, 015 5, 809, 768 3, 737, 602	110.2 146.1 135.3 69.4	$\begin{array}{c} 5,249,699\\ 3,295,356\\ 3,291,964\\ 558,836\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{4,\ 665,\ 628}\\ \mathbf{2,\ 950,\ 308}\\ \mathbf{2,\ 866,\ 844}\\ \mathbf{2300,\ 558}\end{array}$	298.7 121.7 76.8 31.4			4 1 1 4 1 3 8 1 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8	$\begin{array}{c} 16,715,757\\9,187,863\\10,173,799\\4,560,729\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,041,091\\ 6,325,284\\ 6,628,717\\ 3,839,673\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,411,067\\ 1,595,039\\ 2,047,895\\ 288,487\\ 288,487\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 408.9\\ 267.8\\ 212.1\\ 100.8\end{array}$
New Itampshire	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 552, 426\\ 8, 365, 131\\ 1, 976, 844\\ 24, 571, 184\end{array}$	907, 828 7, 238, 325 1, 813, 241 19, 736, 245	$\begin{array}{c} 9.4\\ 24.7\\ 23.4\\ 160.3\end{array}$	978, 247 951, 730 5, 026, 102 7, 595, 517	$\begin{array}{c} 652, 164 \\ 628, 260 \\ 4, 407, 516 \\ 5. 993, 862 \end{array}$	45.5 83.2 45.5	$\begin{array}{c} 356,832\\ 2,274,817\\ 11,104,564\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 237,888\\ 2,022,059\\ \hline 7,994,965 \end{array}$	3.3 11.3 11.7	$\begin{array}{c} 2,887,505\\111,591,678\\7,002,946\\43,271,265 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.797,8\%0\\ 7,585,212\\ 5,170,877\\ 25,765,119\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,303,432\\ 1,049,880\\ 7,959,953 \end{array}$	13. 5 39. 5 106. 6 217. 5

342. 1 200-0	592. 9 727. 9	180.7	194-0	139.1	48.8	282 3	7 604	205.8	1.027.4	72.5	99.4	465.3	269.5	152.5	319.6	97.0	7.0	14.1	12, 109.4
2, 953, 557	1, 339, 720 4, 904, 896	2, 368, 993	1 241 107	5, 936, 982	676, 620		1 026 030	2. 562. 897	7. 518, 072		511 800	2. 365. 867	1, 674, 258	1, 336, 012	2, 810, 900	748, 548	731.875	864, 659	102, 701, 758
9,560,200	15. 876. 379	7, 668, 061	5.898.916	19, 217, 078	2.219.046	5,066,872	4 880 326	8, 295, 696	24.334.820	3, 798, 038	1.656.616	7. 657, 939	6, 562, 235	4, 324, 463	9, 098, 443	3, 886, 714	2, 368, 963	2, 798, 766	399, 992, 431
14, 487, 280 6, 870, 000	25, 284, 964	11, 903, 325	8. 283. 048	28, 960, 731	3. 338. 869	8, 690, 348	7.124.067	12, 668, 920	38, 836, 754	4, 819, 310	2.651.834	12.912.473	9, 777, 725	6, 671, 557	15, 494, 915	5.272.994	4.040.273	4, 445, 605	621, 004, 436
3.3	60.4	6.3	5.5	1.1		1.0		8.1	12.1	4.5		2.5	1.3	.6	4.8			6.7	231.0
431, 463	2, 577, 185	2, 108, 368	1.276.163	509, 200		118, 572		363, 440	2, 559, 400	355,074		303, 128	32, 377	463, 705	1, 686, 038			2, 340, 090	44, 182, 599
591, 329	3, 127, 794	2.436.991	1, 602, 869	697, 415		457, 240		408, 870	3, 017, 634	453, 930		454, 695	37.647	626,000	2,084,003			2, 894.045	57, 048, 619
35.3 269.8	269.2	116.8	88.5	38.6	5.7	152.0	220.0	176.0	345.2	19.4	6.7	265.1	152.0	128.1	144.0	1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	3. S	1	6, 036. 9
1, 946, 332 3. 800. 165	9, 833, 114	2, 975, 400	2, 588, 372	6, 007, 717	978, 426	1, 984, 312	1,970,850	3,961,584	8, 037, 800	582, 105	419,682	5, 817, 205	2, 897, 329	4, 377, 562	4, 625, 267		992, 358	7	157, 991, 911
2, 270, 160 4, 493, 902	12, 227, 078	3, 449, 060	3, 034, 360	6, 789, 955	1, 124, 729	3, 296, 324	2, 635, 205	4, 456, 782	10, 566, 844	748, 866	492, 749	7, 383, 799	3, 697, 817	5, 120, 023	5, 794, 528		1, 555, 926		200, 085, 560
303. 5 123. 1	398.3	57.6	30.9	99.4	43.1	129.3	182.7	121.7	670.1	48.6	15.7	197.7	116.2	23.8	170.8	97.0	3.2	7.4	5, 811. 5
10, 135, 962 2, 104, 833	8, 370, 976	4, 953, 286	3, 275, 578	18, 637, 143	1, 917. 240	2, 963, 988	3, 935, 506	6, 533, 569	21, 255, 692	2, 860, 859	1, 748, 734	3, 903, 473	5, 306, 787	819, 208	5, 598, 038	4, 635, 262	2, 108, 480	1, 323, 335	300, 519, 679
11.625,791 2.377,088	9, 930, 092	6, 017, 274	3, 645, 819	21, 473, 361	2, 214, 140	4, 936, 784	4, 488, 862	7, 803, 268	25, 252, 276	3,616,514	2, 159, 085	5, 073, 979	6,042,261	920, 034	7, 616, 384	5, 272, 994	2, 484, 347	1, 551, 560	363, 870, 257
North Carolina North Dakota	Ohio	Oklanoma	Oregon	Pennsylvania	Kliode Island	South Carolina	South Dakota	Tennessee	Transferrence and the second s	Utan	Vermont	Virginia	W ashington	W GST A ILBRITHT	Wisconsin	w yoming	District of Columbia	Fuerto Rico	Total

Table 18.-Mileage of designated Federal-aid highway systems, by State as of Dec. 31, 1959

State or fortiory	National Sy Def	ystem of 1ntc ense 11ighwa	erstate and tys	Federal-	id primary l system <sup>1</sup>	ighway	Federal-ai	d secondary system	highway								
	Total	Rural	Urban	Total	Rural	Urban	Total	Rural	Urban								
Alabama	Miles 873	Miles 775	Miles 98	Miles 6, 304	Miles 5, 632 2 9, 181	<i>Miles</i> 672 19	Miles 20, 722 3, 225	Miles 20, 292 3, 207	<i>Miles</i> 430 18								
Ataka Arizana Arizana	$1, 162 \\ 523$	1, 129 482	33 41	3, 920 3, 920	3, 669	251	3, 943	3, 784 14, 127	$159 \\ 207$								
California Colorado Comedicut Delavare	$2, 182 \\ 963 \\ 297 \\ 40 \\ 40$	1, 625 905 166 36	557 58 131 4	9,394 4,263 1,281 585	8, 028 4, 083 876 537	1, 366 180 405 48	11, 219 4, 097 1, 144 1, 416	10,5144,0261,4001,400	705 71 158 16								
Florida . Georgia . Hawaii .	1, 142 1, 110 611	1, 017 968 595	125 142 16	$\begin{array}{c} 5, 385 \\ 8, 775 \\ 533 \\ 3, 219 \\ 3, 219 \end{array}$	4, 845 8, 088 495 3, 140	540 687 38 79	$13,097 \\ 14,062 \\ 649 \\ 5,285$	$\frac{12, 799}{13, 777}$ $5, 243$	298 285 10 42								
Tilinois Indiana Iowa Kansas	$1,608 \\ 1,105 \\ 711 \\ 803$	1, 394 988 658 692	214 117 53 111 111	10,7366,00810,2807,803	9, 497 5, 253 9, 701 7, 363	$1, 239 \\ 755 \\ 579 \\ 440 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,730\\ 16,253\\ 33,065\\ 23,491\\ 23,491\end{array}$	13, 471 16, 031 32, 821 23, 336	259 222 244 155								
Kentucky Louisma Maryand	696 680 311 354	638 588 291 217	58 92 137 137	$\begin{array}{c} 4, 548\\ 3, 321\\ 1, 930\\ 2, 300 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,239\\ 2,945\\ 1,793\\ 1,855\end{array}$	309 376 137 445	$\begin{array}{c} 15,239\\ 7,742\\ 2,297\\ 6,759\end{array}$	15, 079 7, 599 2, 241 6, 465	160 143 56 294								
Massaehusetts Michigan Minneota Mississipiju	$   \begin{array}{c}     462 \\     1,074 \\     893 \\     672   \end{array} $	272 941 765 608	190 128 64	2,310 7,578 8,811 5,789	$\begin{array}{c} 1,509\\ 6,894\\ 8,130\\ 5,562\end{array}$	831 884 881 881	$\begin{array}{c} 2,175\\ 24,937\\ 30,417\\ 13,720\\ 13,720 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,  643\\ 24,  647\\ 30,  193\\ 13,  530\end{array}$	582 290 224 190								
Missouri Montana Nebraska Nebraska	$1,100 \\ 1,180 \\ 489 \\ 534$	977 1, 168 479 524	123 12 10 10	9, 294 6, 233 2, 793 2, 200	8, 761 6, 137 5, 646 2, 165	533 96 35 35	$\begin{array}{c} 23,219\\ 5,153\\ 17,539\\ 2,678\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23,109\\ 5,130\\ 17,502\\ 2,665\end{array}$	$\frac{110}{37}$								
New Ilampshire New Jersey New Moxico New Moxico	$\begin{array}{c} 213\\ 372\\ 1,003\\ 1.227\end{array}$	195 212 978 817	160     160     25     410	1, 208 2, 093 4, 029 10, 555	$\begin{array}{c} 1,092\\ 1,296\\ 3,839\\ 8,366\end{array}$	116 797 190 2, 189	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 611 \\ 2, 095 \\ 5, 473 \\ 19, 285 \\ 19, 285 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,560\\ 1,565\\ 5,423\\ 17,708 \end{array}$	51 530 50 1,577								
25, 411 301 13, 367 301	17, 283 544	12, 443 162	7. 521	12.350 1.068	309 141	16, 112 167	12, 330	10, 385 61	31, 214 543 3, 625 71	1, 805 23 18, 224 204	10, 494 255	10, 629 97	18, 500 360	2, 303	92	1,040 50	577, 857 11, 829
--------------------------------	-------------	-------------	--------	--------------	--------------	---------------	-------------	------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------	---------------	-------------	---------	----------------------	------------	------------------
25,712 13,387	17, 827	12,605	7 500	13.418	450	16, 279	12, 352	10, 446	31, 757 3, 696	1,828	10, 749	10, 726	18,860	2, 314	92	1,090	589, 686
452 67	1, 176	458	666	1.344	240	318	92	374	1,680	80 880	325	208	491	56	142	138	23, 603
6, 583	8, 330	7,800	3, 806	7, 103	284	5,068	5, 983	5,208	15,630 2,192	1,494 5 093	3, 677	2, 563	5.876	3, 565		413	241,005
7,035 4,100	9, 506	8, 258	4,028	8.447	524	5, 386	6,075	5, 582	17,310 2,277	1,574 5,573	4,002	2, 771	6, 367	3, 621	142	551	264,608
4e	224	92	52	243	39	17	6	120	491 43	112 96	131	50	32	14	33		4,994
560	1,265	720	629	1.285	32	662	929	927	2, 538	309	596	375	420	901			35, 620
570	1,489	262	731	1.528	12	629	629	1,047	3, 029 922	 321	727	395	452	915	33		3 40, 614
Torth Carolina Torth Dakota	$ hi_0$	)klahoma	Dream	bennsylvania	thode Island	outh Carolina	outh Dakota	ennessec	exas. tah	ermont	Vashington.	Vest Virginia	lisconsin	/yoming	bistrict of Columbia	uerto Rico	Total

<sup>1</sup> Figures include the mileage of the Interstate system. <sup>2</sup> Alaska includes 346 miles of ferry routes. ,

<sup>3</sup> 386 miles within the 41,000-mile limitation are not assigned to routes, and are held in reserve for adjustments of route lengths as final locations are selected and projects built.

Table 19.--Status of national forest highway projects as of June 30, 1960, and projects completed during the fiscal year<sup>1</sup>

Ototo on formitores	Programed,	,² constructio authorized	n not yet	Constru	iction author iot started	ized,	Und	er constructi	но	Complete	d during fise	al year
Sugar of vertical	Total cost	Federal funds	Milles	Total cost	Federal funds	Miles	Total cost	Federal funds	Miles	Total cost	Federal funds	Miles
Alabama. Alaska. Ataska. Arkanas.	\$4,935,000 \$65,000	\$3, 785, 000 965, 000	30.7 22.8	$\substack{\$386, 400\\1, 386, 435\\563, 064\\1, 042, 982 \end{tabular}$	$\begin{array}{c} \$386,400\\ 1,386,435\\ 563,064\\ 521,491 \end{array}$	6.5 6.1 13.7 16.6	\$2, ×00, 500 1, 689, 264 1, 348, 040	$\begin{array}{c} \$2, 235, 500 \\ \$2, 235, 500 \\ 1, 689, 264 \\ 674, 020 \end{array}$	16. 8 33. 5 23. 8		\$1, 247, 257 1, 364, 124 184, 085	28.7 9.0
California Colorado. Colorado. Delavare	5,569,000 2,700,000	5, 569, 000 2, 700, 000	54.5 29.3	$ \begin{array}{c} 2.991,000\\ 1.332,000 \end{array} $	2, 991, 000 1, 332, 000	9.9 41.9	$\frac{2}{2}, \frac{946}{276}, 000$	2, 876, 000 2, 276, 000	18.4 23.8	2, 369, 367 1, 523, 000	2,369,367 1,523,000	32. 7 12. 9
Florida. Georgia							194, 576	194, 576	1.9	1, 234, 030 470, 993	616, 893 231, 553	27.3 5.5
Hawaii. Idaho	4, 247, 000	4, 247, 000	76.5	56, 250	56, 250	15. 8	4,318,010 79,000	4,318,010	66.5 8	1,068,994 286,100	1, 068, 994 143, 050	28.4 3.3
unnois Indiana Iowas Konsas				88,670	44, 335							
kenueky. Kenueky. Judisana. Maryland.				196, 434	196, 434	5.2				404, 820 25, 542	$\frac{202}{25,542}$	8.6 4.0
Massachusotts Michigan Minusota Mississippi	727,500 340,000	603, 750 340, 000	36. 7 5. 0	$\begin{array}{c} 271,100\\ 431,772\\ 632,204\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 135,550\\ 427,258\\ 632,204\end{array}$	4.5 11.3 10.0	473, 085	463, 038	8.4	$976, 712 \\ 161, 334$	656, 254 139, 848	21.3
Missouri Montana Nebraska Vevrada	2, 370, 000 850, 000	$\begin{array}{c} 51, 701\\ 2, 370, 000\\ 650, 000\end{array}$	4. 3 53. 1 6. 0	106,168 1, 825,000	106,168 1,825,000	9, 3 28, 9	2, 246, 000 103, 105 430, 000	2, 246, 000 103, 105 430, 000	59.3 3.1 12.3	${}^{245,588}_{1,870,050}_{1,131,655}$	$\begin{array}{c} 245,588\\ 1,840,048\\ 1,131,655\end{array}$	48.9 12.3
New Ilampshire New Jersey New Mexico	1, 603, 400	1, 603, 400	37.3	11,600	11,600	8.2	232,364 1,164,000	232, 364	7.1	302,054 1,221,623	302,054 1,221,623	2.5 39.6
New York	******		*****									

104

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		600,000 300,00	00								618, 459	309, 229	3.4
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				5	4, 937	54, 937	1.4	24.000	24.000				
	4, 607, 500 4,	, 107, 56	00 58	.1 1,56	2,000	$1, 562, 000 \\245, 500$	13. 7 3. 0	6, 831, 590	6, 380, 500	82.6	2, 213, 779	2, 213, 779	56.4
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	33,000	16,00	00		6,000	89, 300	10.6	270.200	149,000	17.1	490,094	225, 227	32.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	200,000 228,000	$\frac{200,00}{114,00}$	88	.9				324,000	324,000	2.7	716, 674	358, 337	5.0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	990,000	990,00	8 00	-0-	5,600	75,600	5.5	235,600 1, 336, 000	117,800 1,336,000	4.5 16.0	$\frac{441}{360}, \frac{267}{186}$	220,300 360,186	17.3 13.7
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	58, 204 217, 950	58, 20 215, 45	01 20 20	.0 5 50	0.842	356, 221	9.7	75,601 500	75,301 500	1.0	93, 212 251, 029	93, 212 240, 023	. 5 5 - 5
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2, <u>338, 750</u> 139, 790	2, 338, 75		.7 35 35	8,000	538,000 $351,700$	5.9	2, 374, 000	2, 374, 000	14.5	2, 263, 712 116, 522	2,263,712 76,260	23.2
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1, 540, 000	1, 540, 00	00 27	32	2, 659	322, 659	5.9	1, 188, 000	1, 188, 000	26.6	285,460 1,459,632	$^{285,460}_{1,459,632}$	6.6 7.2
4,545         495.5         15,393,817         14,211,106         250.5         *32,952,435         30,906,978         454.3         26,167,701         22,687,981											69, 279	69, 279	. 4
	5, 311, 795 3	2, 904, 54	495	. 5 15, 39	3, 817	14, 211, 106	250.5	<sup>*</sup> 32, 952, 435	30, 906, 978	454.3	26, 167, 701	22, 687, 981	480.6

105

<sup>1</sup> Includes construction projects only. <sup>2</sup> Initial commitment of funds.

## Table 20.—Mileage of the national forest highway system, by forest road class and by State, as of June 30, 1960

Region and State or territory	Total	Class 1 1	Class 2 <sup>2</sup>	Class 3 3
West.				
Alaska	446.2	166.4	245.0	34.8
Arizona	1,059.6	325.8	668.1	65.7
California	2,463.8	1,069.1	837.5	557.2
Colorado	1, 486. 1	572.8	539.2	374.1
Idaho	1,216.1	658.6	440.5	117.0
Montana	1, 207. 4	673.8	257.4	276.2
Nevada	368.9	155.0	164.2	49.7
New Mexico	642.3	131. 2	431.7	79.4
Oregon	1,443.3	688.6	718.2	36.5
South Dakota	300.2	187.1	101.1	12.0
Utah	732, 1	224.2	270. 8	237.1
Washington	739.2	480.5	206. 2	52.5
Wyoming	470.5	344.4	107.6	18.5
Total	12, 575. 7	5,677.5	4, 987. 5	1, 910. 7
Fact:				
Alabama	374.4	82.3	258.6	33. 5
Arkansas	633. 3	96.7	536.6	
Florida	289.8	32.7	248.8	8.3
Georgia	366, 7	168.5	169. 9	28.3
Illinois	306.2	241.3	45.7	19.2
Indiana	101.2	53. 6	47.6	
Towa	- 20.0	11.3	8.3	.4
Kentucky.	351.4	131. 1	211.2	9. 1
Louisiana	402.1	53. 3	171.6	177. 2
Maine	14.0			14.0
Michigan	1, 103. 2	h90.4	555, 0	17.8
Minnesota.	703.8	311, 6	365.4	26, 8
Mississippi	547.3	323. 9	208.4	15.0
Missouri	978.7	370.7	590.0	18.0
Nebraska	23.5		23.5	
New Hampshire	158.0	61. 9	39.6	56.5
North Carolina	830.0	358.0	430.7	41.3
Ohio	133, 6	70.4	53.7	9.5
Oklahoma	61.7	45.1	16, 6	
Pennsylvania	353.9	118.4	85.9	149.6
South Carolina	774.9	238.2	467.0	69.7
Tennessee	568.7	179.8	329.5	59.4
Texas	355.4	128.3	195.4	31.7
Vermont	119.1	32.7	61.9	24. 5
Virginia	1,409.7	379.0	928.4	102.3
West Virginia	495.4	78.4	376.0	41.0
Wisconsin	469.1	75.7	371.5	21.9
Puerto Rico	42.5		42.5	
Total	12, 047. 6	4, 233. 3	6, 839. 3	975.0
Grand total	24, 623. 3	9, 910. 8	11, 826. 8	2, 885. 7

Forest roads which are on the Federal-aid primary system.
 Forest roads which are on the Federal-aid secondary system.
 Other forest highways.

## Table 21.—Mileage of highway construction in national parks, monuments, and parkways under the direct supervision of the Bureau of Public Roads during fiscal year 1960

Park, monument, or parkway (and State)	Completed during fiscal year	Under con- struction as of June 30, 1960
Badlands (S. Dak.) Big Bend (Texas) Blue Ridge (Va.,-N.C.) Colonial Parkway (Va.)	Miles 10, 3 3, 4 60, 5	Miles  76. 6 1. 8
Footbills (Tenn.) George Washington Memorial (MdVa.) Glacier (Mont.) Grand Canyon (Ariz.)	9.1	$15.6 \\ 7.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 19.7$
Grand Teton (Wyo.) Great Smoky Mountains (N.CTenn.) Lassen Volcanic (Calif.) Mammoth Cave (Ky.)	3. 4 13. 7	4. 2 21. 5 15. 3
Mesa Verde (Colo.)	5. 3 13. 9 	$22.6 \\ 3.2 \\ 94.9$
National Capital (D.C.) Olympic (Wash.)	0.3 0.1 2.5	6.3 5.8 1.5
Shenandoah (Va.)	0.4 6.9 1.6	0.4
Yosemite (Calif.) Zion (Utah)	16.7 1.5	20.2
Total	223.5	328.1

.



