

\* 93851323

### REPORT OF THE CHIEF OF THE BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS, 1936

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS, Washington, D. C., September 1, 1936.

Hon. HENRY A. WALLACE, Secretary of Agriculture.

DEAR MR. SECRETARY: I submit herewith the report of the Bureau of Public Roads for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1936.

Sincerely yours,

THOMAS H. MACDONALD, Chief.

 $\Lambda \wedge$ 

### CONTENTS

Page	I
	1

Introduction. Summary of highway program to relieve unem-grade-crossing funds. Employment on road work. Present status of highway improvement and Present status of highway improvement and future policies. Highway planning surveys being made.... Roadside improvement. Provision made for continuing broadened highway program in 1938 and 1939.... Status of major funds and progress in construc-tion 1 1 1 tion\_. Progress in Public Works highway construction\_ Progress in Federal-aid road construction... Works Program highway construction..... Grade-crossing elimination and protection program..... Summary. 5 5 ments\_\_\_\_\_ Inter-American highway\_\_\_\_\_

e	E.	age
1	Transportation, economic, and statistical inves-	
	tigations	62
3	State-wide highway planning surveys	62
3	Connecticut traffic survey	62
4	Arkansas traffic survey	62
	South Carolina traffic-safety survey	62
5	Traffic-capacity studies	63
5	National conference on street and highway	
-	safety	63
9	American Association of Motor-Vehicle Ad-	
Ō	ministrators	63
Ô.	Maintenance-cost studies	63
1	Railroad-line abandonment studies	63
	Highway management and production-cost	
2	studies	63
	Effect of highway expenditures on employ-	
2	ment	63
	Emergency-employment data	64
3	Estimating standards	64
4	Index figures	65
4	Studies of production management and unit	
	costs	65
5	Highway-accounting methods	65
5	Physical research	65
	Subsurface exploration	65
9	Motor-vehicle impact investigations	65
0	Measurement of road-surface roughness	66
2	Investigation of concrete-pavement design.	66
2	Investigation of corrugated-metal culverts.	66
5	Flexible-pavement design	66
	Nonbituminous road materials	66
8	Bituminous road materials	67
1	Subgrade investigations	68

### INTRODUCTION

From the designation of the Federal-aid highway system in 1921 until some 3 or 4 years ago the first objective of the cooperating Federal and State agencies remained perforce the extension of a feasible degree of improvement as quickly as possible to the entire system. In the beginning the roads selected to constitute the system were in large part unimproved even to a degree commensurate with the relatively simple needs of the traffic of that time. With the limited funds annually available, the first essential was to complete an initial improvement of the entire system that would permit travel over all its parts with at least some degree of facility.

100127-36----1

Deme

The most prominent fact concerning the traffic of the earlier period was its rapid increase in volume. The one thing that could be assumed by the road builder was that whatever the traffic might be at any moment, it was certain to be much greater in a few years. But while growth was certain the extent of the probable growth was not so easy to foresee. Under these circumstances a policy of stage construction was wisely adopted under which initial improvements were made to a degree commensurate with existing traffic needs but always in such a way as to permit of additional improvement to the extent justified by future traffic growth and always with the motive of reaching, as promptly as possible, a stage at which the entire Federal-aid system should have been improved at least to some degree.

This stage has been reached within the last 3 or 4 years, and coincidentally there have occurred remarkable improvements in the performance possibilities of motor vehicles. Where formerly growth of traffic was the primary vehicular factor necessitating change in highway design, this suddenly gave way to changes in the design of the vehicles which imposed entirely new requirements upon the highway builder. Where formerly highways could be considered safe if their design provided for speeds of 30 or 40 miles per hour, there was suddenly a need for the safe accommodation of vehicles moving at 50 or 60 miles per hour. Such speeds have become the common practice of users of the highways, and highway builders would be derelict in their duty if they failed to incorporate in the roads they build the elements of design necessary to insure safe operation at these common speeds.

This Bureau and the State highway departments have been fully aware of these changing needs and have given prompt attention to the provision of the altered highway facilities made necessary by them. Fortunately the new demand was deferred until the initial improvement of the main roads had been completed to a degree that would permit a flow of traffic over the entire system with some facility. Important among the changes required are improvements of curvature, both horizontal and vertical, that will permit of the longer view ahead that the new high speeds necessitate. In most cases this can be done by local corrections in the alinement of the existing roads. In some instances, especially where the present roads are crowded closely by property development, it is necessary to select entirely new locations for the trunk lines, preserving the existing roads for service of local traffic only.

Other improvements required and supplied in the current programs are a general widening of road surfaces, the complete separation of lanes for opposing traffic where density of movement requires more than two lanes, the elimination of the more dangerous railroad crossings and the protection of others, the provision of bypass routes around cities, and the improvement of routes of direct access to the centers of cities.

The work of the fiscal year 1936 has been notable for the large amount of work done in improving our highway system in accordance with the changed conditions. The year was marked by a large program of new work financed by authorizations of \$200,000,000 for highways and \$200,000,000 for grade-crossing elimination and protection under the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act of 1935. Coupled with the work provided for by these outright grants, an additional large program was provided for by an authorization of \$125,000,000 of Federal funds to be matched with State funds in accordance with the Federal Highway Act. A third large item in the accomplishment of the year was the work done with remaining portions of the Public Works funds authorized in 1933 and 1934. The Bureau also administered the construction of roads in various Federal areas with funds provided by several legislative acts.

In point of funds involved in projects approved, contracts awarded, and work placed under construction, the progress made considerably exceeded that of 1934, the greatest in any previous year. Plans were approved for 27,000 miles of highway at an estimated cost of \$575,000,000, including \$451,000,000 from Federal funds. Contracts were awarded for 25,200 miles at a cost of \$517,000,000, of which \$407,000,000 was to be supplied by the Federal Government. Work placed under construction totaled 23,400 miles and cost \$483,000,000, of which \$382,000,000 was from Federal funds. The 19,700 miles of road completed at a total cost of \$310,000,000, including \$253,000,000 in Federal funds, did not equal the record made in 1934 when 21,700 miles were constructed.

This work furnished direct employment of 1,673,935 man-months to an average of 139,500 men. The indirect employment in production and transportation of materials brings the total employment to 4,352,000 man-months, equivalent to an average full-time employment of 362,000 men. Assuming that each worker supports two other persons, highway construction administered by the Bureau supported over a million people.

### SUMMARY OF HIGHWAY PROGRAM TO RELIEVE UNEMPLOYMENT

One of the major efforts of the Federal Government to relieve unemployment through a large-scale road-construction program began with an authorization of \$400,000,000 as a direct grant to the States by the National Industrial Recovery Act of June 16, 1933. One year later the Hayden-Cartwright Act of June 18, 1934 authorized a supplementary \$200,000,000. These funds are known as the 1934 and 1935 Public Works highway funds. The Hayden-Cartwright Act also provided \$125,000,000 as Federal aid to the States in each of the fiscal years 1936 and 1937. The emergency program was continued by allocations of \$200,000,000 for highways and \$200,000,000 for grade-crossing work, as direct grants to the States made from funds provided by the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act of April 8, 1935. These various acts also provided lesser amounts for the improvement of highways in national parks, national forests, public lands, and other Federal areas.

The work of highway construction carried out under these several acts had resulted, at the end of the last fiscal year, in the construction of 38,220 miles of road at a total cost of \$636,622,561, of which \$571,276,033 was paid by the Federal Government, and there were under construction, or approved for construction, 17,862 miles additional, involving an estimated total cost of \$357,-283,044, of which \$270,336,054 was Federal funds. The remaining Federal funds, available for new projects, including Federal aid for the fiscal year 1937, amounted to \$191,137,913. Under the emergency grade-crossing program, projects approved or under construction included 1,407 new crossing eliminations, the reconstruction of 198 existing structures, and the protection without elimination of 322 crossings, at a total cost of \$133,524,019, of which the Federal portion was \$130,681,697. Begun within the past year, this program had already resulted in the completion of 66 new elimination structures, the reconstruction of 10 existing structures, and the installation of protective devices at one crossing, at a cost of \$3,234,563, including Federal payment of \$3,219,291. For additional work on grade crossings there remained at the end of the year \$62,099,012.

During the last 3 years the road construction described above and that carried on under other appropriations in Federal areas of various kinds has provided nearly 6,000,000 man-months of direct employment, or an average rate of 2,000,000 man-months per year, which is approximately double the average of employment furnished in the 2 years preceding the beginning of the enlarged emergency program.

### SOURCES OF FUNDS USED DURING THE FISCAL YEAR

At the beginning of the fiscal year the active construction consisted almost entirely of Public Works highway projects. In this program there had been completed previously 24,600 miles of highway at a cost to the Federal Government of \$365,922,921. Highways under construction totaled 8,530 miles and involved \$168,815,710 of Federal funds. There had been approved for construction 1,427 miles of highway at a cost to the Federal Government of \$26,004,484; and \$33,256,885 remained available for new projects.

Of funds newly available there were the Federal aid authorized by the Hayden-Cartwright Act for the fiscal year 1936 and two sums allocated from the appropriation made by the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act of 1935, one for highways, roads, and streets, and the other for the elimination of hazards at railroad grade crossings.

The Federal-aid authorization was \$125,000,000 of which, after deduction of the administrative percentage, \$121,875,000 was apportioned. The emergency relief allocations for highways, roads, and streets and for grade crossings were each \$200,000,000, of which, respectively, \$195,000,000 and \$196,000,000 were apportioned. These apportionments are shown in table 1.

### 4 ANNUAL REPORTS OF DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, 1936

TABLE 1.—Apportionments of Federal aid for the fiscal years 1936 and 1937 and Works Program highway and grade-crossing funds authorized under the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act of 1935

	Feder	al aid	Works I	Program	
State	1936	1937	Highways	Grade crossings	Total
Alabama	\$2,604,320	\$2,603,967	\$4, 151, 115	\$4,034,617	\$13, 394, 019
Arizona	1,781,347	1,783,362	2, 569, 841	1, 256, 099	7, 390, 649
Arkansas	2, 142, 723	2, 133, 206	3, 352, 061	3,574,060	11, 202, 050
California	4,756,959	4, 751, 712	7,747,928	7, 486, 362	24, 742, 961
Colorado	2, 288, 811	2, 286, 333	3, 395, 263	2,631,567	10, 601, 974
Connecticut	791, 253	791,660	1, 418, 709	1, 712, 684	4, 714, 306
Delaware	609, 375	609, 375	900, 310	418, 239	2, 537, 299
Florida	1,655,723	1, 659, 835	2, 597, 144	2, 827, 883	8, 740, 585
Georgia	3, 168, 221	3, 168, 222	4, 988, 967	4, 895, 949	16, 221, 359
Idaho	1, 531, 162	1, 534, 142	2, 222, 747	1, 674, 479	6, 962, 530
Illinois	5, 160, 696	5, 165, 226	8, 694, 009	10, 307, 184	29, 327, 115
Indiana	3, 087, 613	3,096,645	4, 941, 255	5, 111, 096	16, 236, 609
Iowa	3, 231, 718	3, 234, 910	4, 991, 664	5, 600, 679	17, 058, 971
Kansas	3, 317, 054	3, 314, 031	4, 994, 975	5, 246, 258	16, 872, 318
Kentucky	2,304,143	2,307,812	3,726,271	3,672,387	12, 010, 613
Louisiana	1.776,939	1, 780, 991	2, 890, 429	3, 213, 467	<b>9</b> , 661, 82 <b>6</b>
Maine	1, 090, 167	1, 087, 030	1, 676, 799	1,426,861	5, 280, 857
Maryland	1,025,870	1, 025, 000	1,750,738	2,061,751	5, 863, 359
Massachusetts	1, 741, 877	1, 743, 487	3, 262, 885	4, 210, 833	10, 959, 082
Michigan	3, 837, 292	3, 831, 476	6, 301, 414	6, 765, 197	20, 735, 379
Minnesota	3, 423, 306	3, 426, 001	5, 277, 145	5, 395, 441	17, 521, 893
Mississippi	2, 196, 524	2, 191, 112	3, 457, 552	3, 241, 475	11,086,663
M1ssouri	3, 800, 856	3, 800, 344	6,012,652	6, 142, 153	19, 756, 005
Montana	2, 500, 449	2, 301, 884	3, 070, 410	2, 722, 327	11, 521, 076
Neoraska	2, 381, 003	2, 380, 207	3,870,739	3, 000, 441	12, 595, 110
Nevada	1, 595, 501	1, 090, 970	2, 240, 074	851,200	0, 319, 813
New Hampshire	009, 373	1 676 719	940, 220 2 190 805	2 002 006	2, 980, 409
New Movico	1,070,701	1,070,718	2 871 207	3, 903, 020	10, 400, 100 9 596 708
New Vork	6 150 106	6 156 604	11 046 377	13 577 180	36 030 978
North Carolina	2 038 657	2 940 809	4 720 173	4 823 058	15 423 507
North Dakota	1 960 162	1 958 107	2,867,245	3 207 473	9 992 987
Ohio	4 565 435	4 565 769	7 670 815	8 439 897	25 241 916
Oklahoma	2, 947, 521	2, 937, 406	4, 580, 670	5,004,711	15, 470, 308
Oregon	2,044,633	2,045,078	3,038,642	2, 334, 204	9, 462, 557
Pennsylvania	5, 348, 062	5.347.386	9, 347, 797	11, 483, 613	31, 526, 858
Rhode Island	609.375	609, 375	989, 208	699, 691	2, 907, 649
South Carolina	1,692,896	1,688,441	2,702,012	3,059,956	9, 143, 305
South Dakota	2,036,775	2,041,872	2, 976, 454	3, 249, 086	10, 304, 187
Tennesseo	2, 638, 159	2, 630, 111	4, 192, 460	3,903,979	13, 364, 709
Texas	7, 777, 504	7, 771, 317	11, 989, 350	10, 855, 982	38, 394, 153
Utah	1, 410, 752	1, 416, 208	2,067,154	1, 230, 763	6, 124, 877
Vermont	609, 375	609, 375	924, 306	729, 857	2, 872, 913
Virginia	2, 278, 475	2, 280, 725	3, 652, 667	3, 774, 287	11, 986, 154
Washington	1, 949, 957	1, 954, 781	3, 026, 161	3, 095, 041	10, 025, 940
West Virginia	1, 356, 793	1, 359, 961	2, 231, 412	2,677,937	7, 626, 103
Wisconsin	3, 045, 557	3, 044, 947	4, 823, 884	5, 022, 683	15, 937, 071
Wyoming	1, 559, 444	1, 562, 528	2, 219, 155	1, 360, 841	6,701,968
District of Columbia			949, 496	410, 804	1, 360, 300
Hawan	609, 375	609, 375	926, 033	453, 703	2, 598, 486
Total	121, 875, 000	121, 875, 000	195, 000, 000	196, 000, 000	634, 750, 000

From these several sources, therefore, there was available for new construction, including the \$26,004,484 allotted to approved Public Works projects, a total of \$572,136,369.

### CHANGES MADE IN FEDERAL-AID POLICIES

As reported last year two important changes were made in the administration of Federal aid beginning with the fiscal year 1937. Federal-aid funds had previously been limited in application to the Federal-aid system in rural and suburban areas and had not been available for use in the built-up portions of cities. With the initial improvement of the system nearing completion, the movement of traffic through cities had for some years been an important problem, and the Hayden-Cartwright Act removed the restriction on municipal improvements.

In many instances proper development of heavy-traffic routes on the Federalaid system, routes requiring wide, high-type, costly surfaces, has been made difficult by the restriction of Federal participation to \$15,000 per mile. This restriction was removed, thus permitting participation up to 50 percent of the cost. This change is particularly helpful where additional width is required and an amount close to \$15,000 per mile has already been spent on the initial improvement.

### ADMINISTRATION OF WORKS PROGRAM HIGHWAY AND GRADE-CROSSING FUNDS

The \$200,000,000 allocated for highways from funds provided by the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act was apportioned to the States in accordance with the provision of the act as follows: One-eighth on the basis of population and the remaining seven-eighths divided into three equal parts and apportioned on the basis of population, area, and mileage of post roads. The \$200,000,000 for elimination of hazards at grade crossings was apportioned, one-fourth in proportion to the mileage of the Federal-aid highway system, one-fourth in proportion to mileage of railroads, and one-half in proportion to population. These apportionments are shown in table 1.

Regulations for the administration of these funds, approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, the Works Progress Administrator and the President, were issued on July 12, 1935. Further consideration developed the desirability of making certain changes, and the regulations were reissued in final form on September 12.

These regulations followed the general plan of administration employed in the Public Works highway program except that more rigid requirements were made governing the employment of labor and the selection of projects to meet employment needs. Not less than 25 percent of the highway fund was to be expended on secondary roads not included in the State highway systems, not less than 25 percent was to be expended within municipalities, and the remainder was to be expended on the Federal-aid and State systems. The State highway departments were required to prepare highway programs giving preference to projects in those areas where, according to reports of the Emergency Relief Administration, the relief need was greatest. Conferences were to be held with the State relief administrator and the State administrator of the Works Progress Administration in an endeavor to select projects for which labor was available from local relief rolls. Programs were required to be submitted to the district engineer of the Bureau of Public Roads, the State director of the National Emergency Council, and the State administrator of the Works Progress Administration for concurrence before transmission to Washington for final approval.

before transmission to Washington for final approval. Each project was to give the equivalent of a man-year of direct employment for each \$1,400 of Federal funds expended, or, under an alternate plan to provide an equivalent total employment in a highway program financed by State and Federal funds. State highway departments were to prescribe minimum wage rates in accordance with standards fixed by the Bureau. With the exception of supervisory, administrative, and skilled workers only labor certified by the United States Employment Service could be employed, and preference was required for those on relief rolls. In general, hours of labor were limited to 130 hours per month.

The funds for grade-crossing work were made subject to regulations of the same general character with regard to selection of projects, employment (excepting the provision requiring a man-year of employment for each \$1,400 expended), wages, and hours of labor. The work was not limited as to location except that not less than 25 percent of the funds had to be expended at railroad crossings on secondary or feeder roads. As far as practicable, projects were to be selected so as to distribute the funds among the railroads in each State approximately in proportion to their respective mileages within the State.

In both highway and grade-crossing work the giving of employment to those on relief rolls was made the primary purpose, and complete safeguards to insure that this purpose could not be subordinated to other objectives were established.

The general plan of operation was based on the time-tested Federal-aid procedure in which the State highway departments propose the projects to be improved, make surveys and plans, provide the right-of-way, let contracts, supervise construction, and agree to maintain the projects properly after completion all subject to Federal approval.

### EMPLOYMENT ON ROAD WORK

Continuing the policy of the last 3 years, all highway construction was administered with employment of those on relief rolls as the primary objective. The various classes of work provided a total direct employment of 1,673,935 manmonths, as shown in table 2. This is below the all-time peak of 2,191,264 manmonths established in 1935. However, emergency construction work of various kinds completely absorbed the qualified labor from unemployment relief rolls in many areas, and numerous construction jobs for which all preparations had been completed were not started or were delayed in starting because the employment objective of the work was already accomplished. ۱

TABLE 2.—Comparison of employment during the fiscal years 1932, 1934, 1935, and 1936 on all Federal and Federal-aid highway construction and on all Federal and State road work, including State maintenance, by months

Month	Men em	loyed on all	Federal and construction	Federal-aid	highway	Total me	n employed construct	on all Feders ion and mair	al and State l atenance	lighway
	1932	1933	1934	1935	1936	1932	1933	1934	1935	1936
July August August September October November November Pabuary April April May Total (man-months).	164, 708 116, 708 116, 708 858, 869 82, 466 82, 466 535, 991 25, 673 85, 991 25, 673 86, 673 86, 673 87, 720 59, 008 71, 772 876, 736	81, 042 89, 346 122, 193 122, 193 122, 935 75, 243 75, 253 75,	129, 205 111, 211 115, 047 114, 215 154, 016 154, 338 154, 154 154, 154 154, 154 156, 814 156, 814 156, 814 156, 814 156, 814 156, 814 156 271, 957 271, 9577 271, 9577 271, 9577 271, 95777 2717 271, 95777 271, 957777777	335, 223 297, 224 210, 079 210, 079 147, 101, 046 96, 564 96, 564 91, 255 81, 257 81, 257 101, 046 999 123, 003 167, 533 167, 533 2, 191, 264 2, 191, 264	191, 041 178, 756 143, 455 143, 660 118, 868 103, 493 88, 610 118, 898 103, 493 82, 731 70, 418 86, 050 132, 834 193, 2834 193, 2834 193, 2834 194, 2934 194, 2934 1944 1944 1944 1944 1944 1944 1944 1	385, 349 386, 349 386, 117 386, 117 386, 117 284, 971 284, 974, 974, 974, 974, 974, 974, 974, 97	305, 372 333, 405 333, 405 373, 246 371, 667 371, 667 371, 667 371, 667 371, 667 256, 246 256, 246 256, 246 256, 246 256, 246 256, 248 256, 248, 248 256, 248, 248, 248, 248, 248, 248, 248, 248	332, 277 332, 277 337, 973 337, 973 337, 973 337, 973 337, 973 356, 009 366, 009 316, 099 316, 255 345, 013 545, 013 4, 441, 331	549, 203 531, 034 531, 034 450, 232 450, 322 426, 603 323, 700 323, 700 231, 404 231, 404 232, 700 233, 700 332, 700 333, 700 334, 451 4, 434, 451	375, 442 382, 846 382, 846 333, 073 333, 073 333, 073 333, 073 373, 846 200, 451 220, 451 220, 451 220, 451 220, 451 423, 466 374, 191 423, 466 377, 588 376, 543 377, 588 376, 543 377, 588 377, 588 377
тосят (шап-шонсих)	001 100	1, 0, 0, 1	7, 120, 101	5, 181, 204	1, 010, 300	9, 441, 000	o, 008, U80	100 1144 14	1, 101, 10	

4	n	
1	n	
•	•	

In the spring of 1936 it became increasingly apparent that there was a definite shortage of certain classes of skilled labor in a number of sections of the country. To obtain the necessary complement of skilled labor and to avoid the part-time closing down of jobs with hardship to unskilled labor, it was decided in June to authorize an extension of hours of employment of selected classes of skilled labor beyond 8 hours per day and 130 hours per month where it could be shown that such action was needed in the interest of common labor.

At the beginning of the year over three-fourths of the employment was on Public Works highway construction. The Public Works employment was already considerably reduced because of the completion of projects, and in the ensuing months there was rapid decline. By the end of the year such work had dropped from major to minor importance. There were 150,876 men employed on this work in July 1935, and in June 1936 there were only 19,009, as shown in table 3.

However, the new Federal-aid program after a slow start in July, August, and September, gained momentum rapidly in October and November and in the spring months employed over 50,000 men. This employment partly offset the loss in Public Works employment. It was not expected that Federal-aid work would entirely replace work completed in the larger Public Works program.

Construction work financed with the \$200,000,000 for highways and \$200,000,000 for grade-crossing work allocated under the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act did not begin until October, since rules and regulations governing the work, in their final form, were not available until September 12. For each of the remaining months of the year there was an increase in employment over that of the preceding month. This trend continued through the unusually severe winter months, reversing the usual trend during freezing weather; by June, 113,800 men were employed on this class of highway work, and 31,600 men were employed on grade-crossing work.

The employment created by the Federal-aid and Works Program construction brought the direct employment on all classes of work administered by the Bureau to 237,300 men in June.

Equal amounts were allocated for highway work and for grade-crossing work under the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act, but at the end of the year the number of men employed on highway work was more than three times the number employed on grade-crossing work. This wide difference arises largely from factors that are inherent in grade-crossing work.

(1) The employment furnished by such work is indirect to a greater extent than is the case with highway work. The indirect employment is in the equipment industries, steel mills, cement mills, and transportation industries. Records show that a given expenditure on grade-crossing work produces only about one-half of the direct employment that is produced by an equal expenditure on highways. However, the indirect employment, which does not show in table 3, fully offsets the low direct employment.

(2) The grade-crossing program has been retarded by a number of circumstances that do not apply to highway work. Many grade-crossing elimination structures require the obtaining of right-of-way in or adjacent to cities and towns where values are high and settlement with landowners must often be made by extended negotiation if a reasonable price is to be obtained. Settlements must also be made for injury to property and to public services resulting from raising or lowering highway grades. The railroads must be consulted both as to the adequacy of the plans from the railroad point of view and as to possible interference with railroad service during construction.

The total employment for the year on work supervised by the Bureau was 1,673,935 man-months or the equivalent of an average, full-time employment each month of 139,500 men. The number of individuals actually employed, on account of part-time employment and other reasons, averaged approximately 195,000 persons per month. Indirect employment in the production and transportation of equipment and materials is estimated at 1.6 times the direct employment for work of the character done during the year. This resulted in an indirect employment of 2,678,000 man-months, and this, added to the direct employment, gives a total employment of 362,000 men.

TABLE 3.—Direct job employment during the fiscal year 1936 on the several classes of Federal and Federal-aid road construction administered by the Bureau of Public Roads and State road construction and maintenance

	en em- loyed 1 road Total ainte-	A state and	48, 575 63, 960 56, 157 56, 157 375, 442 34, 324 34, 133 34, 133 34, 133 37, 324 34, 334 37, 324 37, 324 37, 324 37, 324 37, 324 37, 324 37, 324 37, 324 37, 324 37, 326 37, 410 56, 335 37, 410 56, 335 42, 466 56, 471 56, 471 56, 472 56, 472 56	
	With	State r funds by only, hig on de State n nighways	2012 27 20 27 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	
		National work relief highways 1	$\begin{array}{c} 133\\ 5,5\\ 5,5\\ 5,5\\ 5,5\\ 5,5\\ 5,5\\ 5,5\\ 5$	
		Loan and grant high- ways <sup>1</sup>	14, 721 16, 416 13, 019 5, 650 5, 650 3, 939 3, 939 3, 939 3, 939 3, 143 8, 143 8, 143 8, 143 8, 143 1, 412	
ruction	nds	Works- Program grade- crossing elimina- tions	248 248 1,019 5,337 6,569 6,569 6,569 6,569 17,540 17,540 17,540 26,330 31,616	
road const	Federal fu	Works Program highways	5, 244 5, 244 13, 257 13, 251 23, 758 38, 385 38, 385 38, 559 38, 559 64, 859 93, 621 113, 820	
aployed on	part with	Public Works highways	150, 876 137, 787 137, 787 105, 770 80, 162 56, 073 34, 600 19, 838 10, 838 11, 708 13, 636 11, 708 11, 708 11	
Men en whole or in	Federal- aid highways	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 159\\ 3, 715\\ 8, 006\\ 8, 006\\ 22, 281\\ 14, 153\\ 11, 153\\ 11, 153\\ 13, 754\\ 13, 755\\ 337, 059\\ 50, 306\\ 50, 306 \end{array}$		
	In ν	Ц	Public- lands highways	508 557 557 553 557 602 600 600 600 154 154 246 236 509 236 2309
		National- park highways	5, 574 5, 574 5, 530 5, 530 5, 530 1, 1, 124 1, 300 1, 041 1, 300 4, 011 871 871 871 871 871 871 871 871 871 8	
		National- forest highways	4, 762 4, 762 5, 118 5, 118 5, 119 1, 986 1, 986 1, 986 1, 986 1, 986 1, 986 1, 986 1, 086 2, 048 2, 048	
		Month	July July July July July July September Construction of the second secon	

<sup>1</sup> Projects transferred by the Public Works Administration for engineering supervision.

### PRESENT STATUS OF HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT AND FUTURE POLICIES

Accelerated by the large highway-construction program of the past 3 years. supported largely by Federal funds, the primary State highway systems embracing 324,000 miles, and including the 227,000 miles of the Federal-aid system, have been almost completely improved with some form of surfacing and to some degree of adequacy. At the same time work administered by the Bureau, the Public Works Administration, and the Works Progress Administration has replaced the suspended activities of cities and counties and has made large increases in the mileage of improved local roads and city streets. In the immediate future a large amount of work should be done in making more adequate for traffic that already exists those highways deliberately improved under a policy of stage construction to a degree known to be less than that ultimately desirable. There is also a need for the further extension of improvements to the more useful secondary and land-service roads, many of which still await improvement. Needed improvements on the main highways include considerable improvement of alinement, reduction of grades, and elimination of railroad grade crossings and separation of grades at intersections of heavily traveled highway routes.

While much work of the kind described is necessary there is no reason whatever to assume that the main highway system, as a whole, is substantially inadequate. The need for extensive further improvement exists principally on a limited mileage of heavily traveled highways, especially near large cities in industrial sections and on those roads which, because of their early importance, were the first to be improved and were therefore constructed according to lower standards than have prevailed in more recent years. Viewing the work of past years as a whole, the system of highways created is remarkably adequate, as all who use the highways can themselves observe, and it is serving a tremendous volume of traffic.

traffic. The necessary maintenance, further improvement, and extension of the highway system constitutes a public obligation that will call for continued and unremitting expenditure of effort and money. Further improvement and extension can be carried on rapidly or at a slower pace, depending on the funds that are made available for the purpose. However, it must be pointed out that if the work is to be accelerated there can be no avoidance of taxation to produce the necessary funds.

For the past several years there has been a definite trend toward the placing of greater responsibility on the State highway departments. Only a few years ago the responsibility of these departments was confined almost entirely to the main rural highways. Now there is a large mileage of city streets which are extensions of the State systems that have been placed under State control; and 14 States have placed all or a large part of the county and local roads under the supervision of the State highway department. None of these States has turned over to the highway department the full amount of funds formerly used on the roads transferred to State administration. The State highway departments would have found themselves wholly unable to meet this new situation had not large sums of Federal money been provided for highway construction as an employment measure and had not the Federal policy been broadened to permit the improvement of all classes of highways.

State administration of the classes of highways described has very definite advantages, and the trend toward increased State supervision may be expected to continue. However, the policy presents a serious threat to the continued maintenance and stage improvement of the main State highways if funds commensurate with the increased State responsibility are not provided. It cannot be expected that Federal funds will be continued indefinitely on the scale of the last few years, but there is every indication that if Federal support were greatly reduced at this time States would have to spread their funds dangerously thin over the mileage they have undertaken to care for and would be forced greatly to contract their construction programs.

The outlook for the future is further dimmed by diversions and proposed diversions and reductions of gasoline taxes and motor-vehicle revenues. These revenues have been the principal sources of State highway funds. They should not be decreased at a time when the greatly increased highway mileage has imposed additional maintenance burdens and the public demands additional new construction.

100127-36-2

#### HIGHWAY PLANNING SURVEYS BEING MADE

It should be recognized at this time that highway operations must be continuous and must be predicated on the service of highway transportation. Programs of highway improvement should be formulated on the basis of definite knowledge of need. The people of the country must be fully informed of the costs—not only present but future and continuing costs of work to be done from year to year. Recognizing the importance of these matters, the Bureau has urged upon all States the importance of conducting highway planning surveys embracing the entire rural highway mileage and going into all matters that may have bearing upon its improvement.

The surveys are the most comprehensive and important highway investigations yet undertaken. Federal funds are available for such work under the Hayden-Cartwright Act of 1934, which authorizes the use of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  percent of certain funds for use in planning future work, and similar authorization is contained in subsequent legislation and rules and regulations.

At the close of the fiscal year 40 States had indicated their desire to carry on such planning surveys, and work was under way in 31 States. The surveys are being conducted by the various State highway departments according to a general plan developed by the Bureau, since it is particularly important that data collected in the various States be on a comparable basis.

A Bureau representative is assigned to each State to keep contact between the Washington organization and the State survey organization. Each State organization consists of a manager, an assistant manager for each of the three main branches of the survey, and an office force and field parties as required.

The three branches of the survey are a road inventory, a traffic survey, and a financial and road-use survey. In the road inventory complete records of all existing roads will be obtained, together with a determination of their condition and the property they serve. Maps will be prepared by the States, giving for the first time a complete picture of our road system.

The traffic surveys will result in information as to the character and volume of traffic on each section of highway from which the present relative importance of each highway may be determined.

In the financial and road-use surveys studies are being made of the sources from which highway revenues come, the purposes for which they are expended, and the extent to which rural and urban residents contribute to each class of road and the amount they travel each class of road. Each State survey is to be carried on for 1 year.

It is believed that the surveys will result in the assembly of all the facts necessary for the formulation of a definite, economically and socially defensible, integrated highway-improvement program.

#### HIGHWAY SAFETY

One matter that confronts highway officials which is of great present importance and which will be of much concern in the future is the eradication of those conditions that are now or may be conducive to accident, injury, and death. A prominent part of the effort to be made to correct conditions will be the elimination of highway-railroad grade crossings, and the work now begun with Federal funds should be continued. The separation of opposing streams of traffic on the most heavily traveled highways seems also to be essential. The greatly increased speed of motor-vehicle travel requires a general increase in sight distances and the elimination of obstructions to view at intersections. Occasional sharp curves and steep grades on highways that, in general, invite the driver to speed must not be tolerated. Provision for pedestrian travel, separate from that portion of the highway used by vehicles must be made wherever the amount of pedestrian travel justifies it.

The need for corrective measures in these directions is definitely recognized and will be cared for as rapidly as available funds will permit. But this alone does not give assurance of a complete solution of our highway-accident problem, since it must be recognized that such accidents are due, in large measure, not to faults in the highways, but to weaknesses of the drivers of vehicles. Correction of this situation will require the unceasing efforts of all officials concerned with law enactment, law enforcement, and highway administration, and of the great body of highway users themselves.

In line with this thought an appeal to highway users to drive safely has been embodied in a pamphlet issued by the Bureau and given wide circulation. In the future, as in the past, the Bureau will direct its efforts toward the best solution of the accident problem. During the past year the Bureau continued to urge adoption by all States of the five model uniform motor-vehicle acts prepared by the National Conference on Street and Highway Safety. The adoption of these acts is an obvious step in the interest of safety that is opposed only by local prejudice and inertia.

An act of Congress of June 23, 1936, directed the Secretary of Agriculture to expend not to exceed \$75,000 for study of and research in connection with traffic conditions and measures for their improvement, and, to cooperate with appropriate authorities in this work. The work authorized was being begun at the close of the year.

### ROADSIDE IMPROVEMENT

Improvement of the roadsides by landscape grading, seeding, sodding, and planting, has been continued as a phase of highway work on which particular emphasis is laid by the Bureau. Since 1933 it has been required that each State include a reasonable number of roadside-improvement projects in its program of work to be done with Federal funds. The total program—completed, under improvement, and planned—includes 1,391 sections of road improved according to plans prepared by landscape specialists. Roadsides have been, or are being, improved on approximately 5,000 miles of highway at a cost of over \$7,000,000, of which the Federal Government is contributing more than \$6,000,000, as shown in table 4.

**TABLE 4.**—Roadside-improvement projects completed, under construction, and approved for construction financed with Public Works funds, Works Program funds, and Federal-aid funds, to June 30, 1936

Funds	Projects	Total Fed- eral funds	Estimated total cost
Public Works funds under acts of June 16, 1933, and June 18, 1934. Federal aid funds for fiscal years 1936 and 1937. Works Program highway funds under act of Apr. 8, 1935 Works Program grade-crossing funds under act of Apr. 8, 1935	Number 943 199 230 19	Dollars 4, 037, 171 545, 988 1, 601, 376 67, 517	Dollars 4, 313, 591 1, 183, 906 1, 661, 451 69, 167
Total	1, 391	6, 252, 052	7, 228, 115

Most of the improvements are located on main arteries of travel at the approaches to the more-important centers of population, and much of the work has been done on existing surfaced highways.

Several features of roadside-improvement work that have come to the attention of highway engineers as a result of the object-lesson demonstrations have been adopted in regular highway-construction practice in several of the States. Among the practices adopted are flatter grading of slopes and slope rounding, reduction in the depth of ditches, elimination of "borrow" pits, a better clean-up of the roadsides after construction is completed, the saving of trees and other volunteer growth, the conservation of topsoil humus where feasible for later use in ground-cover protection, the planting of natural snow barriers, and the construction of small parking areas at scenic outlooks. A number of States are incorporating as much of this work in new construction as right-of-way widths permit.

Provision is being made within the State highway-department organizations for an inproved technical approach to the various roadside problems, and more effective methods of handling the work are being used as experience is accumulated. Only a few years ago highways were completed with little thought of the appearance of the finished roadside, and attempts were made at so-called beautification under conditions already bad and often with overemphasis on some particular kind of planting. Far better results have been produced since roadside improvement has been regarded as an integral part of highway improvement to be provided for in planning rather than as an afterthought following construction.

#### PROVISION MADE FOR CONTINUING BROADENED HIGHWAY PROGRAM IN 1938 AND 1939

Continuation of the broadened highway program through the fiscal years 1938 and 1939 has been provided for in the act of June 16, 1936. This act authorizes regular Federal-aid appropriations of \$125,000,000 for each of the 2 years and also authorizes for these years annual appropriations of \$25,000,000 for secondary roads and \$50,000,000 for the elimination of hazards to life at railroad grade crossings.

The funds for highways and secondary roads must be matched by the States and are to be apportioned on the basis provided in the Federal Highway Act. The funds for grade-crossing work are outright grants to the States and are to be apportioned one-half in proportion to population, one-fourth in proportion to mileage of the Federal-aid highway system, and one-fourth in proportion to railroad mileage.

This act also authorizes annual apropriations for each of the fiscal years 1938 and 1939 for various other classes of road work as follows: \$14,000,000 for forest highways, roads, and trails; \$2,500,000 for main roads through public lands; \$7,500,000 for roads in national parks and monuments; \$10,000,000 for parkways; and \$4,000,000 for roads on Indian reservations.

The Hayden-Cartwright Act of 1934 specifies that any State that applies to highway purposes a lesser amount of motor-vehicle fees and gasoline taxes than was provided by law on June 18, 1934, shall be penalized not more than one-third of the Federal-aid apportionment to which it would otherwise be entitled. By a provision of the act of June 16, 1936, those States applying all special taxes on motor-vehicle transportation to highway purposes and lacking funds to match any part of Federal-aid funds for 1936 and 1937 are relieved of the necessity of matching that portion of Federal aid for which they lack funds, provided those special taxes applied to highway construction are used on Federal-aid work.

Further efforts to promote highway safety are made in another provision of this act, which requires that future Federal funds for highway construction shall be spent only on those portions of highways upon which suitable safety-protective devices shall be installed or shall be in operation at railroad grade crossings and drawbridges.

The act also contains a provision that up to 1½ percent of the funds authorized for Federal-aid highways, secondary roads, and grade-crossing work shall be available for making surveys and economic investigations for future construction.

By an act approved June 23, 1936, the Territory of Puerto Rico will be eligible to share in Federal-aid funds beginning with the fiscal year 1938.

### STATUS OF MAJOR FUNDS AND PROGRESS IN CONSTRUCTION

During the fiscal year 1936, 13,790 miles of highway were brought to completion, exclusive of work done in Federal areas and with special funds. The completed work included 7,356 miles on the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities, 755 miles on extensions of the Federal-aid system into and through municipalities, and 5,679 miles of secondary or feeder roads. Payments to States for completed portions of construction amounted to \$224,073,259, as shown in table 5.

Details concerning the status of the various funds by States and by classes of highways are shown in tables 6 to 9. The mileages of highway according to status, by States, and by class of highway are shown in tables 10, 11, and 12. Similar information for grade-crossing work is shown in table 13. Tables 14, 15, and 16 show the mileage by types in the different stages leading up to completion. The tables are arranged so that each shows all funds or all mileage in **a** given status.

**TABLE 5.**—Funds paid to the States during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1936

State         Multinity itons for just         Construc- tions for just         Wultinity iton au- thoriza- tions for just         Person itons for just         Crade tions for just         Total           Alabama         \$261,259         \$3,403,670         \$1,126,105         \$904,204         \$5,605,293           Alabama         \$261,259         \$1,722,455         \$1,206,037         \$20,222         153,157         \$3,937,353           Alabama         \$16,544         1,722,455         \$1,206,037         \$20,222         153,157         \$3,937,353           Collorado         43,568         1,539,883         733,751         \$37,232         446,103         \$43,439,441           Colleavac         201,375         302,168         \$49,383         \$22,339         \$1,54,326           Georgia         51,070         3,153,413         314,430         47,609         228,251         \$2,612,251           Illinois         201,306         \$35,766         5,600,386         652,203         116,722         344,766         7,196,689           Illinois         201,306         \$35,767         5,800,386         652,203         116,722         344,766         7,196,686           Illinois         201,306         \$35,767         5,880,386         652,203         116,		Federal-	Emer- gency	Bublia	Federal	Works	Program	
Alabama         \$261, 259         \$3, 403, 670         \$1, 126, 105         \$904, 204         \$5, 695, 293           Arizona         116, 846         3, 232, 240         7, 356, 230         141, 298         537, 855         4, 819, 248           California         43, 668         1, 539, 883         7, 356, 230         7, 7, 283         1, 182, 668         1, 047, 549         10, 341, 969           Colorado         43, 668         1, 539, 883         733, 751         637, 236         466, 103         3, 420, 541           Connectiout         194, 057         1, 733, 672         302, 108         549, 337, 552         331, 533, 313         314, 350         347, 699         3, 153, 343           Georgia         51, 070         3, 183, 413         314, 350         347, 699         288, 251         2, 618, 322           Ilhois         201, 306         \$35, 576         5, 660, 588         652, 201         196, 722         344, 766         7, 196, 366, 352           Iowa         24, 52, 323         310, 223         836, 656         299, 010         3, 899, 026         2, 937, 436         35, 960         11, 558, 192         296, 760           Mary and         24, 52, 337         30, 7389         33, 307, 389         359, 960         1447         121, 199	State	thoriza- tions for 1933 and prior years	construc- tion au- thoriza- tion of July 21, 1932	Works au- thoriza- tions for 1934–35	aid au- thoriza- tions for 1936-37	Highways	Grade crossings	Totai
Alabama.       \$200, 229       \$3, 405, 670       \$1, 120, 103       \$1, 120, 103       \$30, 402, 223       \$35, 605, 357, 367, 367, 367, 367, 367, 367, 367, 36				40, 400, 670		. 100 105	0004 004	A
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Alabama	\$261, 259		\$3, 403, 670	\$1 208 034	<b>\$1, 120, 105</b> 826, 232	\$904, 204	\$5, 695, 238
California.       8. 239       7. 386, 230       71.7, 283       1, 122, 688       1, 047, 549       10, 341, 965         Connecticut.       194, 057       291, 375       373, 751       637, 236       466, 103       3, 219, 965         Plavare.       46, 774       1, 733, 672       302, 168       549, 733       552, 333       315, 433       343, 509	Arkansas	116.846		3, 023, 240	φ1, 200, 001	1. 141. 298	537, 865	4, 819, 249
Colorado       43, 568       1, 539, 883       733, 751       637, 726       466, 103       3, 420, 541         Delaware       194, 057       291, 375       201, 375       201, 375       201, 375       219, 395         Florida       51, 070       3, 153, 413       314, 4350       347, 609       38, 665, 522, 339       3154, 338         Georgia       20, 871        1, 480, 394       378, 254       475, 020       228, 251       2, 612, 821         Illinois       20, 871   .	California	8, 239		7, 386, 230	717, 283	1, 182, 668	1, 047, 549	10, 341, 969
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Colorado	43, 568		1, 539, 883	733, 751	637, 236	466, 103	3, 420, 541
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Connecticut	194,057		1,025,935				1, 219, 992
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Florida	46.774		1, 733, 672	302, 168	549, 383	522, 339	3, 154, 336
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Georgia	51,070		3, 153, 413	314, 350	347, 699		3, 866, 532
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Idaho	20, 871		1, 480, 394	378, 285	475, 020	258, 251	2, 612, 821
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Illinois	201, 306	\$35, 576	7, 516, 369	518, 171	875, 956	113, 382	9, 260, 760
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Indiana	142, 707		3,860,088	052,201	190,722	344,700	6 036 049
Kentucky       14,007       2,644,431       668,278       578,499       115,648       4,050,763         Louisiana       65,084       2,422,137       411,944       36,519       2,935,648       4,050,763         Maryland       1,268,152       32,374       314,444       87,482       1,998,070       1,303,732         Mississippi       32,984       5,577,195       1,318,979       656,409       98,994       5,522,007       1,811,106       1,582,122       335,580       1,811,106       1,582,122       335,580       1,811,106       1,582,123,237         Minnesota       59,637       -40,64003       551,194       655,409       98,506       5,575,740         Mississippi       442,781       3,527,590	Kansas			2, 452, 323	310, 223	836, 565	299,010	3, 898, 121
Louisiana       65, 084       2, 422, 137       411, 944       36, 519       2, 935, 684         Maine       1, 226, 770       312, 374       36, 519	Kentucky	14,007		2, 644, 431	698, 278	578, 499	115, 548	4. 050, 763
Maine       1, 226, 770       312, 374       341, 444       87, 482       1, 968, 070         Maryland       30, 783       30, 783       33, 307, 389       35, 580       1, 318, 979       2, 841, 857       1, 811, 106       11, 582, 121         Michigan       32, 984       5, 577, 195       1, 318, 979       2, 841, 857       1, 811, 106       11, 582, 121         Missouri       3442, 781       3, 527, 590       857, 267       373, 103       5, 200, 741         Missouri       3431       4, 533, 534       1, 175, 184       1, 693, 568       69, 464       7, 475, 151         Netraska       47, 968       2, 821, 854       6609, 365       250, 533       372, 015       4, 113, 767         New Jarsey       118, 894       2, 655, 759       609, 245       1007, 983       232, 055       333, 333, 333         New Vark       148, 894       27, 655       9, 065, 759       609, 245       10, 676, 2590       136, 178       11, 040, 325         North Dakota       241, 400       2, 005, 747       208, 419       776, 55, 151       39, 967, 255       255, 259       358, 228, 284         Ohio       123, 476       364, 801       206, 759       609, 249       1, 056, 357       614, 135       39, 972	Louisiana	65, 084		2, 422, 137	411, 944	36, 519		2, 935, 684
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Maine.			1, 226, 770	312, 374	341, 444	87, 482	1, 968, 070
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Maryland Massachusetts	30 783		1, 208, 152	35 060	35, 580	121 100	1, 303, 732
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Michigan	32,984		5, 577, 195	1. 318, 979	2. 841, 857	1. 811, 106	11, 582, 121
Mississippi       442, 781       3, 527, 590       857, 267       373, 103       6, 200, 741         Missouri       3, 431       4, 533, 534       1, 175, 184       1, 663, 568       69, 464       7, 475, 181         Montana       47, 968       2, 414, 107       709, 183       1, 465, 976       938, 506       5, 575, 740         Nevada       2, 821, 854       669, 365       250, 533       372, 015       4, 113, 767         New Hampshire       1, 781	Minnesota	59,637		4,054,003	551, 194	659, 409	98, 994	5, 423, 237
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mississippi	442, 781		3, 527, 590		857, 267	373, 103	5, 200, 741
Montana	Missouri	3, 431		4, 533, 534	1, 175, 184	1, 693, 568	69,464	7, 475, 181
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Montana	47, 968		2, 414, 107	660 365	250 533	938, 506	0, 575, 740
New Hampshire1, 781	Nevada			1 580 998	646,906	1.007.983	232,015	3 467 949
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	New Hampshire	1, 781		583, 408	152, 645	115, 923	59, 453	913, 210
New Maxico	New Jersey	118, 683		2, 043, 744	325, 159	346, 434	7, 176	2, 841, 196
New York	New Mexico	14,844		2,036,587	614, 135	1,006,736	201, 091	3, 873, 393
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	New York	148,894	27,655	9,065,759	609, 249	1,052,590	136,178	11,040,325
Ohio         123,476         5,648,901         208,419         756,515         3,990         6,751,301           Okiahoma         116,453         3,432,698         440,627         460,973         617,769         5,668,520           Oregon         33,236         2,064,330         704,818         539,772         255,269         8,997         432,959         88,684         90,811         6,839,741           Ponnsylvania         6,308	North Dakota	241 400		2 005 747	274, 040	198 872	82 265	2 528 284
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Ohio	123, 476		5, 648, 901	208, 419	756, 515	13, 990	6, 751, 301
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Oklahoma	116, 453		3, 432, 698	440, 627	460, 973	617, 769	5, 068, 520
Pennsylvania       6, 308       6, 274, 979       432, 959       88, 684       90, 811       6, 803, 741         Rhode Island	Oregon	<b>3</b> 3, 236		2,064,330	704, 818	539, 772	255, 269	3, 597, 425
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pennsylvania	6, 308		6, 274, 979	432, 959	88,684	90, 811	6, 893, 741
South Dakota       78, 280       1, 52, 74, 218       179, 575       577, 750       120, 143       2, 343, 243         Tennessee       10, 997       3, 211, 709       423, 560       688, 948       82, 155       4, 171, 365         Texas       14, 335       9, 680, 348       2, 035, 107       3, 088, 739       656, 411       15, 604, 942         Varmont       20, 345       1, 325, 076       536, 920       513, 979       75, 664       2, 471, 954         Virginia       262, 530       3, 398, 421       68, 684       370, 792       66, 880       4, 166, 707         Washington       2, 250, 186       747, 323       940, 747       320, 824       4, 289, 080         Wisconsin       3, 258       14, 126, 900       393, 979       662, 503       47, 194         Wyoming       42, 315       1, 614, 040       981, 757       625, 503       47, 194         Mysoning       42, 315       1, 614, 040       981, 757       625, 503       4, 188, 084         Mysoning       42, 315       1, 614, 040       981, 757       625, 503       47, 194         Mysoning       42, 315       1, 614, 040       981, 757       625, 503       47, 194       3, 308, 090       33, 108, 090       33, 108, 090 <td>South Caroline</td> <td>8 262</td> <td></td> <td>1 820 082</td> <td></td> <td>360 786</td> <td>248, 330</td> <td>1, 120, 089</td>	South Caroline	8 262		1 820 082		360 786	248, 330	1, 120, 089
Tennessee         10,997         3,211,709         423,560         688,948         82,155         4,417,369           Texas         144,335         9,680,348         2,035,107         3,088,739         656,411         15,004,940           Utah         20,345         1,325,076         536,920         536,920         533,979         656,411         15,004,940           Vermont         262,530         3,398,421         68,084         305,179,792         66,880         4,166,707           Washington         22,280,186         747,323         940,747         320,824         4,289,866           Wisconsin         3,258         4,149,102         146,988         258,764         1,858,748           Wyoming         42,315         1,614,040         981,757         625,503         47,194         3,310,894           District of Columbia         85,416         622,143         21,549         155,401         74,072         958,581           Total         3,481,209         63,231         151,386,056         22,466,989         33,106,789         13,568,985         224,073,259	South Dakota	78, 280		2, 974, 218	179.575	577, 752	171, 855	3, 981, 680
Texas         144, 335         9, 680, 348         2, 035, 076         3, 088, 739         656, 411         15, 604, 940           Utah         20, 345	Tennessee	10, 997		3, 211, 709	423, 560	688, 948	82, 155	4, 417, 369
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Texas	144, 335		9, 680, 348	2,035,107	3, 088, 739	656, 411	15, 604, 940
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Utah	20, 345		1, 325, 076	536, 920	513, 979	75, 664	2, 471, 984
Vinglina	Vermont	969 520		2 200 491	286, 678	153,035	194,057	1, 386, 588
West Virginia         3.894         1,449,102         146,988         258,764          1,858,763           Wisconsin         3.258         4,126,900         393,979         692,134         367,422         5,583,663           Wyoming         42,315         1,14,040         981,757         625,503         47,194         3,310,809           District of Columbia         85,416         622,143         21,549         155,401         74,072         958,581           Total         3,481,209         63,231         151,386,056         22,466,989         33,106,789         13,568,985         224,073,259	Washington	202, 030		2 280 186	747 323	940 747	320 824	4, 100, 707
Wisconsin         3, 258         4, 126, 900         393, 979         692, 134         367, 422         5, 583, 693           Wyoming         1, 614, 040         981, 757         625, 503         47, 194         3, 310, 809           District of Columbia         5, 5416         622, 143         21, 549         155, 401         1, 163, 912           Hawaii         3, 481, 209         63, 231         151, 386, 056         22, 466, 989         33, 106, 789         13, 568, 985         224, 073, 259	West Virginia	3,894		1, 449, 102	146, 988	258, 764	020,041	1, 858, 748
Wyoming	Wisconsin	3, 258		4, 126, 900	393, 979	692, 134	367, 422	5, 583, 693
District of Columbia          416, 507          695, 958         51, 447         1, 163, 912           Hawaii          622, 143         -21, 549         155, 401         74, 072         958, 581           Total	Wyoming	42, 315		1, 614, 040	981, 757	625, 503	47, 194	3, 310, 809
Total	District of Columbia	95 410		416, 507	91 540	695, 958	51,447	1, 163, 912
Total	nawall	60, 410		022, 143	21, 549	155, 401	14,072	908, 581
	Total	3, 481, 209	63, 231	151, 386, 056	22, 466, 989	33, 106, 789	13, 568, 985	224, 073, 259

### **PROGRESS IN PUBLIC WORKS HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION**

At the beginning of the year the active construction administered by the Bureau consisted largely of Public Works highway construction supported by remaining amounts of the \$400,000,000 provided by the National Recovery Act and the supplementary \$200,000,000 provided by the Hayden-Cartwright Act of June 1934.

The year's activity reduced the amount of these funds available for new projects to \$9,791,764. There have been completed since the beginning of the program 33,982 miles of highway, at a cost of \$540,601,802 from Public Works funds. Of this mileage, 17,764 miles, involving \$259,239,173 of the Public Works funds, is on the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities, 2,518 miles, built at a cost of \$142,245,579 from the Public Works funds, is on extensions of the system into and through municipalities, and 13,700 miles are secondary roads on which \$139,117,050 of the Public Works funds was spent.

Of this mileage, 9,382 was completed during the last year, including 4,426 miles on the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities, 614 miles on extensions of the system into and through municipalities, and 4,342 miles on secondary or feeder roads. The work completed during the year involved \$174,707,511 of Federal funds. Payments made to the States for construction work during the year as the work progressed, amounted to \$151,386,056.

At the close of the year, 1,215 miles of Public Works highways, to which \$41,334,192 had been allotted, were under contract and largely under construction, and 105 miles had been approved for construction at an estimated cost to the Federal Government of \$2,272,242, but were not yet under contract. The unobligated balance for new projects was \$9,791,764. Details concerning the funds and mileage completed, under contract, and approved for construction, classified according to the three classes of improvement and by States appear in tables 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, and 12.

As the year closed Public Works highway construction had passed through or was in its final stages in all but a few States, and such work will not be an important factor in 1937.

#### **PROGRESS IN FEDERAL-AID ROAD CONSTRUCTION**

Federal-aid road construction during the year was supported almost entirely by the authorization of \$125,000,000 for the fiscal year 1936, made in the Hayden-Cartwright Act of 1934. Federal-aid was not provided for the fiscal years 1934 and 1935, and at the beginning of last year the less than \$7,000,000 remaining from previous authorizations was being used in large part in conjunction with Public Works funds. During the year projects were completed which involved \$5,146,956 of the earlier Federal-aid funds, and only a small amount remains to be paid on the few projects under construction.

Federal-aid for the fiscal year 1936 in the amount of \$121,875,000, after deduction of \$3,125,000 for administrative expenses, was apportioned to the States by the Secretary of Agriculture on December 27, 1934, as shown in table 1. A similar apportionment of 1937 funds was made on December 28, 1935. During the closing months of the preceding fiscal years projects were submitted against these funds and construction started, but payments were not made to the States before July 1.

The matching of the 1936 and 1937 Federal-aid funds was done entirely with State funds since the authorization to match earlier Federal-aid funds with Public Works and emergency advance funds does not extend to Federal-aid for 1936 and subsequent years.

Removal of the prohibition against the use of Federal aid within municipalities led to the initiation of many municipal street improvements, and projects containing rural and urban sections were particularly numerous. Formerly Federalaid improvement stopped at the built-up portion of a city. In tables 6 to 12 both rural and municipal Federal-aid work are reported under the head "On the Federalaid highway system outside of municipalities" since separation has not been made between municipal and rural portions of the work.

During the year 2,289 miles of highway financed with \$15,428,116 of the 1936 Federal-aid funds were brought to completion. These projects involved \$13,210,578 of State funds. Payments to the States for completed work including work done on projects still under construction amounted to \$22,466,989.

At the close of the year projects under contract and in large part under construction included 5,742 miles of highway at an estimated cost of \$134,296,182, to be provided as follows: \$68,874,961 from 1936-37 Federal-aid, and \$65,421,221 from State funds. At the same time projects had been approved, but not yet contracted for, covering 877 miles and involving \$11,510,404 of the 1936-37 Federal-aid, and \$11,823,105 of State funds.

On June 30, 1936, there remained available for new projects \$147,936,519 of the 1936-37 Federal-aid funds. In greater part these were funds for 1937. Tables 6 to 12, inclusive, show the status of the work by States.

#### WORKS PROGRAM HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION

Although active construction under the \$200,000,000 for highways allocated from funds authorized by the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act did not begin until October and work was retarded by one of the severest winters on record, it was still possible to bring to completion 1,948 miles of highway, and by the end of the year projects completed, under contract, and ready to be placed under contract, totaled 11,870 miles at an estimated cost of \$168,230,573, of which \$161,590,370 was Works Program funds. State funds and a small amount of Federal aid accounted for less than 4 percent of the total estimated cost. An unobligated balance of \$33,409,630 was available for new projects at the end of the fiscal year.

Of the 1,948 miles completed, 479 miles were located on the Federal-aid highway system outside of municipalities, 139 miles on extensions of the system into and through municipalities, and 1,330 miles were secondary or feeder roads. The respective Works Program funds involved were \$4,581,924, \$3,247,531, and \$7,416,658. The 8,810 miles under contract and largely under construction were divided

The 8,810 miles under contract and largely under construction were divided as follows: 2,014 miles on the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities, 686 miles of extensions of the system into and through municipalities, and 6,110 miles of secondary or feeder roads. Works Program funds involved were respectively \$38,097,853, \$26,856,840, and \$64,391,492.

Similar information for projects approved for construction but not yet under contract appear in tables 8 and 12. Details for work in all stages by States is presented in tables 6 to 12, inclusive.

### GRADE-CROSSING ELIMINATION AND PROTECTION PROGRAM

The work of eliminating hazards to traffic at highway-railroad grade crossings was supported in large measure by the special allocation for the purpose of \$200,000,000 made under the authority of the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act, but other funds, particularly Public Works funds, were involved.

During the year 300 eliminations were completed, 10 elimination structures were reconstructed, and protective devices were installed at 185 crossings. Of these crossings, 228 were eliminated with Public Works funds, 66 with Works Program funds, and 6 with Federal-aid funds. At the end of the year, work under contract, consisting of 1,240 crossing eliminations, 168 elimination structures being reconstructed, and 793 crossings being protected, represented by far the greatest activity to make highways safer in the history of the country. Eleven hundred and eighty-three of the 1,240 crossings being eliminated were finaneed with Works Program grade-crossing funds. Table 13 shows details of the above work by States and also the number of projects approved but not contracted for at the end of the year. At the end of the year \$3,219,291 of Works Program grade-crossing funds had

At the end of the year \$3,219,291 of Works Program grade-crossing funds had been expended on completed projects, \$109,094,302 had been assigned to work under contract, \$21,587,396 to projects approved but not then under contract, and \$62,099,012 remained available for new work. Tables 6 to 9, inclusive, show the assignment of funds to the three classes of work, and tables 10 to 12, inclusive, show the corresponding number of crossings to be eliminated.

#### SUMMARY

The year's work with the funds apportioned to all States resulted in the completion of 13,790 miles of highway and the elimination of 300 railroad-highway grade erossings at a cost of \$213,747,987 in Federal funds and \$31,274,692 in State funds. The types of highway completed are shown in table 14.

The completed work was divided as follows: 7,356 miles on the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities but including improvements with Federal-aid funds in municipalities, 755 miles of extensions of the system into and through eities, and 5,679 miles of secondary and feeder roads. Federal funds involved in the completed work on the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities were \$107,572,926, on extensions of the Federal-aid system into and through municipalities \$46,385,602, and on secondary and feeder roads \$59,789,459. The roads under contract at the end of the year totaled 16,709 miles and in-

The roads under contract at the end of the year totaled 16,709 miles and involved \$349,502,946 of Federal funds, and there were 2.210 miles approved but not yet contracted for, involving \$52,368,113 of Federal funds. Tables 15 and 16, respectively, show the types of road under contract and the types approved but not yet under contract.

TABLE 6.—Funds allotted to projects completed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1936

ON THE FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY SYSTEM OUTSIDE OF MUNICIPALITIES 1

	Federal	Public	Federal	Works F	rogram	Total	State	Estimated
State	aid, 1917-33	Works, 1934–35	aid, 1936–37	High- ways	Grade crossings	Federal funds	funds	total cosi
Alabama	\$398, 865	\$1, 931, 168				\$2, 330, 033	\$57, 740	\$2, 387, 773
Arizona		923,677	\$784.504	\$1,382		1,709,563	480, 589	2, 190, 152
Arkansas	285, 777	1,974,266		462,011	\$293, 229	3, 015, 283	8, 396	3, 023, 679
California	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3, 110, 633	326, 945	17,600	29, 175	3, 484, 353	1,668,893	5, 153, 246
Colorado	36, 500	867,052	877, 141	156, 363	168, 864	2, 105, 920	827, 103	2, 933, 023
Connecticut	307, 533	1, 035, 095		3, 995		1, 346, 623	4, 180	1, 350, 803
Delaware		153, 256				153, 256		153, 256
Florida	37, 546	867, 541	259, 142		15, 489	1, 179, 718	353, 407	1, 533, 125
Georgia	35, 000	1, 588, 756	78, 812			1,702,568	159, 244	1, 861, 812
Idaho	55, 877	900, 218	270, 544	52, 823		1, 279, 462	358, 543	1, 638, 005
Illinois	228.712	3, 248, 496	347, 531	323, 602		4, 148, 341	865, 409	5, 013, 750
Indiana	454, 324	3, 277, 912	808,060	102, 998		4, 643, 294	1, 469, 838	6, 113, 132
Iowa		1, 941, 043	187, 819	18, 350	7,000	2, 154, 212	412, 622	2, 566, 834
Kansas		1, 591, 654	184,096	177, 168		1,952,918	222, 222	2, 175, 140
Kentucky	17, 897	1, 226, 791	549, 480	9,919		1,804,087	706, 022	2, 510, 109
Louisiana		1, 222, 923	308, 193	17 077		1, 531, 116	472, 189	2,003,305
Maine		708,029	190, 653	11,011		970,709	208, 749	1, 184, 508
Maryland	51 001	1 120 520				1 100 260	24,074	1 216 752
Massachusetts	01,821	1,130,539	707 746	410 250		1, 182, 800	04,090	1, 210, 700
Michigan	08,004	3,490,910	515 090	994 646	280,950	9 190 920	595 914	2 705 574
Mindiogippi	601 950	2 220 026	515, 029	46 142	90,133	2,120,000	128 255	2,100,074
Missouri	72 163	2, 255, 020	686 250	36 366		3 550 580	843 778	A 304 367
Montana	73, 103	647 715	536 149	39,013	115 402	1 412 701	472 306	1 885 007
Nobrosko	10,022	1 642 741	545 181	44 866	148 501	2 381 989	605 578	2 986 867
Neveda		804 374	588 620	404 075	140,001	2,001,200	138,911	2 166 065
New Heinnshire	17 137	589,604	77 485	101, 070	110,000	684 226	106 714	790, 940
New Jersey	12 677	1 572 053	43,962			1.628.692	73, 404	1, 702, 096
New Mexico	,	896, 826	426, 512	323, 460	203.329	1,850,127	295, 852	2, 145, 979
New York	107.248	4, 300, 793	27,600	9,600		4, 445, 241	2,709,091	7, 154, 332
North Carolina	184,872	1,909,148	268, 430	7.053	59,286	2, 428, 789	276, 982	2,705,771
North Dakota	587, 221	605, 589		244, 926	32, 557	1,470,293	9, 165	1, 479, 458
Ohio	142, 119	3, 109, 491		60, 690		3, 312, 300	235, 202	3, 547, 502
Oklahoma	238, 346	2, 158, 966	445,863	110,011	207, 359	3, 160, 545	567, 137	3, 727, 682
Oregon	9,060	983, 851	315, 246	17, 113		1, 325, 270	315,067	1, 640, 337
Pennsylvania	30, 621	3, 984, 670	115, 640			4, 130, 931	355, 891	4, 486, 822
Rhode Island		559, 718				559, 718	24, 857	584, 575
South Carolina		698, 852		22, 382		721, 234	53, 990	775, 224
South Dakota	69, 373	1, 623, 815		369, 732	51,774	2, 114, 694	16, 713	2, 131, 407
Tennessee		1, 540, 906	276, 938	15, 078		1,832,922	349,701	2, 182, 623
Texas	162, 614	5, 701, 473	2, 484, 703	589, 451	168, 749	9, 106, 990	2,727,687	11,834,677
Utah	53, 499	397, 767	278,469	109, 452	29,757	868,944	247, 983	1, 116, 927
Vermont		367, 634	53, 194	24,864	72, 161	517,853	119,306	637,159
Virginia	224,874	1,610,901	58, 309	30, 253	20, 824	1,945,161	123, 956	2,009,117
wasnington		1, 433, 202	452,791	05,868	11,105	2, 029, 566	05 601	2, 032, 000
West Virginia		1 00, 676	43, 081			9 991 041	99,091	0 656 999
Wyoming	25 600	1, 890, 302	070,262	20, 444	55 265	2, 221, 941	608 709	2,000,200
w yoming	20,000	1, 304, 835	819,303		00, 300	2, 300, 183	52 440	1 269 947
nawall	183, 388	1, 120, 211				1, 000, 199	00,440	1, 002, 247
Total	4, 855, 309	80, 433, 283	15, 428, 116	4, 581, 924	2, 274, 294	107, 572, 926	22, 611, 635	130, 184, 561

<sup>1</sup> For those Federal-aid projects financed with 1936 Federal-aid funds separation has not been made between projects and sections of projects within and without municipalities. All such Federal-aid projects are reported under the head "On the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities."

a

# TABLE 6.—Funds allotted to projects completed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1936—Continued

### ON EXTENSIONS OF THE FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY SYSTEM INTO AND THROUGH MUNICIPALITIES 1

							÷	
	Federal	Public	Federal	Works 1	Program	Total	State	Fetimotod
State	aid, 1917–33	Works, 1934–35	aid, 1936–37	High- ways	Grade crossing	Federal funds	funds	total cost
Alabama		\$983, 960	,			\$983.960	\$47,666	\$1,031,626
Arizona		375, 577		\$360, 309		735, 886	89, 236	825, 122
Arkansas		907, 280		170,996		1,078,276	3, 605	1.081.881
California		2, 245, 953			\$48,675	2, 294, 628	404, 974	2, 699, 602
Colorado				274,076		274,076	8	274,076
Connecticut	\$51, 171	141,031				192, 202	2 14	192, 216
Delaware		. 38, 571				38, 571	1, 933	40, 504
Florida		180, 337				180, 337	26, 917	207, 254
Georgia	6, 987	729,765		49,637		786, 389	55, 440	841,829
Idaho		. 168, 274		31, 087		199, 361	8,004	207, 365
linnois		2, 089, 769		16, 526		2, 106, 295	100, 302	2, 206, 597
Indiana		2,663,746		16, 891		2, 680, 637	115, 954	2, 796, 591
lowa		1,439,628		21,700		1,461,328	68, 111	1, 529, 439
Kansas		1, 308, 206		70, 389		1, 378, 595	5, 783	1, 384, 378
Kentucky		814, 386		37,864		852, 250	78, 592	930, 842
Louisiana	41,954	1, 503, 042				1, 544, 996	14, 156	1, 559, 152
Maine		. 572, 190				572, 190	19,007	591, 197
Maryland		415,010				415,010	819,185	1, 234, 201
Mission	24,976	1, 188, 106				1, 213, 082	09,308	1, 272, 450
Minnegata		1, 751, 900		58, 200		1,810,150	240,052	2,056,202
Mississippi	19 055	020,001	[	14, 307		040,155	47 092	131,083
Missouri	10, 957	675 055		21,009		699,140	96 604	710,005
Montono		070,900		24 504		150 499	5 601	156 020
Nobrocko	21,292	199,042		24,034		100, 420	15 161	503 584
Nevedo		5 785		52 228	45 668	103 681	10, 101	114 136
New Hampshire		146 010		02, 220	<b>40,000</b>	146 010	1 040	147, 950
New Jersey	19 000	575 330				594 330	117 724	712 054
New Mexico	10,000	327, 362		142 433		469, 795	19.544	489, 339
New York		3 221 600		300, 733		3 522 333	285, 266	3 807 599
North Carolina	30, 578	790.376		38, 018		858, 972	1.511	860, 483
North Dakota	00,010	630, 477		1,382	6.778	638, 637	9, 423	648,060
Ohio	6,030	1. 810, 260		85, 230	0,0	1,901,520	175, 788	2,077,308
Oklahoma		936, 122		6,004		942, 126	125, 202	1,067,328
Oregon		620, 711				620,711	42, 611	663, 322
Pennsylvania		1, 526, 333		1,852		1, 528, 235	157, 922	1, 686, 157
Rhode Island		127,841		8,750		136, 591	448	137,039
South Carolina	13,051	524, 469		47, 194		584,714	24, 574	609, 288
South Dakota		562,986		3,024		566, 010	4,645	570, 655
Tennessee		1, 257, 585		201,058		1, 458, 643	23, 443	1,482,086
Texas	20,000	2, 573, 368		322, 767	57,637	2, 973, 772	237, 688	3, 211, 460
Utah		632, 814		133, 085		765, 899	114,079	879, 978
Vermont		198, 585				198, 585	18, 554	217, 139
Virginia	31, 651	1, 286, 822		80, 719	39, 971	1, 439, 163	246, 014	1, 685, 177
Washington		461, 715		204, 515		666, 230	10,385	676, 615
West Virginia		349, 096				349, 096	44, 209	393, 305
Wisconsin		1, 358, 221		103, 165		1, 461, 386	71, 433	1, 532, 819
Wyoming		169, 462		56, 247		225, 709	1,052	226, 761
District of Colum-			i					
bia		289, 628		282, 556		572, 184		572, 184
(Trata)	001 017	49 647 607		2 947 291	100 700	46 205 600	4 002 202	E0 479 00E
10ta1	291, 047	42, 047, 095		3, 247, 031	198, 729	-20, 300, 004	4,090,323	00, 410, 920

<sup>1</sup> For those Federal-aid projects financed with 1936 Federal-aid funds separation has not been made between projects and sections of projects within and without municipalities. All such Federal-aid projects are reported under the head "On the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities."

# TABLE 6.—Funds allotted to projects completed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1936—Continued

	Federal	Public	Federal	Works H	rogram	Total	Stote	Estimated
State	aid, 1917–33	Works, 1931–35	aid, 1936–37	High- ways	Grade crossings	Federal funds	funds	total cost
Alabama		\$1, 279, 392			\$9,124	\$1. 288. 516	\$41.807	\$1, 330, 323
Arizona		782, 731		\$565, 340	47, 413	1, 395, 484	145, 714	1, 541, 198
Arkansas		1, 120, 673		23, 887	50, 980	1, 195, 540	11,874	1, 207, 414
California		2, 332, 691		593, 357	96, 017	3, 022, 065	418, 341	3, 440, 406
Colorado		707, 376		244, 532		951, 908	110, 270	1,062,178
Connecticut		695, 327				695, 327	1,288	696, 615
Delaware		291,210				291, 210	10, 170	301, 380
Florida		629,639		63, 820		693,459	71,473	764,932
Georgia		1, 095, 240				1,095,240	27,255	1, 122, 495
Illinoig		610, 652		970 049		610,652	45, 380	656,032
Indiana		0, 691, 197		11 000		0, 102, 039	104, 492	0, 310, 331
Lows		1 678 659		122 400		1 801 058	49, 540	1 860 006
Kansas		1 448 977		36 562		1, 301, 033	7 639	1,809,090
Kantucky		1 211 087		189 507	15 200	1 415 074	149 579	1, 490, 177
Louisiana		994 263		100,001	10, 230	404 263	16,657	1 016 020
Maine		125, 809		102 673		228 482	71 199	299 681
Maryland		445, 266		102,010		445 266	69,473	514 739
Massachusetts		909.327				909 327	5 189	914,516
Michigan		1,067,209			166, 450	1, 233, 659	159, 441	1, 393, 100
Minnesota		1,028,633		337.127	57, 535	1, 423, 295	358, 327	1, 781, 622
Mississippi		533, 762		40, 890		574.652	6,174	580, 826
Missouri		2, 126, 548		1,019,037		3, 145, 535	73, 615	3, 219, 200
Montana		535, 534		498, 597	106, 940	1, 141, 071	20,354	1, 161, 425
Nebraska		329,155				329,155	18,958	348, 113
Nevada		284, 252		488, 732		772,984	138, 388	911, 372
New Hampshire		141,906		15, 547		157,453	11, 974	169, 427
New Jersey		107, 525				107, 525		107, 525
New Mexico		509, 921		258,456		768,377	39,086	807, 463
New York		3, 879, 189		93, 500		3,972,689	663, 974	4,636,663
North Carolina		1, 325, 447		164, 428	10,268	1,500,143	2,700	1, 502, 843
North Dakota		761, 351				761, 351	6,411	767, 762
Ohlohama		1, 300, 615		35, 610	10.405	1,335,225	60,480	1, 396, 705
Oklanoma		814, 973		33, 059	12, 407	860, 439	267,208	1, 127, 647
Deppendence pie		348,980		110.000	10.000	348, 980	124, 232	4/3, 212
Phodo Island		2,000,334		118,080	10, 836	2, 789, 905	272, 861	3, 062, 766
South Carolina		1 122 277		1, 184		1 122 007	30, 117	1 106 009
South Dalata		1, 100, 201 771, 079		0.050		1, 100, 207	03,021	1, 190, 908
Tannessee		065 108		9,030		070 655	0,000	1 060 205
Tayas		2 \$54 033		687 553		3 542 486	200, 740	3 742 845
Utah		367 661		187,500		555 932	170 174	734 406
Vermont		198 552		111 867	50 711	361 130	74 794	435 924
Virginia		696, 623		447, 645	43, 146	1, 187, 419	1 322	1, 188, 741
Washington		583, 670		172 239	10, 110	755 909	25 504	781, 413
West Virginia		512, 496				512,496	14, 252	526, 748
Wisconsin		1,861,092		130, 661	69, 151	2,030,904	185, 722	2, 246, 626
Wyoming		491, 292				491, 292	607	491, 899
District of Colum-						,	50.	,
bia		441, 904	<b></b>	318, 053		759, 957	135	760, 092
Hawaii		143,867				143, 867	6,846	150, 713
Total		51, 626, 533		7, 416, 658	746, 268	59, 789, 459	4, 569, 734	6 <b>4,</b> 359 <b>, 193</b>

ON SECONDARY OR FEEDER ROADS

### BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

# TABLE 6.—Funds allotted to projects completed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1936—Continued

TOTAL

	Federal	Public	Federal	Works F	rogram	Total	State	Estimated
State	aid, 1917–33	Works, 1934–35	aid, 1936–37	High- ways	Grade crossings	Federal funds	funds	total cost
Alabama	\$398, 865	\$4, 194, 520			\$9,124	\$4, 602, 509	\$147, 213	\$4, 749, 722
Arizona		2, 081, 984	\$784.505	\$927.031	47,413	3,840,933	715.539	4, 556, 472
Arkansas	285, 776	4,002,219		656, 894	344, 210	5, 289, 099	23,875	5, 312, 974
California		7, 689, 276	326, 945	610, 958	173,867	8,801,046	2, 492, 208	11, 293, 254
Colorado	36, 500	1, 574, 428	877, 140	674, 972	168,864	3,331,904	937, 373	4, 269, 277
Connecticut	358, 705	1, 871, 452		3, 995		2, 234, 152	5, 482	2, 239, 634
Delaware		483, 037				483, 037	12, 103	495, 140
Florida	37, 546	1,677,516	259, 142	63, 821	15, 489	2,053,514	451, 797	2, 505, 311
Georgia	41,988	3, 413, 760	78,812	49,637		3, 584, 197	241, 939	3, 826, 136
Idano	00,811	1, 079, 144	270, 544	83,910		2,089,475	411, 927	2, 501, 402
Indiono	454 224	11, 229, 402	347, 331	122 000		14, 410, 070	1, 120, 203 1, 625, 219	14, 030, 878
Tows	404, 324	5 059 329	187 810	162 450	7 000	5 416 508	1,035,312	5 965 360
Kansas		4 348 837	184 096	284 119	1,000	4 817 052	235 643	5 052 695
Kentucky	17.897	3 252 264	549 480	237 380	15, 290	4 072 311	933 186	5 005 497
Louisiana	41,953	3, 720, 229	308, 193	201,000	10, 200	4,070,375	503,002	4, 573, 377
Maine		1,466,028	190, 654	119,749		1,776,431	298,955	2,075,386
Maryland		1, 514, 625				1, 514, 625	923, 332	2,437,957
Massachusetts	76, 798	3, 227, 971				3, 304, 769	98, 950	3, 403, 719
Michigan	58,064	6, 310, 020	727,745	477, 500	447, 400	8, 020, 729	1, 584, 863	9,605,592
Minnesota		2,938,415	515,029	576,080	154, 269	4, 183, 793	1,035,086	5, 218, 879
Mississippi	710, 816	3,631,088		108,921		4, 450, 825	191, 552	4,642,377
Mantana	100,012	5,557,314	686, 250	1,063,458		7, 380, 185	944,077	8, 324, 262
Nobroeka	100, 813	1, 281, 792	545 191	203, 103	149 501	2,704,200	498, 201	3, 202, 461
Neuraska		1,400,319 1 184 411	599 690	44,800	145, 301	3, 198, 807	039,097	3,838,304
New Hampshire	17 137	878 420	77 485	15 547	100,700	2, 903, 819	119 728	1 108 317
New Jersey	31,677	2. 254, 908	43 962	10, 017		2 330 547	191 128	2 521 675
New Mexico	011011	1, 734, 109	426, 512	724.349	203.329	3, 088, 299	354, 482	3, 442, 781
New York	107,248	11, 401, 582	27,600	403, 833		11, 940, 263	3, 658, 331	15, 598, 594
North Carolina	215, 449	4,024,971	268, 430	209, 500	69, 554	4,787,904	281, 193	5,069,097
North Dakota	587, 221	1,997,418		246, 308	39, 334	2, 870, 281	24, 999	2, 895, 280
Ohio	148, 149	6, 220, 366		181, 530		6, 550, 045	471, 470	7,021,515
Oklahoma	238, 346	3, 910, 061	445, 863	149,074	219, 766	4, 963, 110	959, 547	5, 922, 657
Oregon	9,060	1,953,542	315, 246	17, 113		2, 294, 961	481, 910	2, 776, 871
Pennsylvania	30,621	8, 171, 437	115, 639	120, 538	10, 836	8, 449, 071	786,674	9, 235, 745
South Caroline	12 051	9 256 609		15,934		1,017,048	55,422	1,072,470
South Dakota	60 373	2,350,008		381 806	51 774	2,439,233	142, 180	2, 581, 420 2, 401, 002
Tennessee	03, 570	3 763 989	276 938	230 293	51,114	4 971 990	453 884	4 725 104
Texas	182,614	11, 129, 775	2, 484, 703	1. 599. 770	226 386	15 623 248	3 165 734	18 788 982
Utah	53, 499	1, 398, 242	278, 469	430, 108	29,757	2, 190, 075	541, 236	2,731,311
Vermont		764, 771	53, 195	136, 731	122,871	1,077,568	212,654	1, 290, 222
Virginia	256, 526	3, 594, 351	58, 309	558, 617	103, 940	4, 571, 743	371, 292	4,943,035
Washington		2, 478, 587	452, 791	442,622	77,705	3, 451, 705	538, 823	3,990,528
West Virginia		1, 562, 268	43, 581			1,605,849	154, 152	1, 760, 001
Wisconsin		5, 109, 675	308, 135	257, 270	69,151	5,744,231	691, 502	6, 435, 733
wyoming District of Colum	25, 600	1, 965, 609	979, 363	56, 247	55, 365	3,082,184	610, 451	3, 692, 635
bio		721 220		000 000		1 220 141	100	1 000 070
Hawaii	183 500	1 260 079		000,009		1, 332, 141	60 204	1, 332, 276
AAG ** 011	100,000	1, 200, 018				1, 402, 000	00, 294	1, 012, 900
' Total	5, 146, 956	174,707,511	15.428,116	15, 246, 113	3, 219, 291	213, 747, 987	31, 274, 692	245, 022, 679
	i i	I		1	1	1		

TABLE 7.—Funds allotted to projects under contract on June 30, 1936 1 ON THE FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY SYSTEM OUTSIDE OF MUNICIPALITIES 3

State	Federal	Public	Federal	Works H	rogram	Total	State funds	Estimated total cost
	aid 1917–33	Works 1934-35	aid, 1936–37	High- ways	Grade crossings	Federal funds		
Alabama Arizona Arkansas California Connecticut Delaware Florida Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Jousiana Maine Maryland Maszachusetts Minhesota Mississippi Mississippi Mississippi Mississippi Mississippi Mississippi Mississippi Mississippi Mississippi Mississippi Montana Nevada New Jersey New Hampshire New Jersey New Hampshire New Jersey New Hampshire New Jersey New York North Dakota Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island	\$30, 435           48, 023           3, 207           67, 124           32, 852           256, 602	\$547,074 8,577 151,845 157,401 6,500 	$\begin{array}{c} \$39,065\\ \$35,595\\ 4,280,965\\ 941,106\\ 556,272\\ 219,874\\ 287,221\\ 685,131\\ 989,899\\ 3,634,812\\ 2,144,121\\ 3,036,480\\ 3,634,812\\ 2,144,121\\ 3,036,480\\ 3,634,812\\ 2,331,209\\ 2,732,599\\ 74,759,974\\ 166,968\\ 2,831,209\\ 2,732,599\\ 7,759,974\\ 166,968\\ 5,821,457\\ 1,176,361\\ 1,528,590\\ 1,005,512\\ 1,115,111\\ 1,530,886\\ 5,821,457\\ 1,516,461\\ 1,121,622\\ 1,121,622\\ 1,646,855\\ 3,876,164\\ 3,565,166\\ 1,121,622\\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	$\begin{array}{c} \$394, \$33\\ 514, 499\\ 834, \$93\\ 2, 253, 756\\ 885, 797\\ 78, 774\\ 1, 045, 977\\ 178, 941\\ 641, 597\\ 1, 78, 941\\ 641, 597\\ 1, 650, 450\\ 1, 394, 600\\ 1, 208, 831\\ 563, 504\\ 1, 208, 831\\ 563, 504\\ 1, 208, 831\\ 563, 504\\ 1, 300, 687\\ 99, 930\\ 1, 208, 831\\ 563, 504\\ 1, 300, 687\\ 99, 930\\ 1, 208, 831\\ 563, 504\\ 1, 208, 831\\ 563, 504\\ 1, 208, 831\\ 563, 504\\ 1, 208, 831\\ 3, 663, 090\\ 1, 330, 661\\ 246, 878\\ 338, 662\\ 315, 334\\ 3, 063, 090\\ 454, 935\\ 596, 623\\ 315, 334\\ 3, 063, 090\\ 454, 935\\ 596, 623\\ 315, 334\\ 3, 063, 090\\ 454, 935\\ 596, 498\\ 1, 201, 132\\ 840, 274\\ 1, 220, 864\\ 1, 200, 864\\ 1, 200, 874\\ 1, 200, 86$	$\begin{array}{c} \$2, 446, 584\\ 1, 981, 885\\ 1, 968, 873\\ 7, 413, 506\\ 1, 884, 332\\ 758, 873\\ 476, 600\\ 2, 061, 638\\ 2, 129, 920\\ 2, 121, 285\\ 5, 205, 356\\ 5, 396, 800\\ 8, 056, 916\\ 1, 101, 311\\ 3, 526, 023\\ 1, 439, 315\\ 6 655, 530\\ 6 655, 530\\ 6 655, 530\\ 7, 239\\ 8, 597, 729\\ 8, 597, 729\\ 8, 597, 729\\ 7, 735\\ 5, 267, 117\\ 4, 97, 476\\ 4, 017, 735\\ 5, 267, 117\\ 4, 97, 476\\ 4, 017, 735\\ 5, 267, 117\\ 4, 97, 476\\ 4, 017, 735\\ 5, 267, 117\\ 4, 97, 476\\ 4, 017, 735\\ 5, 267, 117\\ 4, 97, 476\\ 4, 017, 735\\ 5, 267, 117\\ 4, 97, 476\\ 4, 017, 735\\ 5, 267, 117\\ 4, 97, 476\\ 4, 017, 735\\ 5, 207, 117\\ 4, 97, 476\\ 4, 017, 735\\ 5, 207, 117\\ 4, 97, 476\\ 4, 017, 735\\ 5, 791, 117\\ 4, 925\\ 4, 411, 511\\ 4, 026, 389\\ 3, 689, 778\\ 5, 791, 551\\ 717, 597\\ 102, 300\\ 717, 597\\ 102, 300\\ 717, 597\\ 717, 597\\ 102, 300\\ 717, 597\\ 102, 300\\ 717, 597\\ 717, 597\\ 102, 300\\ 717, 597\\ 717, 597\\ 102, 300\\ 712, 512, 512\\ 10$	$\begin{array}{c} \$339,065\\ 5\$2,643\\ 4,623\\ 3,376,880\\ 746,179\\ 691,330\\ 8,834,219\\ 2,294,017\\ 3,527,166\\ 899,061\\ 1,549,289\\ 761,002\\ 29,320\\ 166,968\\ 2,920,587\\ 761,002\\ 29,320\\ 166,968\\ 2,920,587\\ 761,002\\ 29,320\\ 166,968\\ 2,920,587\\ 761,002\\ 29,320\\ 166,968\\ 2,920,587\\ 761,002\\ 29,320\\ 166,968\\ 8,99,061\\ 1,549,289\\ 761,002\\ 29,320\\ 1,547,439\\ 8,003,388\\ 1,089,696\\ 966,888\\ 6,603,350\\ 966,888\\ 966,888\\ 966,988\\$	$\begin{array}{c} \$2, 485, 649\\ 2, 564, 528\\ 1, 973, 496\\ 2, 651, 983\\ 1, 310, 109\\ 696, 474\\ 2, 381, 604\\ 2, 876, 099\\ 2, 812, 615\\ 13, 189, 721\\ 7, 499, 373\\ 8, 904, 377\\ 11, 584, 082\\ 2, 000, 372\\ 2, 200, 317\\ 694, 850\\ 1, 405, 197\\ 11, 518, 316\\ 8, 030, 674\\ 4, 020, 638\\ 8, 070, 084\\ 6, 426, 082\\ 5, 199, 691\\ 1, 073, 849\\ 900, 530\\ 3, 212, 819\\ 3, 368, 605\\ 17, 192, 697\\ 4, 979, 146\\ 2, 035, 561\\ 8, 861, 613\\ 5, 433, 702\\ 4, 961, 170\\ 9, 841, 628\\ 7, 17, 597\\ 4, 979, 146\\ 2, 035, 561\\ 8, 861, 613\\ 5, 433, 702\\ 4, 961, 170\\ 9, 841, 628\\ 717, 597\\ 4, 979, 146\\ 2, 035, 561\\ 8, 861, 613\\ 5, 433, 702\\ 4, 961, 170\\ 9, 841, 628\\ 717, 597\\ 4, 979, 146\\ 2, 035, 561\\ 8, 861, 613\\ 5, 433, 702\\ 4, 961, 170\\ 9, 841, 628\\ 717, 597\\ 1670, 987\\ 1770, 987\\ 1670, 987\\ 1670, 987\\ 1670, 987\\ 1670, 987\\ 1670, 987\\ 1670, 987\\ 1670, 987\\ 1770, 987\\ 1000,$
South Dakota Tennessee Texas	14. 300 52, 969	413, 046           190, 890           290, 661           500, 727           108, 441           78, 182           63, 023           116, 190           213, 440           249, 046           52, 262           616, 502	$\begin{array}{c} 41, 094\\ 723, 959\\ 570, 776\\ 4, 439, 198\\ 1, 009, 301\\ 544, 670\\ 1, 142, 467\\ 1, 220, 949\\ 447, 330\\ 2, 446, 469\\ 555, 330\\ 230, 515\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 234, 344\\ 808, 103\\ 851, 014\\ 808, 103\\ 3, 339, 656\\ 223, 514\\ 0 & 166, 057\\ 267, 503\\ 994, 657\\ 0 & 416, 002\\ 1, 331, 670\\ 0 & 948, 862\\ 405, 189\end{array}$	804, 913 787, 781 314, 055 3, 400, 079 362, 512 273, 161 745, 534 609, 654 338, 306 949, 038 227, 279 257, 091	2, 553, 644 1, 997, 895 11, 732, 629 1, 703, 768 1, 062, 070 2, 218, 527 2, 941, 450 1, 415, 078 4, 976, 223 1, 783, 783 1, 509, 295	$\begin{array}{c} 10, 308\\ 543, 336\\ 652, 275\\ 5, 079, 622\\ 434, 152\\ 576, 133\\ 1, 147, 176\\ 1, 110, 436\\ 447, 956\\ 2, 758, 421\\ 379, 718\\ 315, 717\end{array}$	1, 015, 56 3, 096, 980 2, 650, 170 16, 812, 251 2, 137, 920 1, 638, 203 3, 365, 703 4, 051, 886 1, 863, 034 7, 734, 644 2, 163, 501 1, 825, 012
Total	815, 591	14, 515, 391	68, 874, 961	38, 097, 853	45, 794, 988	168, 098, 784	69, 851, 453	237, 950, 237

<sup>1</sup> Most of these projects were under construction. <sup>2</sup> For those Federal-aid projects financed with 1936 Federal-aid funds separation has not been made between projects and sections of projects within and without municipalities. All such Federal-aid projects are reported under the head "On the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities."

# TABLE 7.—Funds allotted to projects under contract on June 30, 1936—Continued ON EXTENSIONS OF THE FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY SYSTEM INTO AND THROUGH MUNICIPALITIES <sup>2</sup>

State	Federal	Public	Federal	Works 1	Program	Total	State funds	Estimated total cost
	aid, Works, 1917–33 1934–35 1		aid, 1936–37	High- ways	Grade crossings	Federal funds	iunut	
Alabama		\$722.873		\$1. 116. 423	\$1, 821, 480	\$3, 660, 776		\$3, 660, 77 <b>6</b>
Arizona		24, 299		161, 148	226,049	411, 496	\$125, 905	537, 401
Arkansas		81, 611		442, 711	255, 837	780, 159	1, 151	781, 310
California		197, 215		768, 424	1, 285, 750	2, 251, 389	1,086,285	3, 337, 674
Colorado				473, 977	241, 916	715, 893		715, 893
Connecticut		276, 107		36, 806		312, 913	13, 322	326, 235
Delaware		88, 530				88, 530		88, 530
Florida		144, 389		787, 799	347, 772	1, 279, 960	24, 315	1, 304, 275
Georgia		356, 227		13, 701		369, 928		369, 928
Idaho		219, 475		235, 574	220, 403	675, 452	13,875	689, 327
Illinois		1, 537, 990		1, 213, 700	1,466,135	4, 217, 825	25, 780	4, 243, 605
Indiana		989,914		1,462,082	1,804,721	4, 256, 717	276, 150	4, 532, 867
lowa		339,941		808,030	932,875	2, 140, 852	90,432	2, 237, 284
Kansas		08, 553		870,400	1,920,880	2,800,904	24,012	2,890,510
Kentucky		027,411		347,400	900,410	1,880,280	2, 388	1, 882, 808
Louisiana		120, 137			108, 304	200, 001		83 040
Mame		197 101		00,940	49 474	00, 940	11 951	247 426
Margaahugatta		2 208 027			207 520	2 505 547	11,001	2 505 547
Michigan		2, 290, 027		1 196 700	781 725	1 087 995		1 087 995
Minnesota		771 610		551 076	737 800	2 060 504	31 420	2 092 023
Mississippi	\$97 104	184 401		771 004	203 378	1 185 077	510	1 186 496
Missouri	- \$21, 104	1 221 016		620 366	2 188 014	4 150 106	144 397	4 204 523
Montana		40 940		354 793	437 319	833 061	111,021	833 061
Nebraska		197 074		469, 242	314, 258	980, 574	7, 173	987.747
Nevada		70 741		100,212	62, 564	133, 305	,,	133, 305
New Hampshire				91, 478	00,000	91, 478	474	91, 952
New Jersey		1, 269, 647		1, 565, 649		2,835,296	123, 755	2,959,051
New Mexico		27,858		417, 269	431.935	877.062		877,062
New York		1.291.546		3, 955, 151	2,365,290	7,611,987	403, 533	8,015,520
North Carolina		54,629		375, 179	738, 707	1, 168, 515	32, 589	1, 201, 104
North Dakota		156,011		17, 374	258, 505	431, 890		431, 890
Ohio		405,671		866, 438	278,800	1, 550, 909	96, 590	1, 647, 499
Oklahoma		132, 517		26,679	202, 270	361, 466		361, 466
Oregon		89, 505		567, 200	902, 617	1, 559, 322	228, 782	1, 788, 104
Pennsylvania		519,616		47,778	1, 313, 915	1, 881, 309	34,925	1,916,234
Rhode Island		139,983		65, 542	236, 879	442, 404		442,404
South Carolina		52,730		219, 181	186, 578	458, 489	4,043	462, 532
South Dakota		472,031		460,852	5,821	938, 704	30	938,734
Tennessee		334,863		399,619	98,775	833, 257		833, 257
Texas		585,705		2, 127, 871	1, 794, 699	4, 508, 275	319, 228	4,827,503
Utah		300		242,822	128,441	371,565	41	3/1,004
Vermont		23,021		152,070	2, 534	177,020	31,288	208,913
virginia		17, 523		102,840	420,003	000,020	80,001	091,087
Washington	10 011	420 400		200, 580	33, 449	234,029	36 400	204, 919
Wisconsin	10,011	16 957		1 001 622	60 005	1 086 085	76 519	1 163 503
Wyoming		10,207		268 797	09,090	479 990	10,018	479 947
District of Column		0,780		300,121	01,102	112,209	°	714, 241
his	1	84 600		216 224		300 933	70 476	371 409
Hawaii		04,099		216,000	71,666	288,658	2,731	291, 389
					,			
Total	37,715	17,067,772		26, 856, 840	26, 223, 022	70, 185, 349	3, 433, 225	73, 618, 574
		1		1	]			

.

<sup>2</sup> For those Federal-aid projects financed with 1936 Federal-aid funds separation has not been made between projects and sections of projects within and without municipalities. All such Federal-aid projects are reported under the head "On the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities."

### TABLE 7.--Funds allotted to projects under contract on June 30, 1936-Continued ON SECONDARY OR FEEDER ROADS

State	Federal	Public	Federal	Works	Program	Total	State	Estimated	
	aid, 1917–33	Works, 1934-35	aid, 1936–37	High- ways	Grade crossings	Federal funds	TUBUS		
Alabama		\$239, 903		\$804.218	\$1,067,538	\$2, 111, 659		\$2 111 659	
Arizona		12,500		637, 497	185, 186	835.183	\$139, 296	974, 479	
Arkansas		12,050		869, 946	380, 941	1, 262, 937	17, 291	1, 280, 228	
California		64, 221		4, 264, 520	3,451,940	7, 780, 681	198, 974	7, 979, 655	
Connecticut		177 500		823,655	198,052	1,021,707		1,021,707	
Delewere		111, 598		148,980		326, 584	27, 146	353,730	
Florida		95 349		232,000	230 135	232,000	21 605	232,006	
Georgia		435, 727		531, 393	87,011	1 054 131	19 182	1, 134, 733	
Idaho		166, 470		1.153,295	165, 849	1, 485, 614	127, 157	1,612,771	
Illinois		788,083		3,492,928	2,039,694	6, 320, 705	56, 100	6, 376, 805	
Indiana		174,742		2, 594, 231	1, 568, 156	4, 337, 129	318, 785	4, 655, 914	
lowa		37,700		1, 596, 240	1,019,250	2,653,190	111, 135	2, 764, 325	
Kausas		140,910		1,767,487	318,686	2, 232, 083	20,480	2, 252, 563	
Louisiana		113 216		2,022,803	1, 172, 004	3, 304, 200	305,036	3,669,236	
Maine		110, 210		1, 217, 446	107 371	1 324 817	210, 507	2, 335, 141	
Maryland		338, 140		172.131	660, 904	1, 171, 175	10. 544	1 181 719	
Massachusetts				50,482	647, 100	697, 582		697.582	
Michigan		860, 950		1, 924, 670	1, 816, 550	4, 602, 170	78, 580	4,680,750	
Minnesota				2,701,706	996, 373	3, 698, 079	644,603	4, 342, 682	
MISSISSIPPI		328, 305		663, 319	235, 203	1, 226, 827		1, 226, 827	
Montana		230,308		2,971,382	1, 455, 460	4,662,210	92,270	4, 754, 480	
Nebraska		153, 785		007 916	400,077	$\begin{bmatrix} 2, 154, 517 \\ 1, 407, 597 \end{bmatrix}$	20,907	2, 180, 274	
Nevada		214, 263		769.359	301 704	1 285 326	49,820	1,400,400	
New Hampshire				286, 494	118,053	404.547	13, 547	418.094	
New Jersey		213, 355		28,807	914, 767	1, 156, 929	613	1, 157, 542	
New Mexico				509, 450	535, 277	1,044,727		1,044,727	
New York		398,200		4, 307, 120	4, 248, 528	8,953,848	45, 796	8,999,644	
North Dakota		93,720		1, 103, 203	539,086	2, 396, 009	100	2,396,009	
Ohio		501 364		1 960 030	574 600	2 126 002	22 475	2 150 478	
Oklahoma		426.873		1, 708, 571	796.254	2,931,698	4 189	2 935 887	
Oregon		70, 780		1, 122, 732	458, 310	1,651,822	14, 814	1, 666, 636	
Pennsylvania		645, 623		1, 548, 199	1, 529, 181	3, 723, 003	158, 814	3, 881, 817	
Rhode Island				422, 894	182, 507	605, 401		605, 401	
South Carolina		289,766		1, 168, 577	279,065	1,737,408	33, 429	1,770,837	
Tannessee		222 550		420,924	102, 321	038, 073		638, 573	
Texas		326, 610		1,071,041	2 215, 150	8 101 083	117 051	8 649 027	
Utah		49, 853		641.571	106.071	797, 495	93, 537	891.032	
Vermont				425,033	74.872	499, 905	83, 841	583, 746	
Virginia		267, 738		1,722,513	95, 912	2,086,163	26, 339	2, 112, 502	
Washington				931, 477	1,307,360	2, 238, 837	256, 733	2, 495, 570	
west Virginia		426,737		674,862	108, 154	1, 209, 753	31,437	1, 241, 190	
Wisconsing		103, 933		2,032,633	1,734,059	3,870,625	335,878	4, 206, 503	
District of Colum-				109 155	170 649	238, 402	21.020	038, 4/2	
Hawaii		207,003		102, 155	124, 944	272, 798 331, 947	24, 030	290, 828 332, 817	
Total		9, 751, 029		64, 391, 492	37, 076, 292	111, 218, 813	4, 155, 343	115, 374, 156	

٠

### TABLE 7.-Funds allotted to projects under contract on June 30, 1936-Continued

TOTAL

State	Federal	Public	Federal	Works 1	Program	Total	State funds	Estimated total cost
	∙aid, 1917–33	Works, 1934–35	aid <b>,</b> 1936–37	High- ways	Grade crossings	Federal funds		
Alabama		\$1, 509, 850 45, 376	\$39,065 835,595	\$3, 386, 253 1 421 859	\$3, 283, 851 925 734	\$8, 219, 019 3, 228, 564	\$39,065 847 844	\$8, 258, 084 4 076 408
Arkansas		245, 507	000, 000	2, 294, 791	1. 471. 671	4, 011, 969	23, 065	4, 035, 034
California		418, 836	4,280,965	5, 754, 328	6, 991, 447	17, 445, 576	4,662,139	22, 107, 715
Colorado		6, 500	941, 106	1, 348, 561	1, 325, 765	3, 621, 932	767, 651	4, 389, 583
Connecticut		453, 705	536, 272	329, 619	78, 774	1, 398, 370	591, 704	1, 990, 074
Delaware		88, 530	219, 874	488, 732		797, 136	219, 874	1, 017, 010
Florida	\$30, 435	437, 733	287, 221	2,063,475	1, 632, 884	4, 451, 748	368, 886	4,820,634
Georgia	48,023	1,948,928	685, 131	605,945	265, 952	3, 553, 979	765, 361	4, 319, 340
Idaho	3, 207	426, 923	989, 899	1,834,473	1,027,849	4, 282, 351	832, 362	5, 114, 713
IIIIII0IS	07,124	3, 305, 042	3, 034, 812	0,932,203	5,904,780	19, 894, 032	3,910,099	23, 810, 131
Indiana	32, 832	1, 890, 050	2, 144, 121	3 490 006	2,020,021	10, 199, 202	2,000,902	10,000,104
Kaneae		228,603	3,030,430	4 601 536	5 246 258	13 154 003	3 579 258	16 797 161
Kentucky		924 104	842 337	2 401 344	2 178 006	6, 345, 791	1 206 685	7 552 476
Louisiana	256,602	576, 117	1, 176, 361	2,099,759	1,830,459	5, 939, 298	1, 759, 656	7, 698, 954
Maine		7,234	759,974	1,409,988	670, 876	2,848,072	761, 549	3,609,621
Maryland		1,056,017		306, 885	709, 378	2,072,280	51,715	2, 123, 995
Massachusetts		2,701,150	166, 968	117, 754	1, 455, 486	4, 441, 358	166, 968	4, 608, 326
Michigan		1, 613, 372	2,831,209	5, 561, 141	5, 181, 402	15, 187, 124	2, 999, 167	18, 186, 291
Minnesota		926, 224	2, 732, 599	3,824,284	3,065,042	10, 548, 149	3,917,230	14, 465, 379
Mississippi	68, 966	1,557,128		2,847,891	1, 956, 554	6, 430, 539	3,422	6, 433, 961
Missouri		2,604,369	2,791,475	3,807,576	4,876,103	14,079,523	3,039,564	17, 119, 087
Nobrocko		578,656	1,828,509	3,009,230	2, 378, 433	6 459 191	1, 324, 348 1 915 747	9,439,417
Neuraska		311 101	750 155	2,007,420	407 010	2 376 104	1,210,747	2 542 300
New Hampshire		511, 151	290, 355	430,931	364 931	1 086 217	314 359	1 400 576
New Jersey		1.669.223	1.015 111	2 132 584	1. 298, 430	6, 115, 348	1 214 064	7 329 412
New Mexico		33, 710	1, 530, 886	1, 476, 364	1, 282, 546	4, 323, 506	966, 888	5, 290, 394
New York		2,009,992	5,821,457	9,646,825	9,676,908	27, 155, 182	7,052,679	34, 207, 861
North Carolina		530, 485	1, 516, 461	3, 216, 556	1, 732, 729	6, 996, 231	1, 580, 028	8, 576, 259
North Dakota	53,009	911, 303	101, 206	967, 479	1,053,655	3,086,652	87, 331	3, 173, 983
Ohio		1, 483, 451	2, 985, 186	4, 209, 879	1, 439, 907	10, 118, 423	3, 550, 167	13, 668, 590
Oklahoma	215, 208	740, 178	1, 121, 628	3, 042, 882	2, 199, 657	7, 319, 553	1,411,502	8, 731, 055
Oregon		391,309	1,646,855	2,661,557	2,201,201	6,900,922	1, 514, 988	8,415,910
Pennsylvania		1,492,952	3, 876, 511	1,962,437	4,063,963	1, 395, 863	4, 243, 816	15, 639, 679
South Caroling		139,900	41.004	971,411	1 220 558	2 700 206	114 060	2 012 256
South Dakota		778 210	723 959	1 732 700	895 923	4 130 021	543 366	4 674 287
Tennessee	14 300	958 074	570 776	2 278 763	627, 980	4 449 893	652 275	5 102 168
Texas	52,969	1, 413, 043	4, 439, 198	9, 954, 091	8, 576, 586	24, 435, 887	5, 845, 904	30, 281, 791
Utah		158, 594	1,009,301	1.107.907	597.024	2, 872, 826	527.730	3, 400, 556
Vermont		101, 203	544,670	743, 161	350, 566	1,739,600	691, 262	2,430,862
Virginia		348, 285	1, 142, 467	2, 152, 856	1,267,108	4,910,716	1, 258, 576	6, 169, 292
Washington		116, 190	1, 220, 949	2, 176, 715	1,950,462	5, 464, 316	1, 368, 119	6, 832, 435
West Virginia	10,611	1,072,666	447, 330	1, 342, 123	446, 460	3, 319, 190	515, 882	3, 835, 072
wisconsin		369, 236	2,446,469	4, 365, 936	2,752,192	9,933,833	3, 170, 817	13, 104, 650
W yoming		58,042	555, 380	1,856,054	325,011	2,794,487	379,733	3, 174, 220
District of Columbia		84,699	920 619	618, 389	170,643	5/3, /31	94,506	608, 237
Lawall.		020, 000	280, 813	022, 181	400, 101	2, 129, 900	319, 318	2, 449, 218
Total	853, 306	41, 334, 192	68, 874, 961	129,346,185	109,094,302	349, 502, 946	77, 440, 021	426, 942, 967

# TABLE S.—Funds allotted to projects approved but not under contract on June 30, 1936

ON THE FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY SYSTEM OUTSIDE OF MUNICIPALITIES 1

		F	ederal fund	ls .			
State	Public	Federal	Works I	Program	Total	State funds	Esti- mated total
	Works, 1934–35	aid, 1936–37	High- ways	Grade crossings	Federal funds		cost
Alabama. Arizona. Arkansas. California.	\$13, 769 	\$222, 458	\$67, 614 52, 649 582, 362	\$254,901	\$81, 383 52, 649 254, 901 804, 820 95 335	\$83, 291 665 226, 680 74, 907	\$81, 383 135, 940 255, 566 1, 031, 500 170, 242
Connecticut Delaware	15, 955 237, 538	53, 533 5, 915 29, 551 662, 632	24, 424 26, 053 53, 793	79, 676 153, 842 28, 288	110, 015 29, 551 195, 850 982, 251	5, 916 29, 551 662, 632	115, 931 59, 102 195, 850 1, 644, 883
Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa	14, 360	134, 868 949, 972 83, 137	17, 200	1, 161, 010 193, 400	149, 228 2, 128, 182 83, 137 193, 400	$102, 211 \\1, 023, 971 \\83, 137 \\3, 180 \\022, 045 \\$	$\begin{array}{r} 251,439\\ 3,152,153\\ 166,274\\ 196,580\\ 277,299\\ \end{array}$
Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Moreland	4, 624 10, 573	149, 459 359, 441 109, 873	4, 718 13, 231 43, 999 424 362	127, 672 220, 466	154, 177 504, 968 330, 339 54, 572 922, 196	223, 045 419, 116 113, 586	924, 084 443, 925 54, 572 1 102, 450
Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi	12, 039 2, 261	136, 723 3, 193, 695 28, 394	262, 276 2, 095 10, 636	133, 225 181, 499	411, 038 3, 193, 695 163, 714 194, 396	136, 724 3, 193, 694 28, 393	547, 762 6, 387, 389 192, 107 194, 396
Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada	40, 403	$\begin{array}{r} 230, 419 \\ 180, 691 \\ 55, 648 \\ 228, 857 \\ 70, 736 \end{array}$	77, 633	465, 786 10, 743	736, 608180, 691144, 024228, 85770, 736	231, 166 142, 030 55, 648 35, 504 70, 803	967, 774 322, 721 199, 672 264, 361
New Hampsnire New Jersey New York North Carolina	303, 277 11,000 233,575 85,765	70, 730 574, 163 251, 335 327, 469	1, 440 378, 289	297, 900 178, 185 166, 497	70, 730 877, 440 560, 235 740, 669 630, 551	1, 065, 698 495, 894 371, 763	1,943,138 1,056,129 1,112,432 630,551
Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania	50, 000 45, 700	524,009 315,321 55,402 573,450	73, 793 27, 043	716, 773 659, 204 647, 614	1, 290, 782 1, 048, 318 55, 402 1, 293, 807	677, 226 284, 719 35, 421 741, 765	1, 968, 008 1, 333, 037 90, 823 2, 035, 572
South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee Texas.	20, 420 11, 853	95, 574 95, 331 238, 309 373, 622	50, 000 118, 348 290, 653 19, 200	34, 778 2, 830 314, 682	$\begin{array}{c} 200,772\\ 225,532\\ 531,792\\ 707,504\\ 61,316\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 116,813\\78,534\\238,309\\400,699\\20,180\end{array} $	317, 585 304, 066 770, 101 1, 108, 203 90, 496
Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia	11, 114 70, 960	6, 093 6, 093 245, 981 3, 300 300, 076	6, 696 40, 176 53, 517	45, 881 184, 979 152, 529	58, 670 430, 960 54, 590 577, 082	6, 169 245, 980 12, 658 301, 075	64, 839 676, 940 67, 248 878, 157
Wisconsin Wyoming Hawaii	8, 855 13, 518	451, 234	69, 396		451, 234 78, 251 13, 518	592, 382 672	1, 043, 616 78, 923 13, 518
Total	1, 217, 559	11, 510, 404	2, 791, 596	6, 819, 579	22, 339, 138	12, 821, 151	35, 160, 289

<sup>1</sup> For those Federal-aid projects financed with 1936 Federal-aid funds separation has not been made between projects and sections of projects within and without municipalities. All such Federal-aid projects are reported under the head "On the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities."

### BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

### TABLE 8.—Funds allotted to projects approved but not under contract on June 30, 1936—Continued

#### Federal funds Esti-Works Program State State mated funds total Public Federal Total cost aid, 1936–37 Federal Works, 1934 - 35High-Grade funds ways crossings \$147.056 \$147.056 Alabama \$147.056 \$49,953 49,953 697,764 49,953 Arizona \$2,360 575, 372 \$30, 148 Arkansas. 92, 244 700, 124 Delaware 52, 205 120,000 172, 205 27, 428 199, 633 Florida 27 776 111, 392 139, 168 139, 168 87.880 87, 880 29, 905 87,880 Georgia 29,905 16.853 46,758 98,318 Idaho 29, 913 82, 784 91, 500 68,405 98, 318 Illinois. 11,877 58, 801 153, 462 1, 088, 700 153, 462 1, 092, 960 Indiana..... Iowa 997, 200 4.260 723 Kentucky..... 101, 635 102, 358 102, 358 37, 165 61,051 260, 654 321, 705 98, 781 Louisiana 358, 870 ----Maine..... 21, 31777, 464 98, 781 34, 816 22, 336 Maryland 150,000 13.655 198, 471 778, 840 184, 816 -----Massachusetts 756, 504 778, 840 ....... 437,600 437, 600 437, 600 Michigan..... . . . . . . . . 118, 827 Minnesota 118,827 118,827 7,560 Mississippi..... 56,668 64, 228 -----64, 228 477, 427 25, 781 35, 212 519,606 42, 179 519,606 Missouri 9, 784 1, 090, 234 35, 212 71, 370 1, 054, 669 1,090,234 Nebraska..... New Jersey 35, 212 New York 71, 370 71,370 North Carolina 40,000 188, 107 73, 033 228, 107 4,585 232, 692 North Dakota 63, 198 370.916 507, 147 507, 147 Ohio ... 279, 900 275, 797 279,900 10,600 290, 500 18, 541 Oklahoma..... 300, 587 300, 587 6,249 276, 051 376, 051 627, 745 129, 618 Oregon Pennsylvania 100.000 100,000 175, 044 63, 082 335.801 116, 900 35, 656 510.845 South Carolina 30.880 93, 962 108, 285 193, 323 58, 499 105, 330 Tennessee ..... 166, 784 298, 653 166, 784 354, 361 Texas\_\_\_\_\_ 55, 708 2, 331 149, 518 3, 445 391, 804 Vermont..... 2,331 1, 114 Virginia Washington West Virginia 339, 839 9.848 180, 473 315, 070 51,965 315, 070 247, 335 2, 668 315,070 - - - - - - -18, 854 59, 177 169, 304 247, 335 Wisconsin 2,668 2.668Total..... 666, 177 3, 154, 560 6, 060, 479 9, 881, 216 654, 300 10, 535, 516

#### ON EXTENSIONS OF THE FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY SYSTEM INTO AND THROUGH MUNICIPALITIES 1

<sup>1</sup> For those Federal-aid projects financed with 1936 Federal-aid funds separation has not been made between projects and sections of projects within and without municipalities. All such Federal-aid projects are reported under the head "On the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities."

# TABLE 8.—Funds allotted to projects approved but not under contract on June 30, 1936—Continued

		F					
State	Public	Federal	Works 1	Program	Total	State funds	Esti- mated total
	Works, 1934–35	aid, 1936–37	High- ways	Grade crossings	Federal funds		cost
Alabama			\$307, 339	\$394, 219	\$701, 558		\$701, 558
Arkansas			250, 949	762, 106	1, 013, 055	\$604	1,013,659
California			626, 883		626, 883	4, 511	631, 394
Connecticut			95, 025		95,025	12, 440	107, 465
Delaware			50,454	100 007	50,454		50, 454
Florida			135, 309	182, 687	317, 996		317, 996
Georgia			207, 500	130,007	337, 507		337, 507
Idaho			75,000		75,000	20,758	95,758
Illinois	\$55,756		1, 100, 091	311, 183	1, 533, 530	12,182	1, 545, 812
Indiana			137	219 000	700 105		137
Iowa.			475, 595	312,000	188, 193	31,200	819, 401
Kansas			94,309	11 017	94,309		94, 359
Kentucky	10 000		104,470	11,817	100, 287	104 115	100, 287
Louisiana	12, 203		292,210	212,000	070,478	124,115	700, 598
Mamband	167 051		224 469	217,289	744 492	79 001	228,913
Maryland	107, 851		334,403	157 569	157 569	12,001	157 560
Massachusetts			199 900	107, 002	107,002		107, 002
Minnegate			246,770	51 995	208 505	146 791	545 276
Minesota	20 599		80,070	01,020	120,552	140,701	190 559
Mississippi	30, 363		541 415	743 146	1 284 561		1 20, 555
Montono	2,000		011, 110	110, 110	2, 204, 001	77 597	70 595
Nobrosko	12,000		320 082	203 582	537 063	130	538 109
Nevedo	10,055		168 594	200,002	168 524	105	168 524
New Hampshire			58 600		58 600	6 038	64 725
New Lampshire			25 101	107 505	142 606	0,000	142 606
New Movico			03 636	107,000	93 636		03 636
New York			76,000	00 000	166,000		166,000
North Carolina			312 454	33, 199	345 576	16 173	361 740
North Dekote	32 787		463 838	367 430	864 055	10, 110	864 055
Ohio	02,101		1 028 826	906 179	1.934.998	136 081	2 071 070
Oklahoma			383 651	148 750	532 410	100,001	532 410
Oregon			159,802	67, 471	227, 363	600	227, 963
Panneylvania			648 662	182 661	831 323	4 038	835 361
South Carolina			352 738	96 034	448 772	743	449 515
South Dakota	10 760		123,051	50,001	142, 820	110	142,820
Tannessee	10,100		257 438	237 597	495,035		495 035
Taras	500		146 485	1 375 311	1 522 296	60 487	1 582 783
Utah	32 292		116,000	100,000	248, 292	103, 684	351,976
Vermont	2 741		110,000	100,000	2,741	10 935	13,676
Virginia	2, 141		376.895	134, 083	510,978	1,907	512, 885
Washington	2,676		202, 256	113, 630	318, 562	59, 623	378, 185
West Virginia	9 941		333, 348	110,000	343, 289	00,020	343, 289
Wisconsin	5, 541		74,990	449 315	524, 305		524, 305
Wyoming	5 048		1,000	110,010	5,948	4,887	10, 835
District of Columbia	0, 010			238, 616	238, 616	14,648	253, 264
pietres of continuitation							
Total	388, 506		11, 051, 915	8, 707, 338	20, 147, 759	922, 168	21, 069, 927
		1					

### ON SECONDARY OR FEEDER ROADS

### BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

TABLE	8Fund	s allotted	to	projects	approved	but	not	under	contract	on	June
				30, 1936	Continu	ued					

56—Con
TOTAL

		F	ederal fund	ls			
State	Public Works,	Federal aid,	Works I	Program	Total Federal	State funds	Esti- mated total cost
	1934–35	1936–37	High- ways	Grade crossings	funds		*
Alabama	\$13, 769		\$374, 953	\$541, 275	\$929, 997	\$83 201	\$929, 997 185, 893
Arkonege	30 147		343 194	1 592 379	1 965 720	3 629	1.969.349
California	50, 147	\$999 458	1 200 245	1, 032, 013	1 431 703	231 101	1 662 894
Colorado		9222, 400	1, 200, 210		95 335	74 907	170, 242
Connecticut		5 915	119 449	79.676	205,040	18, 356	223, 396
Delaware	52 205	29,551	50,454	120,000	252, 210	56,979	309, 189
Florida	15, 956	20,001	189, 138	447, 920	653, 014	00,010	653,014
Georgia	325, 417	662,632	261, 293	158, 296	1, 407, 638	662, 632	2,070,270
Idaho	44, 265	134, 868	75,000	200,200	254, 133	139,822	393, 955
Illinois	55, 756	949, 972	1, 147, 204	1,607,198	3, 760, 130	1.036.153	4, 796, 283
Indiana	11,877	83, 137	82,921	58,801	236, 736	83, 137	319,873
Iowa	,		567.095	1. 503, 200	2.070.295	38, 706	2, 109, 001
Kansas		149, 459	99,077		248, 536	223,045	471, 581
Kentucky	5,346	359, 441	269, 337	139, 489	773, 613	419, 116	1, 192, 729
Louisiana	12, 263	109, 873	552, 868	553, 518	1, 228, 522	274, 866	1, 503, 388
Maine	10, 573		76, 940	294, 753	382, 266		382, 266
Maryland	202,667	90,615	908, 825	649, 328	1, 851, 435	265, 910	2, 117, 345
Massachusetts	34, 376	136, 723	1,018,779	157, 562	1, 347, 440	136, 724	1, 484, 164
Michigan		3, 193, 695	128,800	437,600	3, 760, 095	3, 193, 694	6, 953, 789
Minnesota		28, 394	348, 866	303, 876	681, 136	175, 174	856, 310
Mississippi	40, 404		157, 274	181, 499	379, 177		379, 177
Missouri	40, 403	230, 419	1, 018, 842	1, 251, 111	2, 540, 775	231, 166	2,771,941
Montana	2,000	180, 691			182, 691	219, 557	402, 248
Nebraska	23, 183	55, 648	424, 397	1, 268, 993	1, 772, 221	55,787	1,828,008
Nevada		228,807	168, 524		397, 381	30, 004	432,880
New Hampsnire		70,736	58,690	107 505	129,420	1 005 000	200, 337
New Jersey	303,270	574, 163	10,404	107, 505	1,000,048	1,000,098	2, 121, 040
New Mexico	11 000	951 925	76,000	460 170	708 505	405 904	1 204 200
North Caroling	272 575	201,000	313 804	200, 170	1 314 359	302 521	1 706 873
North Dekote	181 750	521,405	1 213 044	606 959	2 001 753	002, 021	2 001 753
Obio	50,000	524 009	1 028 826	1 902 845	3 505 680	823 907	4 329 587
Oklahoma	6 250	315 321	475 984	1,083,760	1 881 315	284 719	2, 166, 034
Oregon	0, 200	55 402	259,892	67, 471	382, 765	312,072	694, 837
Pennsylvania	220, 744	573, 450	675, 705	1, 166, 076	2,635,975	862, 703	3, 498, 678
South Carolina	83, 502	95, 574	433, 618	130, 812	743, 506	153, 212	896, 718
South Dakota	31, 622	95, 331	241.399		368, 352	78, 534	446.886
Tennessee		238, 309	606, 590	348.712	1, 193, 611	238, 309	1,431,920
Texas	500	373, 622	271,014	1,883,317	2, 528, 453	516, 894	3,045,347
Utah	32, 292	61, 316	116,000	100,000	309,608	132, 864	442, 472
Vermont.	2,741	6,093	9,027	45, 881	63, 742	18, 218	81,960
Virginia	9,848	245, 981	526, 412	499, 536	1, 281, 777	299, 852	1, 581, 629
Washington	13,790	3,300	242, 431	428, 701	688, 222	72, 281	760, 503
West Virginia	99, 756	300,076	446, 042	321, 832	1, 167, 706	301, 075	1, 468, 781
Wisconsin	2,668	451, 234	74, 990	449, 315	978, 207	592, 382	1, 570, 589
Wyoming	14,803		69, 396		84, 199	5, 559	89,758
District of Columbia				238,616	238, 616	14,648	253, 264
Hawaii	13, 518				13, 518		13, 518
Total	2, 272, 242	11, 510, 404	16, 998, 071	21, 587, 396	52, 368, 113	14, 397, 619	66, 765, 732
	1		1	1			1

	Public	Federal-aid	Works 1	Program	
State	outhorizo	authoriza-		1	Tetel
State	tions for	tions for		Grada	Total
	1934-35	1936-37 1	Highways	crossings	
	1004 00			crossings	
Alabama	\$63.060	\$5, 169, 222	\$389, 909	\$200.367	\$5 822 558
Arizona	56, 466	1, 944, 610	118, 348	282, 953	2, 402, 377
Arkansas	132, 773	4, 275, 929	57, 183	165,801	4, 631, 686
California	72, 812	4, 678, 303	173, 397	321,048	5, 245, 560
Colorado	82,752	2,661,562	1, 371, 730	1, 136, 939	5, 252, 983
Connecticut	218, 588	1,040,726	965, 646	1, 554, 233	3, 779, 193
Delaware	104 000	969, 325	361, 125	298, 239	1, 628, 689
Georgia	134,932	2, 769, 195	280,711	131, 590	3, 916, 428
Idaho	1, 330, 009	1 660 004	920 365	646 630	14, 180, 192
Illinois	208,009	5, 393, 607	3, 567	2 745 200	8 350 383
Indiana	69, 915	3, 148, 940	22.193	28, 967	3, 270, 015
Iowa	59, 599	3, 242, 329	832, 123	743, 754	4, 877, 805
Kansas	40, 201	3, 219, 024	10, 244		3, 269, 469
Kentucky.	107, 254	2, 860, 697	818, 210	1, 339, 602	5, 125, 763
Louisiana	204, 411	1, 963, 504	237,802	829, 490	3, 235, 207
Maine	83, 441	1,226,569	70, 122	461, 232	1, 841, 364
Maryland	528, 336	1,960,255	0 106 259	703,045	3, 726, 664
Michigan	106 540	016 110	2, 120, 302	2, 097, 784	8, 208, 889
Minnesota	506 865	3 573 986	597 015	1 872 255	1, 800, 424
Mississinni	108, 524	4 387 636	343 466	1 103 421	5 943 947
Missouri	67.011	3, 893, 055	122, 776	14, 940	4, 097, 782
Montana	104, 949	2, 576, 984	54,078	121, 551	2,857,562
Nebraska	52, 796	3, 558, 536	544,048	131, 475	4, 286, 855
Nevada	75, 154	1, 621, 847	312, 676	203, 587	2, 213, 264
New Hampshire	36, 766	780, 174	440,057	457, 553	1,714,550
New Jersey	411, 979	1,719,232	926,817	2, 577, 892	5, 635, 920
New Mexico	257, 421	2,032,626	577,047	239,410	3, 106, 504
New YORK	241,639	0,200,317	919, 719	3,440,111	10,807,780
North Dakota	601 303	3 817 063	980, 222	1 507 525	6,456,305
Ohio	209 379	5 622 009	2 250 579	5 097 145	13 179 119
Oklahoma	279, 136	4,002,115	912, 729	1, 501, 528	6, 695, 508
Oregon	187, 704	2,072,209	100,080	65, 531	2, 425, 524
Pennsylvania	624, 240	6, 129, 848	6, 589, 117	6, 242, 738	19, 585, 943
Rhode Island	13, 848	1, 218, 750	1,863	45, 683	1, 280, 144
South Carolina	284, 247	3, 244, 668	526,716	1, 598, 586	5, 654, 217
South Dakota	258,067	3, 259, 357	620, 459	2,301,389	6, 439, 272
Tennessee	142, 389	4, 182, 247	1,076,813	2,927,287	8, 328, 736
Texas.	170,824	8, 251, 298	104,474	169, 693	8,755,289
Vermont	8 443	614 709	410, 109	210 538	2, 393, 222
Virginia	382 232	3 112 444	414 782	1 903 703	5 813 181
Washington	83, 993	2, 227, 697	164, 393	638, 174	3, 114, 257
West Virginia	162,990	1,925,768	443, 247	1,909,645	4, 441, 650
Wisconsin	80, 873	2, 884, 665	125, 688	1, 752, 026	4, 843, 252
Wyoming	77, 463	1, 587, 229	237, 458	980, 465	2, 882, 615
District of Columbia	60, 233		30, 498	1, 545	92, 276
Hawaii	10, 298	988, 237	303, 852	1	1, 302, 388
Total	9, 791, 764	147, 936, 519	33, 409, 630	62, 099, 012	253, 236, 925
	1		1	1	

 
 TABLE 9.—Unobligated balances of funds available for allotment to new projects on June 30, 1936

1 There are no unobligated balances of 1917-33 Federal-aid funds.

# TABLE 10.—Mileage of projects completed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1936, by funds

ON THE FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY SYSTEM OUTSIDE OF MUNICIPALITIES 1

	Federal funds used in financing									
State	1	Public		Works I	Program					
	Federal aid, 1917–33	Works, 1934–35	Federal aid, 1936–37	Highways	Grade crossings	Total				
Alabama	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles				
Arizona		42.4	70.0			112.4				
Arkansas		102.8		19.5	19.0	141.3				
California		73.9	9.5	2.9	.1	86.4				
Colorado		50.3	69.4	9.0	9.2	137.9				
Connecticut		23.4				23.4				
Delaware		3.2				3.2				
Florida		31.1	17.9		.2	49.2				
Georgia		85.7	10.7	1 9		90.4				
	10.0	57 0	10.0	18.0		140, 9				
Indiana	20.0	140 1	54 2	2.6		228 1				
Towa	51.2	115.9	112.8	2.0		228.9				
Kansas		123.8	207.7	36.9		368.4				
Kentucky		63.0	74.1	1.8		138.9				
Louisiana		20.4	23.4			43.8				
Maine.		16.9	10.8	. 5		28, 2				
Maryland		10.9				10.9				
Massachusetts		20.2				20.2				
Michigan	.8	139.0	52.0	20.4	.1	212.3				
Minnesota		178.7	115.2	36.1	1.1	331.1				
Mississippi	8.0	159.5	040 1	15.0		170.2				
Missouri		107.0	160 2	10.0	5	300. D 966. 6				
Montana		95.0	109.3	4 7	3.8	175.2				
Neveda		181 4	113.5	7.6		303 1				
New Hampshire		13.9	3.8			17.7				
New Jersey		14.5	1.0			15.5				
New Mexico		67.8	74.1	38.4	19.0	199.3				
New York	6.0	118.2	.1	.1		124.4				
North Carolina		190.6	84.6		.2	275.4				
North Dakota	1.8	274.1		31.8	.6	308.3				
Ohio		69.8				70.9				
Okianoma	13.1	90.1	31, 0	11.0	2.2	79 9				
Dregon		75 5	4 20.2	• •		70.7				
Bhode Island		14.3	1.2			14.3				
South Carolina		55.6		6.1		61.7				
South Dakota		257.8		117.9	.5	376.2				
Tennessee		61.8	24, 5	. 6		86.9				
Texas		434.1	266.2	62.8	8.4	771.5				
Utah		30.3	23.3	4.5	. 2	58.3				
Vermont		17.7	8.3	.8	.5	27.3				
Virginia		103.1	4.1	14.9	.1	122. 2				
wasnington		23.6	25.0	2.8	.3	51.7				
west virginia		22.1	2.9			20.0				
wisconsin		80.8 917 9	20.0	.9		107, 3- 205 5				
W youllig		217.3 90 A	110.0			20 R				
114 w all		20.0				20. U				
Total	94. 5	4, 426. 0	2, 289. 3	479.0	66.8	7, 355. 6				

<sup>1</sup> For those Federal-aid projects financed with 1936 Federal-aid funds separation has not been made between projects and sections of projects within and without municipalities. All such Federal-aid projects are reported under the head "On the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities."

# TABLE 10.—Mileage of projects completed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1936, by funds—Continued

0N	EXTENSIONS	OF	$\mathbf{THE}$	FEDERAL-AID	HIGHWAY	SYSTEM	INTO	AND	THROUGH
				MUNICI	PALITIES				

		Federal funds used in financing					
State /	Fodorolaid	Public	Dederalaid	Works			
	1917-33	1917-33 Works, 1934-35	1936–37	Highways Grade crossings		Total	
Alabama	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles 27.0	
Arizona		2.0		8.8		10.8	
Arkansas		18.2		18.1		36.3	
California		17.4		10.1	0 1	17 5	
Colorado				13 5	0.1	14 4	
Connecticut		1.6				1.6	
Delaware		.7				.7	
Florida		1.9				1.9	
Georgia.		17.9		. 8		18.7	
Idaho		3.9		3.6		7.5	
Illinois		12.1		.7		12.8	
Indiana		31.4		. 3		31.7	
Iowa		24.5		. 6		25.1	
Kansas		14.8		1.1		15.9	
Kentucky		14.2		. 5		14.7	
Louisiana		21, 0				21.0	
Maine		7.0				7.0	
Maryland		2.1				2.1	
Massachusetts		5.8				5.8	
Michigan		19.0		5.3		24.3	
Minnesota		20.3		2.8		23.1	
Mississippi		28, 1		.1		28.2	
Missouri		13.2		.1		13.3	
Montana		8.7		.6		9.3	
Nebraska		8.7		<b>-</b>		8.7	
Nevada		. 6		.9	.4	1.9	
New Hampshire		2.8				2.8	
New Jersey		4.1				4.1	
New Mexico		4.1		8.6		12.7	
New York		24.6		3.3		27.9	
North Carolina		13.8		.5		14.3	
North Dakota		27.7		.3	.2	28.2	
Ohio		18.0		.9		18.9	
Oklahoma		12.8		.6		13.4	
Oregon		10.8				10.8	
Pennsylvania		15.0				15.0	
Rhode Island		1.0				1.0	
South Dahota		10.8		2.4		18.2	
Dem ages		19.8				20.3	
Tennessee		27.0		2.0		10.0	
I exas		37.0		4.2	.1	04.0	
Vermont		14.2		4.9		19.1	
Virginio		19.1		1 9		90.9	
Washington		7.9		4.9		20.2	
Wost Virginia		1.4		1.2		11,4 / K	
Wisconsin		10.6		1 5		91 1	
Wyomlng		2 1		20.0		21.1 92.1	
District of Columbia		0.1		20.0		40.1 3.2	
Pistrict of Columbia							
Total		614. 4		139.6	1.1	755, 1	

<sup>1</sup> For those Federal-aid projects financed with 1936 Federal-aid funds separation has not been made between projects and sections of projects within and without municipalities. All such Federal-aid projects are reported under the head "On the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities."

### BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

### TABLE 10.—Mileage of projects completed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1936, by funds—Continued

ON SECONDARY OR FEEDER ROADS

	Federal funds used in financing						
State		Public		Works			
	Federal aid, 1917-33	Works, 1934–35	Federal aid, 1936–37	Highways	Grade crossings	Total	
43.3	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	
Alabama		80,1		55 6		80.1	
Arkansas		120.2		4 3	0.0	120.2	
California		72.7		57.7	1.2	131.6	
Colorado		70.3		32.3		102.6	
Connecticut		16.0				16.0	
Delaware		19.9				19.9	
Florida		28.2		5.5		33.7	
Georgia		67.5				67.5	
Idano		60.0				60.0	
Indiana		312.7		20.1		338.8	
Iowa		286.9		63.5		350 4	
Kansas		71.5		22.9		94.4	
Kentucky		163.3		46.7		210.0	
Louisiana		41.4				41.4	
Maine		6.9		6.1		13.0	
Maryland		28.2				28.2	
Massachusetts	•••••	20.4				20.4	
Minnesote		01.1		115 4	.0	01.0 220.2	
Miseissinni		113.5		2 5	.4	229.0 50.0	
Missouri		401.8		332.8		734 6	
Montana		62.6		32.5	.5	95.6	
Nebraska		60.6				60.6	
Nevada		41.8		24.1		65.9	
New Hampshire		5.8		1.3		7.1	
New Jersey		1.7				1.7	
New Mexico		46.9		27.4		(4.3	
North Carolina		140 6		21 1		162.0	
North Dakota		215 7		21.1		215 7	
Ohio.		97.9		.3		98.2	
Oklahoma		54.3		4.1	.2	58.6	
Oregon		21.4				21.4	
Pennsylvania		138.7		3.7	. 5	142.9	
Rhode Island		11.1				11.1	
South Carolina	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	141.9		12 5		141.9	
		223.3		13.0		230.8 51.9	
Texas		184 9		114 7		299 6	
Utah		52.7		12.9		65.6	
Vermont		14.0	<b>4</b>	2.8	1.2	18.0	
Virginia		56.7		256.3	1.2	314.2	
Washington		24.1		26.4		50.5	
west Virginia		24.3				24.3	
W ISCONSIN		64.5		13.6	.4	78.5	
W yourning		120.4				120.4	
Hawaji		3.3 1 A		2.0		0.9 1 R	
						4.0	
Total		4, 342. 0		1, 329. 6	7.3	5, 678. 9	

# TABLE 10.—Mileage of projects completed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 1936, by funds—Continued

	Federal funds used in financing							
State		Public		Works H				
	Federal aid, 1917–33	Works, 1934-35	Federal aid, 1936-37	Highways	Grade crossings	Total		
4 Jahama	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles 234 0		
Alabama		113.3	70.0	64.5	0.6	248.4		
Arbansas		250.3		41.8	19.3	311.4		
California		164.1	9,5	60.6	1.3	235. 5		
Colorado		121.5	69.4	54.8	9.2	254.9		
Connecticut		41.0				41.0		
Delaware		23.8				23.8		
Florida		61.2	17.9	5.5	. 2	84.8		
Georgia		171.2	10.7	.7		182.6		
Idaho	10.6	114.1	75.3	8.4		208.4		
Illinois	23.0	382.7	17.3	44.8		467.8		
Indiana	31.2	209.1	54.2	2.9		297.4		
Iowa		427.3	112.8	64.3		604.4		
Kansas		210.1	207.7	60.9		478.7		
Kentucky		240.6	74.1	48.9		363.6		
Louisiana		82.8	23.4			106.2		
Maine		30.9	10.8	6.5		48.2		
Maryland		41.2				41.2		
Massachusetts		46.4				40.4		
Michigan	.8	209.1	52.0	20.0	, · é	288. Z		
Minnesota		312.4	115.2	104.4	1.5	083.0		
Mississippi	8.0	235.1		0.0		248.4		
Missouri		022. 0 166 0	242.1	24.2	1.0	271 5		
Montana		100.9	108.0	04.0	1.0	011. U 944 5		
Nebraska		104.0	112 5	29.5	0.0	370.0		
Nevada		220.0	110.0	1 3	1.1	27 6		
New Hampshire		20.2	1.0	1.0		21.3		
New Jersey		118 7	74 1	74 4	19 1	286.3		
New Mexico	6.0	194 3	1 1	4 1	10.1	434.5		
New 101K	0.0	345.0	84 6	21 6	. 5	451.7		
North Carolina	1 8	517 6	01.0	32.0	.8	552.2		
Obio	1.0	185.6		2.4		188.0		
Orlahoma	13 1	157 1	31.5	16.3	2.4	220.4		
Oregon	10.1	84.8	20.2			105.0		
Pennsylvania		229.1	4.2	3.8	.5	237.6		
Rhode Island		26.9				26.9		
South Carolina		213.2		8.6		221.8		
South Dakota		500.9		131.9	.5	633.3		
Tennessee		124.0	24.5	3.4		151.9		
Texas		656.1	266.2	204.7	8.4	1, 135. 4		
Utah		97.1	23.3	22.4	. 2	143.0		
Vermont		<sup>3</sup> 35.8	8.3	3.6	1.6	49.3		
Virginia		177.8	4.1	273.0	1.7	456.6		
Washington		54.9	25.0	33.4	.3	113.6		
West Virginia		50.9	2.9			53.8		
Wisconsin		169.9	20.6	16.1	.3	206.9		
Wyoming		340.8	178.0	20.0	.2	539.0		
District of Columbia		4.1		5.1		9.2		
Hawaii		25.2				20.2		
Total	. 94.5	9, 382. 4	2, 289. 3	1, 948. 2	75.2	13, 789. 6		

TOTAL

### BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

### TABLE 11.-Mileage of projects under contract on June 30, 1936, by funds 1 ON THE FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY SYSTEM OUTSIDE OF MUNICIPALITIES 2

	Federal funds used in financing						
State		Public	The desired and d	Works 1			
	1917-33	Works 1934–35	1936–37	Highways	Grade crossings	Total	
	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	
Alabama		25.0		56.3	1.6	82.9	
Arizona		.2	31.5	54.3	11.5	97.5	
Arkansas		9.2		75.6	21.0	105.8	
California		. 1	1/0.0	22.4	8.0	208.3	
Colorado			48.0		12.4	14.0	
Deleware			24.0	10.1	. 1	44.5	
Delaware	6.6		19.4	10.1	5 4	44.0	
Georgia	0.0	58.0	100 1	17.5	5	167 0	
Idaho	9.5	1.0	110.4	34.8	8.5	157 2	
Illipois	<u><u><u></u><u></u><u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u></u></u></u>	91	111.9	87.8	7.5	225. 9	
Indiana	5.3	26.2	147.4	24.0	5.1	208.0	
Iowa			340.4	36.9	19.8	397.1	
Kansas		. 9	637.8	168.8	23.9	831.4	
Kentucky		3.3	86.6	10, 2	1.5	101.6	
Louisiana	.4	18.9	78.3	14.6	6.3	118.5	
Maine.			47.0	2.7	5.5	55.2	
Maryland		15. 2		1.6		16.8	
Massachusetts		2.1	3.1	. 5	1.4	7.1	
Michigan		31.6	212.9	127.7	28.2	400.4	
Minnesota		9.8	355.7	40.9	31.1	444.1	
Mississippi		40.9	109 2	10.2	6.5	107.2	
Massouri		19.0	198.0	4.9	16.5	229.0	
Nobreeke		14.1	240.0	132.2	81.0	469 5	
Nevede		11.1	86.7	102.2	51.0	87.5	
New Hampshire			18.3	1.8	1.1	21.2	
New Jersev		2.9	28.9	7.5	1.0	40.3	
New Mexico		1.4	128.8	19.9	6.7	156.8	
New York		4.6	205.1	12.2	7.6	229.5	
North Carolina		21.1	355.5	41.1	4.5	422.2	
North Dakota		48.9	.1	83.7	29.2	161.9	
Ohio		5.3	85.4	19.5	. 7	110.9	
Oklahoma	23.1	2.1	62.2	95.3	19.3	202.0	
Oregon		5.0	86.0	16.1	2.3	109.4	
Pennsylvania		8.7	112.6	5.9	1.3	134.0	
Knode Island				0.9	. (	55.0	
South Debote		12 5	159 1	144.0	30.8	356.3	
Toppossoo		3 1	41.6	35.6	57	86.0	
Taras	8 1	11 6	474 4	217 2	50.8	762.1	
Utah	0.1		96.1	25.4	1.6	123.4	
Vermont		1.7	51.0	4.2	1.2	58.1	
Virginia		2.5	89.8	9.4	4.6	106.3	
Washington		.3	88.8	41.6	7.2	137.9	
West Virginia		4.5	42.3	16.3	1.5	64.6	
Wisconsin		8.7	177.8	60.3	5.9	252.7	
Wyoming		1, 1	96.6	47.2	.8	145. 7	
Hawaii		4.2	8.2	5.5	1.6	19. 5	
Total	55.6	463.3	5, 742. 3	2, 014. 4	586.6	8, 862. 2	

<sup>1</sup> Most of these projects are under construction. <sup>2</sup> For those Federal-aid projects financed with 1936 Federal-aid funds separation has not been made between projects and sections of projects within and without municipalities. All such Federal-aid projects are reported under the head "On the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities."

### TABLE 11.—Mileage of projects under contract on June 30, 1936, by funds—Con. ON EXTENSIONS OF THE FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY SYSTEM INTO AND THROUGH MUNICIPALITIES <sup>2</sup>

	Federal funds used in financing						
State	E. J	Public	D. J	Works 1	Program		
	1917-33	Works 1934–35	1936-37	Highways	Grade crossings	Total	
Alabama	Miles	Miles 9.5	Miles	Miles 12. 7	Miles 4.8	Miles 27. 0	
Arizona		.6		8.7	. 5	9.8	
Arkansas		1.4		33.4	. 5	35.3	
California		. 9		6.7	1.4	9.0	
Colorado				8.6	.4	9.0	
Connecticut		2.7		. 2		2.9	
Delaware		.5				. 5	
Florida		1.6		10.4	1.4	13.4	
Georgia		11.7		1.0		12.7	
		. 0		18.7	1.0	20.3	
Inflions		4.4		21, 4	2.8	28.0	
Lowe		14.3		23.0	3.8	41.1	
Towa		0.2		12.9	0.0	21.9	
Kansas		1.0		9.0	4.1	14.4	
Louisiano		3.8		0.0	3.0	13.3	
Maina					.0	1.0	
Moryland				4. I	1	2.1	
Maggaphicatte					. 1	.9	
Michigan		1.9		38.3	1 1	40.6	
Minnesoto		1.0		16.1	7.7	97.8	
Mississinni		6.9		34 1	6 1	46 4	
Missouri		3.5		6.2	2.3	12.0	
Montana		0.0		6.3	2.0	6.5	
Nebraska		3.4		50.8	6 2	60.4	
Nevada		6				. 6	
New Hampshire				2.2		2.2	
New Jersev		2.3		6.2		8.5	
New Mexico		.8		37.4	.7	38.9	
New York		3. 5		20.3	1.5	25.3	
North Carolina		.4		4, 9	2.7	8.0	
North Dakota		6.9		2.9	1.3	11.1	
Ohio		2.7		8.9	.1	11.7	
Oklahoma		.8		2.8	. 7	4.3	
Oregon		. 2		14.2	1.5	15.9	
Pennsylvania		1.9		. 6	2.2	4.7	
Rhode Island		1.1		1.1	.3	2.5	
South Carolina		1.0		6.7	1.7	9.4	
South Dakota		20.4		47.9	1.0	69.3	
Tennessee		1.6		10.3	. 5	12.4	
Texas		2.5		116.7	6, 9	126.1	
Utah				11. 2	. 4	11.6	
Vermont		1.4		2.0		3.4	
Virginia		. 5		3. 5	1.1	5.1	
Washington				2.7	. 3	3.0	
West Virginia		5.1		4.5		9.6	
Wisconsin				24.3	.7	25.0	
w yoming		. 3		21.1	.6	22. 0	
District of Columbia		1.5		2.5		4.0	
Bawall				3.5	. 2	3.7	
Total		134.7		685.5	76.4	896.6	

<sup>2</sup> For those Federal-aid projects financed with 1936 Federal-aid funds separation has not been made between projects and sections of projects within and without municipalities. All such Federal-aid projects are reported under the head "On the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities."

(	ON SECON	DARY OR	FEEDER	ROADS					
	Federal funds used in financing—								
State		Public		Works Program					
	1917-33	Works 1934–35	Federal aid 1936–37	Highways	Grade crossings	Total			
	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles			
Alabama		6.5		36.2	5.1	47.8			
Arizona				68.3	.9	69.2			
Arkansas		.5		148.9	0.3	100.7			
California				143.0	4.8	148.0			
Colorado				33.0	1.2	34.0			
Delement		2.0		97 1		2.0			
Delaware				51.2	1.6	60.0			
r Iorida		47.9		34.3	1.0	82.0			
John John John John John John John John		47.2		106.6	24	119.1			
Illinoia		21.0		261.0	6.0	200.8			
Indiona		19 2		181.9	12.6	255.0			
Inglana		10.2		245 5	18.2	267.0			
Konsoc		14.7		135.6	10.2	151 4			
Kansas		11.7		247 0	2.0	260 7			
Louisiono		7.0		127 4	1.0	146.2			
Maine		1.0		55.8	1.0	56.3			
Maryland		10.6		9.7	3.9	32.5			
Massachusette		15.0		6	23	2.0			
Michigan		46.0		86.6	2.0	135 5			
Minnesota		10. 5		555.8	40.2	596.0			
Mississippi		24 3		81.9	15.1	121.3			
Missouri		7 2		391.4	1.6	400.2			
Montana		14.5		76.3	1.0	92.1			
Nebraska		30.5		120.0	3.3	153.8			
Nevada		12.1		55 9	.6	68.6			
New Hampshire		12.1		15.3	1 .07	16.0			
New Jersey		1.1		4.3	1 1 i	6.5			
New Mexico				34.8	1.0	35.8			
New York		7.8		117.3	4.9	130.0			
North Carolina				164.8	3.4	168. 2			
North Dakota		64.1		47.4	.8	112.3			
Ohio		29.0		55.3	3.8	88.1			
Oklahoma		8.4		199.9	6.6	214.9			
Oregon		1.2		115.7	3.4	120.3			
Pennsylvania		55.8		73.3	6.9	136.0			
Rhode Island				12.1	.8	12.9			
South Carolina		26.5		120.7	2.8	150.0			
South Dakota		23. 5		63.1	7.5	94.1			
Tennessee		13.4		54.8	2.5	70.7			
Texas		18.5		559.3	26.1	603. 9			
Utah		4.0		79.6	.8	84.4			
Vermont				12.0	1.4	13.4			
Virginia		29.9		714.4	1.2	745.5			
Washington				67.0	5.3	72.3			
West Virginia		22.5		30.8	. 3	53.6			
Wisconsin		.4		225.9	5.6	231.9			
Wyoming				39.4		39.4			
District of Columbia				.9	.1	1.0			

-----

- - -

 $617.\ 2$ 

1.9

Wyoming\_\_\_\_\_ District of Columbia\_\_\_\_\_ Hawaii\_\_\_\_\_

.

Total.....

-----

.....

TABLE 11.—Mileage of projects under contract on June 30, 1936, by funds—Con.

39.4 1.0 2.6

6, 950. 0

.1

222.3

----

6, 110. 5

TABLE	11 - Mileage	of	projects	under	contract	on	June	30,	1936,	by	funds-
				Cont	inued						

TOTAL

	Federal funds used in financing							
State	Federal aid	Public Fodoral aid		Works I				
	1917-33	Works 1934–35	1936–37	Highways	Grade crossings	Total		
	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles		
Alabama		41.1		105.2	11.4	157.7		
Arizona		.8	31.5	131.3	12.9	176.5		
Arkansas		11.1	170.0	257.9	27.8	296.8		
California		1.6	176.6	172.7	14.7	365.6		
Connectiont		4 7	48.0	42.0	13.9	104.2		
Delewere		94.7	14.0	47 2		19.0		
Florida	6.6	12.2	18.4	72.8	8.4	118.4		
Georgia	0.0	117.7	100.1	42.9	1.0	261.7		
Idaho	2.5	4.6	110.4	160.1	12.0	289.6		
1llinois	9.6	45.4	111.9	371.1	16.3	554.3		
Indiana	5.3	58.7	147.4	228.2	21.5	461.1		
lowa		8.5	340.4	295.3	41.8	686.0		
Kansas		16.9	637.8	313.4	29.1	997.2		
Kentucky		18.7	86.6	263.7	6.6	375.6		
Louisiana	.4	26.6	78.3	152.0	8.7	266.0		
Maine			47.0	60.0	0.1	113.0		
Maryland		30.0		11.3	3.3	00. 2 11 1		
Massachusetts		2.9	0,1	959.5	4.0 21.4	576.5		
Minnesota		13.7	355 7	612.8	85 7	1 067 9		
Miesissinni		77.4	000.1	191 2	86.3	354.9		
Missouri		30.0	198.3	402.5	10.4	641.2		
Montana		23. 3	243.5	151.2	18.0	436.0		
Nebraska		47.9	242.2	303.1	90.5	683.7		
Nevada		12.7	86.7	55.9	1.4	156.7		
New Hampshire			18.3	19.3	1.8	39.4		
New Jersey		6.3	28.9	17.9	2.2	55.3		
New Mexico		2.3	128.8	92.1	8.3	231.5		
New York		15.9	205.1	149.8	14.0	384.8		
North Carolina		21.5	355.5	210.8	177.0	098.4		
Obio		119.8	85.1	134.1	1 5	250.0		
Oklehome		11 3	69.9	208.0	26.6	491.2		
Oregon	20.1	6.4	86.0	146.0	7 2	245.6		
Pennsylvania		66.5	112.6	79.7	16.4	275.2		
Rhode Island		1.1		19.1	1.8	22.0		
South Carolina		35.7	8.7	156.5	13.5	214.4		
South Dakota		57.4	158.1	255.9	48.3	519.7		
Tennessee		18.1	41.6	100.8	8.6	169.1		
Texas	8.1	32.7	474.4	893.1	83.8	1, 492. 1		
Utah		4.4	96.1	116.1	2.8	219.4		
vermont		3.1	51.0	18.2	2.6	74.9		
virginia.		32.8	89.8	127.4	0.9	806.9		
Washington		201	35.8	111.3 51.6	12.8	213, Z		
Wiscongin		0⊿, I 0, 1	42.3	310 5	12.8	121.8 500 B		
Wyoming		7.1 1.4	96.6	107 7	1 4	207 1		
District of Columbia		15	00.0	3 4	1	5.0		
Hawaii		6.1	8.2	9.0	2.5	25.8		
Total	55.6	1, 215. 2	5, 742. 3	8, 810. 4	885.3	16, 708. 8		
# TABLE 12.—Mileage of projects approved but not under contract on June 30, 1936, by funds

#### ON THE FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY SYSTEM OUTSIDE OF MUNICIPALITIES 1

		Federal f	unds used in	financing	
State	Public	Federal	Works 1	Program	
	Works, 1934-35	aid, 1936–37	Highways	Grade crossings	Total
4 Jahama	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles
Arizona			2.0		2.0
Arizonac			4.0	12.0	12 0
California		2 4	19.6	13.0	10.0
Calarada		0.4	12.0		10.0
Compositiont		2.0			2.0
Deleware		. 4		1.1	1.0
Delaware		1.			1.1
F lorida	0.8				1.8
Georgia	19.0	04.8	4.0	.2	88.0
108/10		17.0			17.0
lilinois		33.9		2.9	36.8
Indiana		3.9			3.9
10wa				.9	
Kansas.		65.4			65.4
Kentucky		18.5	.3	.8	19.6
Louisiana		6.0		.9	6.9
Maine			1.4		1.4
Maryland		3.3	10.3	.5	14.1
Massachusetts		1.9	.4		2.3
Michigan		192.6			192, 6
Minnesota		2.3	2.2	2.9	7.4
Mississippi			1.5	2.9	4.4
Missouri	.3	20.1		2.2	22.6
Montana		16.4			16.4
Nebraska		15.5	6.1	.2	21.8
Nevada		69.0			69.0
New Hampshire		1.9			1.9
New Jersey	6.7	10.4			17.1
New York	5.6	7.8		.9	14.3
North Carolina	4.3	69.4		5.9	79.6
North Dakota	10.4		49.5	1.6	61.5
Ohio	1.4	8.2		2.3	11.9
Oklahoma		26.7	4.6	2.6	33.9
Oregon		4.1			4.1
Pennsylvania	.3	19.6	4.7	3.2	27.8
South Carolina	.4	17.4	12.6	1.1	31.5
South Dakota	4.0	22.6	16.1		42.7
Tennessee		20.7	12.3	. 2	33. 2
Texas		38.9	1.1	1.6	41.6
Utah		8.7			8.7
Vermont		0		1.0	1.0
Virginia		15.6		33	18 9
Washington		10.0	8.6	0.0	8.6
West Virginia	1.0	10.8	1.5	R	13 9
Wisconsin		57 4	1.0		57 4
Wyoming		01.4	1.6		1 6
Hawaii	9		1.0		1.0
	. 2				
Total	55.0	877.0	157.7	54.3	1, 144. 0

<sup>1</sup> For those Federal-aid projects financed with 1936 Federal-aid funds separation has not been made between projects and sections of projects within and without municipalities. All such Federal-aid projects are reported under the head "On the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities."

## TABLE 12.—Mileage of projects approved but not under contract on June 30, 1936, by funds—Continued

		Federal f	unds used in	financing	
State	Public	Federal	Works 1	Program	
	Works, 1934–35	aid, 1936–37	Highways	Grade crossings	Total
Alabama	Miles	Milcs	Miles	Miles 0.3	Miles 0.3
Arizona. Arkansas Delaware Florida	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6\\ 1.1\end{array}$		1.0 3.4	3.2 .3 .4	1.0 7.2 1.4
Georgia Illinois Indiana	1.5 .3		.2 1.9	.1	1.5 .3 2.2
Kentucky Louisiana Maine	. 1		1.9 3.4 1.6	.4 .3	3, 2 2, 0 3, 8 1, 9
Maryand Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota	. 2		1.7 3.6	.2 .9	1.7 3.8 .2 .9
Mississippi Missouri Nebraska New Jersov	.1		1.4 5.6 1.9	. 4 2. 2	1.4 6.0 4.2
New York. North Carolina. North Dakota.	.7 1.2		21.4	.1 .6 1.3	.1 1.3 23.9
Ohio	.4		. 4 1. 4	.7 .7	.7 1.5 1.4 2.6
South Carolina Tennessee Texas	1.7		$\begin{array}{r} 4.6\\.4\\4.0\end{array}$	.7 1.0	6.3 1.1 5.0
Washington West Virginia	.5		2.5	.4 .3 .2	3.4 .3 2.3
Total	9.7		67.9	17.2	94.8

#### ON EXTENSIONS OF THE FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY SYSTEM INTO AND THROUGH MUNICIPALITIES 1

<sup>1</sup> For those Federal-aid projects financed with 1936 Federal-aid funds separation has not been made between projects and sections of projects within and without municipalities. All such Federal-aid projects are reported under the head "On the Federal-aid system outside of municipalities."

# BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

# TABLE 12.—Mileage of projects approved but not under contract on June 30, 1936, by funds—Continued

ON SECONDARY OR FEEDER ROADS

		Federal fu	inds used in	financing	
State	Public	Federal	Works I	Program	
	Works, 1934–35	aid <b>.</b> 1936–37	Highways	Grade crossings	Total
	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles
Alabama			11.0	1.2	12.2
Arkansas			52.9	1.4	54.3
California			8.1		8.1
Connecticut			2.1		2.1
Delaware			11.7		11.7
Florida			4.1	1.0	5.1
Georgia			10.1	1.0	11.1
Idaho	01 1		5. 2		5.2
Illinois	21.1		30.0		(1.8
Indiana			54 9	6 1	60.2
lowa			04.2	0.1	00.3
Kansas			19.9		19.9
Kentucky	9.6		12.2	5	14.4
Louisiana	2.0		15.1		22.2
Maine	4 5		14.8	1.8	2.0
Maryland	4.0		14.0	1.0	21.14
Miabigon			0.3		
Minnagata			9.5	3	9.0
Minnesota	1.0		83		90.7
Mississippi	1.0		33.4	1 4	24.8
Mastene	2.6		00.4	1. 1	2.6
Montana.	3.0		47.9	2.3	49.5
Newsda			0 4	2.0	40.0
New Hampshire			4 3		4 3
New Hampshile			1.0	4	1.0
New Movico			5.2	.1	5.2
New Vork			6.6	A	7.0
North Caroling			20.4		20.7
North Dakota			47.7	.0	18 0
Obio			110.2	3.5	113 7
Oklahoma			49 4	1.9	50 6
Oranon			19.5		20.4
Pennsylvanja			38.4		38.9
South Cárolina			31.6	1.5	33 1
South Dakota			18.9	1.0	18.9
Tennessee			91	54	11.5
Texas	7 1		3.7	4 2	15.0
Utab			16.7	. 4	17.1
Vermont	3				. 3
Virginia			13.2	4.0	17. 2
Washington			2.2	.6	2.8
West Virginia			19.8		19. 8
Wisconsin			3.7	. 3	4.0
Wyoming	. 4				. 4
District of Columbia	1			. 2	. 2
Total	40.6		886.3	44.4	971.3

# TABLE 12.—Mileage of projects approved but not under contract on June 30, 1936, by funds—Continued

TOTAL

		Federal f	unds used in	financing	
State	Public	Federal	Works 1	Program	
	Works, 1934–35	aid, 1936-37	Highways	Grade crossings	Total
Alabama	Miles	Miles	Miles 12.9	Miles 1.6	Miles 14.5
Arizona	0.7		5.0	18 4	5.0
California	0. /	3.4	20. 7	1.01 #	24.1
Colorado		2.0			2.0
Connecticut		.3	2.0	1.1	3.4
Delaware	1.1	.1	11.7	.3	13.2
Georgia	21.0	64.8	14.2	$\frac{2.1}{1.2}$	101 2
Idaho		17.5	5. 2	1. 2	22.7
Illinois	21.1	33.9	56.1	3.8	114.9
Indiana	. 3	3.9	2.1		6.3
lowa		65 4	56.3	8.1	64. 4 65. 6
Kantucky	. 1	18.5	14.4	8	33.8
Louisiana	2.6	6.0	22.5	1.8	32.9
Maine			3.2	2.6	5.8
Maryland	4.5	3.3	26.7	2.4	36.9
Massachuseus.	.2	1.9	4.0	.3	0.4
Minnesota		2 3	97.6	4 1	104 0
Mississippi	. 9		11.3	2.9	15. 1
Missouri	. 3	20.0	39.0	4.1	63.4
Montana	3.6	16.4			20.0
Nebraska	.2	10.0	0 A	4.0	70.0 78.4
New Hampshire		1.9	4.3		6.2
New Jersey	6.7	10.4	1, 0	.4	18.5
New Mexico			5. 2		5. 2
New York	5.6	7.7	6.6	1.5	21.4
North Carolina	5. U	09.4	20.4	0.8	101.0
Ohio	1.4	8.2	110.2	6.5	126.3
Oklahoma	.4	26.7	54.5	4.4	86.0
Oregon		4.1	20.9	. 9	25.9
Pennsylvania	1.4	19.6	43.2	5.1	69.3 70.0
South Dakota	2.1	17.4	45.7	2.1	61.6
Tennessee	1.0	20.8	21.9	6.1	48.8
Texas	7.1	38.9	8.8	6.8	61.6
Utah		8.7	16.7	.4	25.8
Vermont	.3			1.0	1.3
virginia Weshington		15. 6	10.7	1.1	39.5 11.7
West Virginia	1. 2	10.8	23. 3	.7	36.0
Wisconsin		57.4	3.7	.3	61.4
Wyoming	.4		1.6		2. 0
District of Columbia				. 2	.2
nawan	. 2				. 2
Total	105.3	877.0	1, 111. 9	115.9	2, 210. 1

TABLE 13.—Status of grade-crossing elimination and protection projects on June 30, 1936

	Cr	rossings e	liminate	d, by fur	ıds	Separ-	Crossin	gs protec funds	ted, by
State	Public	Fed-	Works I	Program		ation struc- tures	Public	Works Pro-	
	Works, 1934–35	aid for 1936-37	High- ways	Grade cross- ings	Total	structed	Works, 1934–35	grade cross- ings	Total
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number
Alabama	2			1	3				
Arizona	3			1	4				
Arkansas	4			8	12				
California	7			3	10	2			
Colorado				2	2				
Florida						1	5		5
Georgia	10				10				
Idaho	2				2				
Illinois	41				41				
Indiana	9				9		2		2
Iowa	7				7	1			
Kansas	4				4		9		9
Kentucky	3			1	4				
Louisiana	4				4				
Maine	1				1				
Massachusetts	4				4				
Michigan	5			3	8	1	26		26
Minnesota	8			2	10		- 9	1	10
Mississioni	10			-	10		, i	-	
Missouri	18	4			22				
Montana	10	î		3	- 4				
Nahraska	5	1 1		3	8				
Navada	1			4	5				
New Hompshine	1				1				
New Lenger	1				1				
New Merice	1				4				
New Verk	12			0	19				
New IOIK	12				12				
North Debet	0				1	2	09		09
Obi-				1 1	1				
Ohleheme					1				
Demonstration	3			0	0				;
Pennsylvania	3			3	0		1		1
South Carolina	1				1				
South Dakota				1	3				
Tennessee	3				3		5		Ð
Texas	17			7	24				
Utan	3				3	1	1		1
Vermont				3	3	2			
virginia	7			6	13		2		2
wasnington	5			1	6		3		3
West Virginia	1				1				
Wisconsin	7	1		1	9		9		9
Wyoming	4			2	6		16		16
District of Columbia	1				1				
Total	228	6		66	300	10	184	1	185

# COMPLETED DURING FISCAL YEAR 1

<sup>1</sup> No projects in this status in Connecticut, Delaware. Maryland, Oregon, Rhode Island, and Hawaii.

# TABLE 13.—Status of grade-crossing elimination and protection projects on June 30, 1936—Continued

	Cr	ossings e	liminate	d, by fun	ıds	Senar-	Crossin	gs protec funds	ted, by
State	Public	Fed-	Works I	rogram		ation struc- tures	Public	Works Pro-	
	Works, 1934–35	eral aid for 1936–37	High- ways	Grade cross- ings	Total	structed	WORKS, 1934–35	gram grade cross- ings	Total
Alabama	Number 1	Number	Number 1	Number 34	Number 36	Number	Number	Number 5	Number 5
Arizona				10	10			1	
California	1			20 40	51	45	62	1	62
Colorado	1	1		21	21		02		04
Connectieut	1				ĩ	1			
Delaware	ĩ				1				
Florida				15	15	4			
Georgia	1			5	6	1	7		7
Idaho	1			18	19	1			
Illinois	8			51	59	3	273		273
Indiana	1			38	39	9	50	127	177
Iowa				72	72	7			
Kansas				53	53	1		3	3
Kentucky				19	19				
Louisiana				18	18	2			<b>-</b>
Mane				10	15	9		11	11
Macsachusatte				12	13	5			
Michigan	1	1		37	38	7	2		25
Minnesota	2	-		63	65		ĩ	21	22
Mississippi	$\overline{2}$			42	44	3	4	1	
Missouri	1		1	31	33	1			
Montana			1	33	34	6			
Nebraska				62	62	1		21	21
Nevada			1	6	7		2		2
New Hampshire			1	3	4	3		1	1
New Jersey	5				12	2			
New Mexico				10	10				
New York	5	1	2	31	39	32	41		41
North Dakata				24	24	9	41		31
Obio	1			4	10	1			
Oklahoma	2			38	40	$\hat{2}$			
Oregon	1	1		15	17	6	8	2	10
Pennsylvania				31	31	8			
Rhode Island				4	4	1			
South Carolina	2			26	28	5	2		2
South Dakota				24	24				
Tennessee	1		1	14	16	10			11
Texas	2			100	102	13		82	84
Utan	1			9				7	7
Virginio				91	21	9	9	á	11
Washington				18	18	. คื	-	7	7
West Virginia	1			3	4	1		·	
Wisconsin		1	1	27	29	5	30		30
Wyoming			. <b>.</b>	3	3				
District of Columbia				2	2				
Hawaii				5	5				
m tol				1 100	1 215				
Total	43	5	9	1, 183	1, 240	158	484	309	/93

UNDER CONTRACT 2

<sup>2</sup> Most of these projects were under construction.

AI	PROVI	<i>19</i> 8 ED BU1	6—Со г пот	ntinue UNDEF	d 2 CONT	RACT			
	Cr	ossings e	liminate	d, by fur	ıds	Separa	Crossin	gs protec funds	ted, by
State	Public	Fed-	Works	Program		ation struc- tures	Public	Works Pro-	
	Works, 1934–35	eral aid for 1936–37	High- ways	Grade cross- ings	Total	structed	Works, 1934–35	gram grade cross- ings	Total
	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number
Alabama	[			5	5				
Arkansas				19	19	1			2
Connecticut				1	1				
Delaware									
				1 11	1 11				
Georgia		~		3	3		1		1
illinois				9	9				
Indiana						1			{
lowa				23	23	2			
Kentucky				3	3	2			
Louisiana				3	3	2			
Maine				5	5				
Maryland				1	1	2			
Massachusetts				1	1		1		
Michigan						1			
Minnesota				3	3	2		9	
Mississippi				3	3		3		
Missouri	1		]	9	10				
Nebraska				11	1 11	1		1	1
New Jersey		I		1	2	1			
New York						4			
North Carolina				7	7				
North Dakota				11	11				
Ohio				15	15	2			
Oklahoma				11	11	1			
Oregon				1	1				
Pennsylvania	~			8	8	3			
South Carolina				4	4		1		
Tennessee				9	9	1			
Texas				16	16	2		1	
Utah				1	1				
Vermont				2	2				
Virginia	1			20	20				
Washington				1	1	1			
West Virginia				2	2				
Wisconsin				3	3	1	1		
District of Columbia			1	1	1				

# TABLE 13.—Status of grade-crossing elimination and protection projects on June 30,

<sup>3</sup> No projects in this status in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Kansas, Montana, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Wyoming, and Hawaii.

224

226

- - -----

7

13

- -

20

30

-----

----

District of Columbia

Total

1

----

1

9
63
1
30,
ne
$t_{u_1}$
~
lec
enc
r.
yea
al
fisc
the
bu
ri
np
q
ete
ld
шc
ũ.
cts
Je
pre
5
5
on
cti
ru
ist
100
J.
s c
pe
ty
by
ge,
eat
til
N-
I.
14
Ē
ΒL
Ľ
<u> </u>

	Total	$Mfles \\ Mfles \\ 234,60 \\ 234$	26.9
sepa-	Be- tween high ways	Miles 0.1	
Grade rati	Rail- road and high- way	Miles 0.2 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	
	Bridges and ap- proaches	Мбе 1887 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987	
	Block	Affles 6.3 6.3 1.2 1.1 2.0 1.1 2.0 1.1 1.2 2.6 6 2.5.6 0	
Port-	land cement con- crete	My 1518 1519 1519 1519 1519 1519 1519 1519	100
	Bitumi- nous con- crete	Miles 6.88 6.88 6.88 6.88 7.44 1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57	2.2
	Bitumi- nous mac- adam	Affles 37.6 8.9 8.9 8.9 6.1 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.3 4.1 1.9 1.6 1	8,6
-mor	bitumi- nous mix	Miles 37,1 1 37,1 1 37,1 1 37,1 1 37,1 1 37,1 1 37,1 1 4,1 1 1,1 1 4,1 1 4,1 1 1,1 1 1,1 1 4,1 1 1,1 1,1	
dam	Treated	Mfl(5 8.6 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 1.3 1.3 8.4 8.3 8.4 8.3 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5	
Maca	Un- treated	Artices 0.1 1.3 9.9 9.9 9.9 1.3 5.4	
vel	Treated	Miles 40,8 5,53 5,53 5,57 7,59 19,33 19,33 19,33 13,7 126,0 20,9 20,9 20,9 20,9 13,7 14,0 14,0 14,0 20,9 14,0 20,9 13,7 12,0 14,0 20,9 20,9 20,9 20,9 20,9 20,9 20,9 20	12.8
Gra	Un- treated	$\begin{array}{c} Miles\\ 35.9\\ 35.9\\ 35.7\\ 35.7\\ 35.7\\ 35.7\\ 35.7\\ 11.2\\ 35.7\\ 12.2\\ 35.7\\ 12.4\\ 11.4$	
clay	Treated	64.3 64.3 8.0 11.9 6.3 6.3	
Sand	Un- treated	Miles 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	
	Graded and drained	Miles 23,22 1,33 1,35 1,35 1,35 1,35 20,5 20,5 20,5 20,5 1,4 1,5 20,5 20,5 20,5 20,5 20,5 20,5 20,5 20	
	State	Alabama Arkansa. Arkansa. Colorado. Connecticut. Colorado. Connecticut. Connecticut. Connecticut. Connecticut. Connecticut. Connecticut. Connecticut. Illinois. Indiana. Maryband. Maryband. Maryband. Maryband. Maryband. Maryband. Maryband. Marserta. Missorri. Missorri. Missorri. More Jarsey. New York. New York.	Rhode Island

# BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & $	9 13, 789. 6
	·
	21.2
4.9.67 	56.6
1.7	40.4
22555.0 2555.0 2555.0 2555.0 2255.0 2257.0 2257.0 2257.0 2257.0 2257.0 2257.0 2257.0 2257.0 2257.0 2257.0 2257.0 2257.0 2257.0 2257.0 2255.0 2055.0 2055.0 2055.0 2055.0 2055.0 2055.0 2055.0 2055.0 2	1, 929. 6
3, 4 21, 0 15, 4 15, 5 5, 5 20, 6 6, 7	417.3
2.5 .1 .1	256.3
4.8 82.9 40.1 4.8 4.8 4.8 238.4 238.4	1, 606. 9
5.4 29.4 55.6 9.5	313.1
5.5	158.6
<b>55.5</b> 347.2 347.2 8.5 6.1 100.4	971.7
$\begin{array}{c} 357.2\\ 357.2\\ 63.9\\ 69.8\\ 169.8\\ 169.8\\ 214.0\\ 43.6\\ 7.3\\ 7.3\\ 130.8\\ 130.8\end{array}$	4, 960. 2
53.7	371.5
15.0 16.6 63.1	409.4
11.2 61.2 5.0 5.0 5.0 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4	2, 275.9
South Carolina. South Dakota. Tennessee. Texas. Vertab. Vertab. Virginia. West Virginia. Wisconsin. Wisconsin. District of Columbia.	Total

		Sand-	clay	Gra	vel	Maca	dam	Low-			Port-			Grade ratio	sepa- ons	
State	Graded and drained	Un- treated	Treated	Un- treated	Freated	Un- treated	Freated	cost bitumi- nous mix	nous mac- adam	crete	land cement con- crete	Block	Bridges and ap- proaches	Rail- road and high- way	Be- tween high- ways	Total
Alabama	Miles 0.2	Miles 4.0	Miles 35.4	<i>Miles</i> 68.6	Miles 11.3	Miles	Miles 9.3	Miles 12.5	Miles	Miles 2.1	Miles 12.1	Miles	Miles 0.9	Miles 1.3	Miles	Miles 157.7
Arizona	20.3 11.0			61.2 179.3	13. 7 22. 0		25.1	79.8 41.5		1.1	15.1		20.	00		176.5 296.8
California.	40.2			16.7 84.1	52.8			138.1	5	30. 7	2.3		2.1	9.7	0.5	305.6 $104.2$
Connecticut	5.7			35.1	.2	0.5			.1	2.2	16.5 39.8		??	-		19.8 82.1
Florida	28.0		15.6		ę		37.0	21.3		6.1	8.41		ci -	φ.c		118.4
Idaho	47.2		2.06	165.2	40.4		1.30	75.7		a . TT			9.	15		289.6
Illinois	311.5			115.3	30.2		. c	10.2		19.9	124.5	2.5	4.3 2.5	4.5	2	554.3 461.1
lowa	73.0			288.9	29.4			92.4			193. 5		2.5	6.2	.1	686.0
Kansas	247.6 187.3	37.1		269.0		10		384.6 32.7		4.0	50.8 34.0		3.3 1.0	2.5		997.2 375.6
Louisiana	24.7			118.8	14.9						95.1		2.6	1.6		266.0
Marvland Marvland	12.6	1.0		61.1	18.2		1.2		6.8	0 m 10 m 10 m	01 00 00 17		.2			50.2
Massachusetts								1.2	5.1		2.1	- <u>.</u> ,	×.	1.3		11.1
Michigan	209.3	9.3		41.4		×		11.3		18.4	112.0		4.1-	n oc		1. 067. 9
Mississippi	102.8	6.7	11.7	188.6	22.9					6.0	30	с?		900	c	354.9
Missouri	99. 8 85. 2			343.1	150.7			41. 0 59. 7		2.4	140.4		1 1		7.	436.0
Nebraska	317.8	94.6		1101				237.7		0 1	26.6		1.9	5.1 8		683.7 156.7
New Hampshire.				0.211		6.7	27.5	0.10	1.6	1.8	1.5		.2	27		39.4
New Jersey	00. roj	1		0°.3				0.01	.4	4.3	40.5		<u>.</u>			25.3 231.5
New Mexico New York	1.1Z	-		30.3	29.0			21.4	12.4	56.7	181.8	1.1	6.0	6.4	.1	384.8
North Carolina	15.0	145.4	155.8	59.3	85.5		15.3	41.8		1.7	76.8		1.1			598.4 285.3
Ohio	87.9 10.1			57.5	14	16.0	3.9	0.80		23. 7	65.2	32.6	1.0			210.7
Oklahoma	4.0	12.5		302.2	5.2				2 00	39.5	56.7		20 00 C <sup>1</sup>	1.3		421.2 245.6
Uregon Pennsylvania	43.4 43.4			оч. I 2. 3	00.00 18.7	7.	14.2	0.26	66.5 0	4.1	121.5	2.6				275. 2
Rhode Island	*********		_	_	3.4			7.5 1	1.4 1	5.8 1	3,61		1	•. •.		22.0

TABLE 15.—Milcage, by types of construction, of projects under contract on J une 30, 1936 <sup>1</sup>

# BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

25.80 25.80 25.80 219.4 219.4 219.4 219.4 207.1 207.1 25.8 207.1 25.8	16, 708.8
	1.1
	82.8
4.00 .01 .02 .02 .03 .03 .03 .03 .03 .03 .03 .03	78.1
2.0	43.5
$\begin{array}{c} 7.7\\ 147.3\\ 149.1\\ 7.7\\ 1.49.1\\ 7.7\\ 1.19\\ 22.8\\ 68.5\\ 68.5\\ 0.9\\ 122.8\\ 0.9\\ 0.9\\ 122.8\\ 0.9\\ 122.8\\ 0.9\\ 122.8\\ 0.9\\ 122.8\\ 0.9\\ 122.8\\ 0.9\\ 122.8\\ 0.9\\ 122.8\\ 0.9\\ 122.8\\ 0.9\\ 122.8\\ 0.9\\ 122.8\\ 0.9\\ 122.8\\ 0.9\\ 122.8\\ 0.9\\ 122.8\\ 0.9\\ 122.8\\ 0.9\\ 122.8\\ 0.9\\ 0.9\\ 0.9\\ 0.9\\ 0.9\\ 0.9\\ 0.9\\ 0.9$	2, 184. 8
19.28 19.29 19	511.4
9.4 5.2 18.8 15.4	191.6
19.7           152.4           152.4           66.5           52.1           9.1           9.1           26.7           26.7	1, 975. 2
16.4 16.4 44.4	368.6
6.0	38.7
1.9 44.5 33.22 7.8 7.8	1, 178. 8
$\begin{array}{c} 94.8\\ 94.8\\ 87.1\\ 87.9\\ 87.9\\ 87.9\\ 87.9\\ 87.9\\ 87.9\\ 87.6\\ 113.7\\ 113.7\\ 55.4\\ 113.7\\ 6\end{array}$	5, 383. 9
31.9	342.9
113.1           5.8           43.9	466.4
244.0 244.0 13.3 16.5 18.6 16.5 18.4 148.4 123.3 25	3, 861.0
South Carolina. Teuressee Teuressee Teuressee Teuressee Teures Virginia. Virginia. Virginia. Virginia. Virginia. Virginia. Virginia. Virginia. Virginia. Virginia. Virginia.	Total

<sup>1</sup> Most of these projects were under construction.

TABLE 16.—Mileage, by types of construction, of projects approved but not under contract on June 30, 1936

	Total	Miles 14.5	0.0 75.3	24.1	7 7 C	13.2	8.4	101.4	114.9	6.3	04.4 65.6	33.50	32.9	5.8	36.9	6.4	202.1
Grade	tions, rail- road and highway	Miles 0.2	9.			.1	c] -	1.	.1		- n - T	2			.2		
Bridans	proaches	Miles 0.2	. 4.	.1		.1	0 1		8.		4. C	j.c.					7.
	Block	Miles															
Portland	concrete	Miles 0.2	2.3	1.0		13	1.8	e. 12	24.7	5.9	4.1	11.1	13.9	********	19.5		100.3
 Bitnmi.	nous con- crete	Miles		1.5								5.9			.4	4.0	30.3
Bitumi-	nous ma- cadam	Miles			1.1										2.4	2.2	
Low-cost.	bitumi- nous mix	Miles	5.6	17.3				13.4	4.1		30.1	1.3					1.0
ıdam	Treated	Miles			2.0		4.62								2.1		
Maca	Un- treated	Miles		1 1 1													
ivel	Treated	Miles 2.4		4.2			15.7		21.2					2.5			
Gra	Un- treated	Miles 2.9	65.7	2.0	i	11.7	34.1	9.0	7.9	35.0	35.3	1.9	18.4	3.2	12.2		4.0
-clay	Treated	Miles 1. 3					č.										
Sand	Un- treated	Miles 0.2					.3		1								
Graded	and drained	Miles 7.1 3.9					5.1		56.1	23.8		13.1					
	State	Alabama Artzona	Arkansas	Colorado	Connecticut	Delaware Floride	Georgia	[daho	[[linois	lowa	Kansas	Kentucky	Louisiana	Maine	Maryland	Massachuseus	Minnocho

be
ă
.п
at
õ
Q
9
હ
1:0
ć
ŝ
é,
t u
5
~
0
$c_{t}$
ä
th
01
ũ
er
$p_l$
nn
1
20
1
ä
22
60
3
01
d
a
ts
cc
'S'
ŝ
~
6
e,
6
<i>iti</i> .
ä
tr
ns
0
5
0,
es.
ġ,
ty
ß
2
te,
g
le
$I_{i}$
7
6.
÷.
E
BI
, V
Η

	Total	Miles 15, 1 63, 4	75.5 75.5 78.4	6.2 18.5 2.5	21.4	133.4 126.3 86.0	25.9 69.3 70.9	61.6 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8	39.5 39.5 36.0 61.4	2, 210. 1
Grade separa-	tions, rail- road and highway	Miles 0.5	1.0			9.4.L.		3 T		. 5 8.5
Bridges	and ap- proaches	Miles 0.2		.1	- <u>.</u>	-07		ст. 20		8.5
	Block	Miles				7.1	. 4	2.0		9.5
Portland	ceinent concrete	<i>Miles</i> 0.4 7.9	1.5	17.4	9.1	1. 1 6. 1 12. 9	24.9 24.9	20.7 5.9	1.0 9.4 14.8	410.3
Bitumi-	nous con- crete	Afiles		.1		2.9 14.8	4.9	24.8	2.5 1.5 2.0	107.0
Bitumi-	nous ma- cadam	Miles			5.5		15.6		1.1 8.9	37.0
Low-cost	bitumi- nous mix	Miles 1.3	0.0 15.5 69.0		23.7	13.0		22.6 13.6	1.3	259.2
dam	Treated	Miles		4.0		6.3 1.0			7.1	55.6
Maca	Un- treated	Miles		5.5	4.2	1.7				8.1
vel	Treated	Miles 0.9	0.01	.3	6.6	1.2	20.3	20.8	5. 8	112.9
Gra	Un- treated	Miles 8.8 35.1	9.4	5.2	1.8	61.9 98.3 54.6		10.0 5.2 7.3	3.5 .5 13.7	661.3
-clay	Treated	Miles			51.8		19. 7		9.8	82.9
Sand	Un- treated	Miles	42.0		12.0		36.0		7.5	98.0
Graded	and drained	Miles 4.8 16.3	15.4			30 3.3 4.3	4.1 23.1	29.0 4.1 5.0	9.8 19.5	351.3
	State	Mississippi Missouri	Nebraska Nevada	New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico	New York North Carolina	North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma	Oregon Pennsylvania Sonth Carolina	South Dakota Tennessee Teas	Vermont Virginia. Washington. West Virginia. Wisconsin.	Total

## CONSTRUCTION OF ROADS THROUGH PUBLIC LANDS AND FEDERAL RESERVATIONS

Special authorizations for the survey, construction, reconstruction, and maintenance of main roads through unappropriated or unreserved public lands, nontaxable Indian lands, or other Federal reservations other than national forests have been made by six congressional acts passed up to the end of the fiscal year 1936.

The first two of these authorizations, aggregating \$5,000,000, were made in the Emergency Construction Act of 1930, and the Emergency Relief and Construction Act of 1932. To this sum the National Industrial Recovery Act of 1933 added \$5,000,000, and the Hayden-Cartwright Act of June 18, 1934, added \$2,500,000 for each of the fiscal years 1936 and 1937. The authorization for 1937 was canceled by the Agricultural Appropriation Act of June 4, 1936. The Emergency Appropriation Act for the fiscal year 1935, approved June 19, 1934, provided \$2,500,000 for the fiscal year 1935. Authorizations of \$2,500,000 for the fiscal year 1935. Authorization at 1937.

Of the total of \$20,000,000 authorized, \$15,000,000 has been appropriated and apportioned. This includes all funds for all years through 1936. Funds for 1938 and 1939 have not been apportioned. A deduction of \$125,000 for administrative purposes was made from the first \$5,000,000 only. Tables 17, 18, and 19 show the progress made in expending the funds in the several States, together with the unobligated balance by States.

 TABLE 17.—Public-lands funds allotted to projects completed during the fiscal year

 ended June 30, 1936

State	Public- lands funds	Estimated total cost	Miles	State	Public- lands funds	Estimated total cost	Miles
Arizona California. Colorado Idabo. Montana Nevada New Mexico North Dakota	\$377, 865 397, 185 217, 657 184, 234 131, 585 556, 277 43, 466 25, 136	\$381, 717 440, 623 215, 589 185, 477 132, 364 601, 215 42, 573 25, 195	$\begin{array}{c} 8.0\\ 50.1\\ 9.9\\ 34.4\\ 11.8\\ 165.9\\ 7.3\\ 8.2 \end{array}$	Oklahoma Oregon South Dakota Utah Washington Wyoming Total	\$23, 054 285, 260 133, 010 347, 308 94, 560 202, 545 3, 019, 142	\$39, 492 298, 786 150, 452 374, 992 103, 340 204, 692 3, 196, 507	1. 9 20. 8 8. 8 53. 2 3. 7 52. 0 436. 0

 TABLE 18.—Public-lands funds allotted to projects under contract and under construction, June 30, 1936

State	Public- lands funds	Estimated total cost	Miles	State	Public- lands funds	Estimated total cost	Miles
Arizona California Colorado Montana Nevada Nevada New Mexico North Dakota	\$280, 471 119, 364 115, 245 133, 528 421, 935 362, 708 22, 213	\$290, 565 119, 364 120, 657 133, 528 421, 935 409, 128 22, 213	$\begin{array}{r} 33.7\\7.7\\4.4\\6.7\\83.6\\20.2\\6.0\end{array}$	Oregon South Dakota Utah W yoming Total	\$78, 401 20, 529 138, 165 153, 137 1, 845, 696	\$85, 686 20, 529 140, 645 153, 139 1, 917, 389	7.75.110.139.5224.7

 

 TABLE 19.—Public-lands funds allotted to projects approved but not under contract, June 30, 1936

State	Public- lands funds	Esti- mated total cost	Miles	Balance avail- able for new projects	State	Public- lands funds	Esti- mated total cost	Miles	Balance avail- able for new projects
Arizona California	\$13,000	\$13,000 5,000		\$67, 711 272, 504	Oklahoma South Dakota				\$23, 162 75, 952
Idaho				52, 209	Utah	\$83,376	\$93, 131	3.9	937
Montana		-05-000-		11,236	Wyoming				18,368
New Mexico	79,952	85, 033	15.4	12852	Total	186 528	201 364	36.1	635 053
North Dakota	5,200	5,200	16.8	40, 212	1 Julai	100, 020	201,004	00.1	000,000
		`		l í					

Some of the outstanding improvements, from the viewpoint of continuous construction, are: The Goldfield-Las Vegas Highway, in Nevada; the Kingman-Boulder Dam Highway, in Arizona; the Correo-to-west-of-Acomita Highway, in New Mexico; the Moapa-Caliente Highway, in Nevada; and the Central Oregon Highway, in Oregon.

Six sections, totaling about 126 miles, on the Goldfield-Las Vegas Highway in Nevada, have been graded, drained, and surfaced with low-cost bituminous mixture. A seventh section is under construction.

The Kingman-Boulder Dam Highway, in Arizona, forming part of an important through route across the Colorado River, has been constructed, to a considerable extent, with Federal-lands funds. About 25.2 miles of graded and drained road have been completed, and a bituminous surface is now being placed.

About 14 miles of grading and surfacing with bituminous mixture has been completed on the Correo-to-west-of-Acomita Highway, in New Mexico. A third section, about 6.3 miles in length, is under construction, and a fourth section, 4 miles long, has been advertised for contract.

The Moapa-Caliente Highway, in Nevada, was constructed largely with Federal-lands funds. Approximately 54 miles have been surveyed, graded, drained, and surfaced with bituminous mixture. Another section, about 30 miles in length, is under construction.

The so-called Central Oregon Highway has been graded, drained, and surfaced with selected material for a length of 18.2 miles. A seventh section is under construction.

Table 20 shows, by types of construction, the mileage of Federal-lands highways completed as of June 30, 1936.

State	Graded and drained	Gravel	Bitu- minous treated	Bitu- minous mix- ture	Bitu- minous ma- cadam	Bitu- minous concrete	Port- land- cement concrete	Bridges	Total
Arizona California Colorado Idaho Nevada Nevada New Mexico North Dakota Oklahoma Oregon South Dakota Utah Washington Wyoming	Miles 26. 6 7. 7 1. 8 15. 4 42. 4 8. 8 .3	$\begin{array}{c} Miles \\ 23.3 \\ 13.8 \\ 10.6 \\ 31.7 \\ 37.3 \\ 44.5 \\ 8.6 \\ 10.0 \\ \hline \\ 72.7 \\ 2.8 \\ 32.3 \\ 14.3 \\ 36.0 \\ \end{array}$	Miles 10. 8 15. 6	Miles 49. 1 52. 5 7. 9 31. 5 11. 8 304. 6 18. 5 5. 6 113. 6 72. 1	Miles	Miles	Miles 	Miles 0.3 .3 .1 .2 .1 .2 .1 .1 .1 .1 .3	$\begin{array}{c} Miles\\ 99.3\\ 74.3\\ 18.6\\ 65.0\\ 49.3\\ 349.2\\ 44.1\\ 25.5\\ 9.9\\ 115.2\\ 11.6\\ 6152.8\\ 21.0\\ 124.0 \end{array}$
Total	103.0	337.9	26.4	667.2	2.6	8.6	12.2	1.9	1, 159.8

TABLE 20.—Federal-lands roads completed at end of year, by types of construction

## **RESTORATION OF FLOOD-DAMAGED ROADS**

The funds authorized in the years 1928 through 1931 for the reconstruction of flood-damaged roads in 11 States are now nearly expended.

At the beginning of the fiscal year all authorized funds had been absorbed in completed work in Vermont, New Hampshire, South Carolina, and Florida. All work planned in Arkansas and Georgia had been completed, and a portion of the funds authorized for these States had lapsed, as reported last year.

During the fiscal year 22 miles of flood-relief construction was completed, and 28 miles was under construction at the end of the year, as shown in tables 21 and 22. Unobligated balances remained available as follows: Arkansas, \$322,306; Louisiana, \$115,390; Mississippi, \$282,733; and Kentucky, \$405,232.

#### BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

State	Flood-relief funds	Estimated total cost	Miles
Kentucky Missouri Georgia	\$95, 012 84, 114 18, 213	\$211, 302 186, 625 39, 013	14. 1 7. 9 . 5
Total	197, 339	436, 940	22.5

 TABLE 21.—Flood-relief funds allotted to projects completed during the fiscal year

 ended June 30, 1936

TABLE	22.—Flood-relief	funds	all otted	to	projects	under	contract	and	under	con-
		stru	uction, J	un	e 30, 193	6				

State	Flood-relief funds	Estimated total cost	Miles
Kentucky	\$94, 653	\$212, 986	15.6
Louisiana Missouri	65, 920 46, 752	131, 841 104, 735	10. 5 1. 3
Total Georgia	112, 672 7, 864	236, 576 23, 620	11.8
Grand total	215, 189	473, 182	27.8

The Hayden-Cartwright Act of June 18, 1934, authorized the Secretary of Agriculture to use not to exceed \$10,000,000 from any funds available for expenditure under the Federal Highway Act in the repair and reconstruction of flooddamaged highways on the Federal-aid system and authorized future appropriation of funds expended for such a purpose. This provision made possible the taking of immediate steps to repair damage caused by the destructive floods in eastern States during the spring of 1936 without waiting for specific authorization of funds. Funds allotted to projects during the year are shown in table 23.

 
 TABLE 23.—Emergency relief (flood damage) funds allotted to projects during the fiscal year ended, June 30, 1936

COMPLETED

State	Emergency- relief funds	Estimated total cost	Miles
Colorado Kansas Nebraska New York	\$268, 181 54, 195 13, 820 24, 350	\$481, 567 108, 390 27, 641 59, 174	0.8 .2 .1
Total	360, 546	676, 772	1.1
UNDER CONTRAC'	Г	······	
Colorado Kansas Nebraska New York Oklahoma Texas Total	\$207, 904 103, 231 189, 579 398, 800 3, 477 158, 835 1, 061, 826	\$371, 257 206, 462 379, 158 824, 500 6, 953 341, 382 2, 129, 712	0.3 .4 .6 .4 .3 1.2 .3 .2
APPROVED			
Kansas New York	\$279, 174 21, 950	\$705, 362 44, 950	0.7
Total	301, 124	750, 312	. 7

At the close of the year plans were nearing completion for an extensive program of restoration, both on and off the Federal-aid system. The work was to be financed with the special flood-relief funds provided by the Hayden-Cartwright Act, unobligated Works Program highway funds, funds to be supplied by the Works Progress Administration, and State funds.

# WORK RELIEF HIGHWAY PROJECTS

Work Relief highway projects, begun in the fall of 1933 to relieve distress in particular areas stricken by drought and a scourge of grasshoppers, have been continued since in areas needing special relief. Road work has been carried on by an arrangement under which the Public Works Administration has granted amounts necessary to pay costs of materials and equipment, limited to not more than 30 percent of the total expenditure and the labor has been supplied from relief rolls and paid first by the Federal Emergency Relief Administration and later by the Works Progress Administration.

Under this arrangement the Bureau of Public Roads, cooperating with the respective State highway departments, has assumed the responsibility of supervising the road work.

Work of this kind was completed during the year on 2,718 miles of road, bringing the total to date to 4,490 miles. At the close of the year work was in progress on 2,902 miles of such road. The status of the work is shown in table 24.

TABLE 24.—Status of National-Recovery Work Relief projects

#### COMPLETED DURING YEAR

State	Federal funds	Total cost	Miles	State	Federal funds	Total cost	Miles
Florida Kansas Minnesota North Dakota South Dakota	\$284, 493 231, 690 75, 940 554, 803 298, 786	\$963, 833 894, 034 267, 781 2, 339, 837 1, 352, 249	(1) 243. 9 154. 8 718. 5 526. 5	Texas Wisconsin Total	\$889, 995 606, 909 2, 942, 616	\$3, 011, 353 3, 189, 498 12, 018, 585	325. 2 749. 1 2, 718. 0

<sup>1</sup> Repairs to roads and bridges damaged by floods.

## UNDER CONTRACT AT END OF YEAR

· State	Federal funds	Estimated total cost	Miles	State	Federal funds	Estimated total cost	Miles
Kansas Minnesota North Dakota Oklahoma	\$365, 574 802, 995 98, 950 570, 000	\$1, 237, 668 3, 600, 014 395, 569 1, 900, 000	328. 7 78. 1 143. 5 442. 7	South Dakota Texas Total	\$671, 016 1, 493, 614 4, 002, 149	\$2, 860, 128 4, 978, 729 14, 972, 108	1, 138. 7 770. 2 2, 901. 9

## LOAN-AND-GRANT HIGHWAY PROJECTS

The Public Works Administration continued the policy of financing or aiding, by loans or grants or both, in the financing of the construction of roads in a number of States. Projects of this kind are initiated by their sponsors with the Public Works Administration and, after agreement has been reached and funds allotted, are turned over to the Bureau of Public Roads for detailed administration of construction.

Work of this kind was begun in 1934 under the National Industrial Recovery Act and was continued during the past year with funds allocated under the authorization in the Emergency Relief Appropriation Act of 1935. Up to the present loans and grants of \$39,200,981 have been made for specific projects 7,625 miles in length and estimated to cost \$82,457,583. Loans and grants of \$12,929,791 on 3,225 miles were made during the past year. Details by States are shown in table 25. TABLE 25.—Status on June 30, 1936, of loan-and-grant Public Works projects transferred by the Public Works Administration to the Bureau of Public Roads for supervision and audit

ALLOTMENTS FROM NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL RECOVERY ACT

\$243.83 \$276,277.94 5,494,257.90 564,611.79 716,011.37 330,916.37 330,916.37 330,916.37 311,1286.75 3,940,155.95 3,340,155.95 3,940,155.95 1,732,165.25 2, 757, 762, 36 126, 462, 56 28, 620, 22 552, 952, 64 207, 817, 88 1, 755, 148, 42 5, 873, 213, 48 4, 475, 488, 78 69, 746, 06 35, 477, 743. 30 Mileage, estimated cost, and funds assigned to specific projects approved under Public Works Administration allotments Other  $\begin{array}{c} 3,\,252,\,772.\,15\ 181,\,000.\,00\ 3,\,541,\,100.\,08 \end{array}$ 301, 280, 40334, 679, 07 $\begin{array}{c} 488,\,018,\,83\\ 150,\,850,\,00\\ 47,\,121,\,65\\ 542,\,000,\,00\end{array}$ \$49, 559. 65 ------------250,000.00 Funds assigned ............. .......... 427, 625. 54 10, 566, 007. 37 Loan 220, 756, 65 1, 130, 583, 65 2, 335, 951, 73 2, 335, 951, 73 2, 335, 951, 73 2, 335, 951, 73 2, 335, 951, 73 1, 121, 533, 951, 73 1, 602, 476, 45 1, 602, 476, 45 1, 602, 416, 45 1, 602, 416, 45 1, 602, 416, 45 1, 600, 00 11, 500, 00 11, 500, 00 11, 500, 00 11, 500, 00 22, 164, 99 22, 164, 90 22, 164, 90 22, 164, 90 22, 164, 90 22, 164, 90 22, 164, 90 22, 164, 90 20, 164, 9 18, 215, 332. 10 Grant 
 \$70, 560, 13

 4, 535, 141, 59

 4, 505, 000, 63

 7, 555, 293, 85

 7, 650, 293, 63

 7, 650, 293, 63

 1, 011, 287, 36

 5, 000, 537, 16

 5, 542, 552, 16

 5, 542, 552, 16

 5, 542, 552, 16

 5, 369, 313, 36

 5, 306, 327, 16

 5, 308, 310, 492, 16

 3, 354, 132, 353

 3, 354, 132

 3, 354, 132

 3, 354, 132

 3, 354, 132

 3, 354, 132

 3, 354, 132

 3, 354, 133

 3, 354, 133

 3, 354, 133

 3, 355, 57

 1, 464, 332

 3, 354, 57

 1, 467, 345, 57

 1, 467, 345, 57

 1, 467, 345, 57

 1, 467, 345, 57

 3, 219, 514, 56

 3, 219, 514, 56

 3, 219, 514, 56
 Estimated total 60 60 64, 259, 082. 77 3, 219, 514. 5 8, 170, 478. 1 6, 364, 620. 6 514, 671. 6 cost 1, 460. 4 440. 8 85. 5  $\begin{smallmatrix} 6.7\\ 16.4\\ 15.4\\ 15.4\\ 15.4\\ 15.4\\ 105.1\\ 105.1\\ 105.1\\ 230.9\\ 57.5\\ 100.1\\ 105.1\\ 230.9\\ 230.9\\ 230.1\\$ 238.3 5, 385. 5 Miles Tentative allot- Allotment by contracts executed ment by Special Funds allotted by Public Works Administration 3, 825, 000, 00181, 000, 00 4, 000, 000, 00 385, 000. 00 400, 000. 00 969, 000. 00 656, 000. 00 60, 000. 00 542, 000. 00 \$50.390.73 .............. ................ 1, 250, 000. 00 12, 755, 390. 73 8 437, 000. ( Loan \$20, 756, 65
 1, 310, 853, 76
 1, 143, 853, 78
 2, 431, 865, 18
 29, 431, 865, 18
 307, 586, 75
 307, 586, 75
 307, 586, 76
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 588, 18
 1, 296, 596, 000
 1, 296, 596, 000
 1, 296, 566, 500
 2, 000, 000
 1, 758, 566
 2, 000, 000
 1, 758, 566 18, 597, 644. 69 Grant \$71, 147, 38
 \$71, 147, 38
 \$1, 310, 863, 65
 \$1, 144, 583, 78
 \$2, 451, 386, 75
 \$5, 123, 586, 75
 \$5, 123, 586, 75
 \$5, 123, 586, 75
 \$5, 123, 586, 75
 \$5, 123, 586, 75
 \$5, 124, 586, 100
 \$5, 124, 586, 100
 \$5, 124, 586, 100
 \$5, 124, 586, 100
 \$5, 124, 586, 100
 \$5, 124, 586, 100
 \$5, 126, 566, 100
 \$5, 126, 566, 331
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 \$1, 478, 576, 31
 Board for Public Works 31, 353, 035. 42 llinois indiana..... owa Kansas Massachusetts Michigan Mississippi Missouri Ohio South Carolina ------Minnesota Nebraska\_\_\_\_\_ New York Montana Pexas\_\_\_\_\_ .......... State Total California..... Connecticut Louisiana. Maryland Alahama

ureau
$B_{l}$
the
to
Administration
Works
the Public inued
unsferred by undit-Cont
s projects tra ision and a
Works superv
t Public ads for
f loan-and-gran of Public Ro
<i>36</i> , oj
une 30, 15
s on Ji
-Statu
Тавье 25

ALLOTMENTS FROM EMERGENCY RELIEF APPROPRIATION ACT OF 1935

	Funds allotted l	oy Public Works	Administration	Mileage, es	timated cost, and under Public W	l funds assigned orks Administr	to specific projec ation allotments	ts approved
State	Tentative allot- ment by Special	Allotment by cc	ntracts executed	ļ	Estimated total	ſ	Junds assigned	
	Board for Public Works	Grant	Loan	Miles	cost	Grant	Loan	Other
California	\$58.854.00	\$58.854.00						
Florida	72, 424, 00	72, 424, 00		2.0	\$149, 978. 33	\$67, 490. 25		\$82, 488. 08
Innuois Towa 1	-1 1//, 1/3.00	287, 959, 93		583. 8	578, 185, 79	257, 844, 87		218, /13, 01 320, 340, 92
Maryland	1,000,000.00	1, 000, 000. 00		12.6	1, 007, 711. 37	453, 470, 12		554, 241. 25
Michigan	- 12, 375, 00	12, 375, 00		12.6 60-9	31, 000, 00	12, 375, 00		150 236 74
Mississippi <sup>3</sup>	28, 539, 836, 00	15, 039, 836, 00	\$18, 500, 000, 00	406. 4	8, 190, 254, 94	3, 685, 614, 15	\$1,466,906.89	3, 037, 733, 90
Missouri	- 462, 029, 00	407, 029, 00	55,000.00	365.3	902, 522, 00	406, 134. 20		496, 387. 80
Nebraska. New York 4	- 12, 465, 00 226, 054, 00	120, 600, 00		0 4 0 4	15, 830, 45 395, 132, 44	7, 123. 70		8, 706, 75 244, 725, 64
Ohio	786, 481, 00	365, 481, 00	421,000.00	229.4	800, 632. 93	357, 498. 01	409, 741. 77	33, 393. 15
South Carolina <sup>5</sup>	- 768, 090.00	391,090.00	478,000.00	69.0	167, 232, 26	75, 254.51	7, 504. 22	84, 473. 53
Texas	2, 456, 011, 00	1, 966, 011, 00	490, 000. 00	238.3	4, 360, 168, 72   70, 737, 37	1, 890, 651, 91	490,000.00	1, 979, 516. 81 43 737 37
Washington 6.	386, 091.00	303, 438, 00		88. 2 88. 2	869, 235, 52	363, 697. 46		505, 538. 06
Total	35, 456, 415. 93	20. 373, 675. 93	19, 944, 000, 00	2, 239.4	18, 198, 500. 51	8, 045, 489. 12	2, 374, 152.88	7, 778, 858. 51
<sup>1</sup> Grant to be increased \$24,750. <sup>2</sup> Grant to be increased \$26,883.	~	Loan to be reduc Loan and grant (	ed \$5,000,000. to be increased \$10	05,454.	<sup>5</sup> Loai <sup>6</sup> Grai	n to be reduced nt to be increase	\$101,000. ed \$82,653.	

#### NATIONAL-FOREST ROAD CONSTRUCTION

The two principal classes of forest roads are designated as forest highways and forest-development roads. The latter, as the name implies, serve primarily for the development of the forests; the former are roads of a higher order of traffic importance, generally those joining sections of the Federal-aid or State highway systems outside of the forests, or important community-service roads requiring improvement generally more expensive than that required on forest-development roads.

In the main, the work supervised by the Bureau is limited to the construction of forest highways; forest-development road work is generally administered by the Forest Service. But, while this definition of the work of the two bureaus is approximately correct, the exact line of separation is drawn between what are termed major and minor projects. Major projects administered by the Bureau include all projects in the forest-highway system except those that do not require the technical services of a highway-engineering organization or those having an estimated average cost of less than \$2,000 per mile. Those forest-development road projects of estimated average cost greater than \$5,000 per mile and those requiring technical services are also classed as major projects.

At the beginning of the fiscal year the major forest-road work under contract amounted to \$7,261,605, and \$8,172,286 was available for new work, of which \$5,386,265 had been assigned to projects for which plans were being prepared. The \$8,172,286 available for new work comprised \$7,000,000 authorized by the Hayden-Cartwright Act of June 18, 1934, for the fiscal year 1936 and \$1,172,286 from previous authorizations.

During the year work costing \$8,431,047 was put under contract, and completed work amounted to \$9,814,024. At the close of the year, work under contract amounted to \$5,878,628, and \$6,741,239 was available for new work of which \$4,700,000 was assigned to work being planned. These funds include \$7,000,000 for forest highways authorized by the Hayden-Cartwright Act of June 18, 1934 for the fiscal year 1937 and made available shortly before the close of the fiscal year.

The act of June 16, 1936 authorized \$14,000,000 for forest highways, roads, and trails for each of the fiscal years 1938 and 1939.

In accordance with requirements of the governing rules and regulations a system of forest highways has been designated by concurrent action of the several State highway departments, the Forest Service, and the Bureau of Public Roads and approved by the Secretary of Agriculture. Also, as required by the rules and regulations, the highways constituting this system have been classified as follows:

Class 1. Forest roads forming sections of the Federal-aid highway system, either wholly within or, when so designated by the Forester and the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads, partly without and adjacent to the national forests.

Class 2. Forest roads, not of class 1, which are parts of approved State highway systems, when so designated by the Forester and the Chief of the Bureau of Public Roads.

Class 3. All other forest roads of primary importance to counties or communities.

The roads which, according to these definitions, had been classified as forest highways, had an aggregate length at the end of the fiscal year of 17,649 miles, an increase of 286 miles during the year. The mileage by classes is shown in table 26.

During the past year improvements were completed on 236 miles of the foresthighway system, bringing the total mileage improved to date with Federal funds to 6,466 miles. Of the mileage improved during the year, 181 miles were in the Western States and Alaska, and the remaining 55 miles were in the forests of 18 Eastern States. Of the total mileage improved to date, 5,887 miles are in the West, and 579 miles are in the East.

The mileage of forest highways completed during the year and to date by States is shown in table 27.

	Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Total
Western:	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles
Alaska			484. 9	484, 9
Arizona	324.7	281. 2	460.8	1, 066. 7
California	618.0	1, 302. 0	528.2	2, 448. 2
Colorado	524.0	1, 166. 0	94.0	1, 784. 0
Idaho	721.2	165.3	191.5	1, 078. 0
Montana	666.0	286.8	231.0	1, 183. 8
Nevada	104.6	255.4	100.2	460.2
New Mexico	164.0	518.0		682.0
Oregon	720.7	324.5	318.6	1, 363, 8
South Dakota	227.0		86.0	313.0
Utah	95.6	567.2	67.2	730.0
Washington	386.5	135.4	247.9	769.8
Wyoming	387.3	37.0	217.7	642.0
Total	4, 939. 6	5, 038. 8	3, 028. 0	13, 006. 4
Fostornt				
Lastern.	10		21.0	25.0
Alabama	102.2	144.9	00 5	407.1
Arkansas	192.0	144.0	90.0	447.1
Florida	39.7	135.0	30.3	211.0
Georgia	41.8	31.5	08.7	148.0
Illinois			24.0	24.0
Kentucky	41.0	58.0	13.0	112.0
Louisiana	48.3	118.7	38.0	205.0
M 9 ine			11.0	11.0
Michigan	49.9	108.5	150.3	308.7
Minnesota	179.4	217.7	207.4	604.5
Mississippi	32.0	13.0	69.7	114.7
Missouri			8.0	8.0
Nebraska			28.8	28.8
New Hampshire	41.5	60.5	49.0	151.0
North Carolina	102.0	105.3	48.3	255.6
Oklahoma	31.5		70.5	102.0
Pennsylvania	134.0	250, 9	39.0	423.9
Puerto Rico			21.0	21.0
South Carolina		26.0	15.6	41.6
Tennessee	83.8	105.0	79.0	267.8
Teras	00.0	100.0	35.0	35.0
Vermont	7.0		12.0	10.0
Virginia	70.0	197.0	210.0	416.0
Wost Virginia	137.0	182.0	62.0	381.0
Wiegonein	5.0	148.0	126.1	200.0
W 15001511		145.9	130.1	290.0
Total	1, 249. 2	1, 839. 2	1, 554. 2	4, 642. 6
Grand total	6, 188. 8	6, 878. 0	4, 582. 2	17, 649. 0

TABLE 26.—Classification	of the	mileage	of the .	forest-highway	system at	end of	fiscal
		y ear	1936				

**TABLE 27.**—Mileage of forest highways completed during the fiscal year and total completed to June 30, 1936

State	During 1936	Total to June 30, 1936	State	During 1936	Total to June 30, 1936
Western: Alaska	Miles 4. 9 33. 9 14. 0 20. 6 24. 3 4. 8 6. 9 10. 1 17. 0 8. 3 6. 4 180. 8	Miles 253. 0 560. 4 771. 9 517. 2 669. 9 595. 4 157. 7 305. 0 980. 2 61. 2 346. 7 316. 0 352. 7 5, 887. 3	Eastern—Continued. Georgia	Miles	Miles 21.0 1.5 43.3 113.0 8.1 8.7 13.2 50.9 16.1 7.1 15.6 45.1 22.9 6.2 14.7
Eastern: Alabama Arkansas Florida	10. 7	5. 1 125. 0 61. 6	TotalGrand total	54.8 235.6	579. 1 6, 466. 4

The forest highways completed to the end of the fiscal year by types are shown in table 28. Those under construction at that time are shown in table 29.

	1	1	1						
State	Graded and drained	Sand clay	Traffic bound surfaces of mis- cellane- ous ma- terials	Bitumi- nous surface treated	Low- cost bi- tumi- nous mix	Bitumi nous mac- adam	Port- land cement con- crete	Bridges	Total
Western States: Alaska Arizona	Miles	Miles	Miles 250. 1	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles 2.9	Miles 253.0
California Colorado Idaho	255. 2 153. 6 289. 2		236. 4 320. 8	$210.5 \\ 56.1 \\ 58.0$	15. 4 89. 3 70. 7	23.4		2.3	560. 4 771. 9 517. 2
New Mexico Oregon	208.3 44.3 50.5 177.2		285.4 51.4 197.8	3.3	99.8 62.0 53.3			( <sup>1</sup> ) ( <sup>1</sup> )	595.4 157.7 305.0
South Dakota Utah Washington Wyoming	139.4 72.0		47.7 184.3 242.7	13.5	22.5	14. 6	0.1	1.9 .5 1.3	980. 2 61. 2 346. 7 316. 0
Total	43.8		242.6	477.0	66.0 514.2	38.0	.1	.3	352.7 5,887.3
Eastern States: Alabama Arkansas Florida	98.3		$5.1 \\ 26.1$						5. 1 125. 0
Georgia Illinois Michigan	11.2	4.3	9.8 1.5 43.3	26.6 	29.8			( <sup>1</sup> ).9	61. 6 21. 0 1. 5
Minnesota Missouri Nebraska New Hampshire	34.9 8.7	3.6	52.4 8.1	12.8	9. 2			.1	43.3 113.0 8.1 8.7
North Carolina Oklahoma Pennsylvania	14. 1 . 8		6. 6 15. 3	13. 2 30. 2				(1) (1) (1)	13. 2 50. 9 16. 1
South Carolina Tennessee Virginia West Virginia	3.5		45. 1 2. 2	15.6 10.7	6. 5			(1)	7.1 15.6 45.1 22.9
Wisconsin Total	.5	7.9	2.6 14.2	3.6	50.0			(1)	6. 2 14. 7
Grand total	1, 832. 9	7.9	3, 415. 4	591.4	565.1	38.0	.1	1.6	579. 1 6, 466. 4

TABLE 28.—Completed forest highways by types, by States

<sup>1</sup> Less than 0.1 mile.

State	Graded and drained	Traffic- bound surfaces of miscella- necus materials	Water- bound mac- adam	Bitumi- nous surface treated	Low-cost bitumi- nous mix	Bitumi- nous mac- adam	Portland- cement concrete	Total
Western States:	Miles	Miles 30, 7	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles 47 0
Arizona Cal fornia	28. 2 40. 0	12.7		52. 1	1.9			40. 9 94. 0
Idaho Montana	19.6 6.3	14.8 25.3		29.8	13.6 12.2 12.1			28.4 57.1 48.2
Nevada New Mexico Oregon	43.5	18.6 15.8		16. 1			0, 2	16, 1 18, 6 59, 5
South Dakota Utah Washington		8.6			. 7.6			7.6 8.6 19.6
Wyoming	2.6	196.5	<u> </u>		47 4			2.6
Eastern States:								. 440. 2
Florida Georgia Kentucky		8.1						1, 1 8, 1
Michigan Minnesota	6.0	13.4						13.4 6.0
Pennsy Ivania West Virzinia	2.4					2.0		14.0 2.0 2.4
Wisconsin Total	<u></u> <u>9.9</u>	21.5	14.0			2.0		1.5
Grand total	185. 9	148.0	14.0	98.0	47 4	2.0	. 2	495.7

TABLE 29.—Mileage of forest highways under construction, June 30, 1936

<sup>1</sup> Includes 0.1 mile of bridges.

<sup>2</sup> Less than 0.1 mile of bridges.

Construction of roads in the national forests is carried on continuously with annual authorizations of funds. The work consists largely of extensions of previous improvements to close gaps in important State and Federal-aid routes and the construction of important recreational highways.

Examples of such construction in Montana are the Clark Fork Hig<sup>b</sup>way and the Columbia Falls-Glacier Park Highway; in Oregon, the Willamette, Santiam, North Santiam, and John Day-Burns Highways; in Washington, the Randle-Yakima Highway; in Arizona, the Oak Creek and Clifton-Alpine Highways; in California, the Lava Beds, Howard Gulch, Placerville-Lake Tahoe, and Mount Shasta-Mount Lassen Highways; in Colorado, the South St. Vrain, Berthoud Pass, Loveland-Fremont Pass, and Mount Evans Highways; in New Mexico, the James Canyon Highway; in Wyoming, the Buffalo-Tensleep Highway; in Idaho, the North Pacific Highway, Sawtooth Park Highway, and Yellowstone Park Highway; in Utah, the Logan-Garden City Highway.

The Lewis and Clark Highway in Idaho and the Catalina Highway in Arizona are so located that Federal-prison labor can be conveniently used, and prisoners are being used on a portion of the construction.

A large mileage of clay-bound crushed-stone and gravel roads is being surfaced by applying bituminous materials and blading or processing the existing road material. Additional stone or gravel is added where necessary.

The employment on forest-highway work during the last 12 months will be found in table 2.

# ROAD CONSTRUCTION IN NATIONAL PARKS AND MONUMENTS

Under an agreement of several years standing, construction of roads in or leading to national parks and monuments is supervised by the Bureau of Public Roads. During the fiscal year 1936 construction was completed on 204 miles of such roads, making a total of 1,124 miles thus far improved. Tables 30 and 31 show the location and the types of the completed roads.

TABLE 30.-Highways completed in or leading to national parks and monuments

Park or monument	Com- pleted during 1936	Total to June 30, 1936	Park or monument	Com- pleted during 1936	Total to June 30, 1936
Acadia	Miles 4.0 	$\begin{array}{c} Miles \\ 12.\ 4 \\ 21.\ 9 \\ 8.\ 4 \\ 10.\ 4 \\ 10.\ 9 \\ 53.\ 6 \\ 3.\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 6.\ 4 \\ 2.\ 6 \\ 2.\ 9 \\ 55.\ 7 \\ 162.\ 1 \\ 11.\ 7 \\ 35.\ 6 \\ 3.\ 5 \\ 35.\ 3 \\ 5.\ 3 \\ \end{array}$	Meriwether Lewis Mesa Verde Morristown. Mount Rainier. National Capital Parks Petersburg. Petrified Forest. Rocky Mountains. Scotts Bluff. Sequoia. Shenandoah. Vicksburg. Wind Cave Yellowstone Yosemite. Zion Total.	Miles 1.9 12.3 3.1 2.3  3.4 31.2 4.6  72.2 9.6  203.6	Miles 1.9 20.4 1.7 75.4 4.9 5.8 26.3 43.1 71.3 4.6 46.1 71.3 4.6 15.9 250.8 863 318.9 1,124.1

TABLE 31.—Highways completed in or leading to national parks and monuments at end of fiscal year 1936, by types

Park	Graded and drained	Gravel	Bitumi- nous treated	Bitumi- nous mixture	Bitumi- nous mac- adam	Bitumi- nous con- crete	Port- land- cement con- crete	Bridges	Total
Acadia Bryce Canyon	Miles	Miles	Miles 4.2	Miles 21.9	Miles 8. 2	Miles	Miles	Miles	Miles 12.4 21.9
Carlsbad Caverns Chickamauga-Chatta- nooga			<u>-</u> -	8.4 10.4					8.4 10.4
Colonial Crater Lake Devils Tower Fredericksburg Spotsyl	1. 2 12. 0	25. 0	1.9	7.8	6.8		8.8	0.2 .1 .3	53.6 .3
General Grant	6.4		16.3					.1	16.4 6.4
Birthplace Gettysburg Glacier	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	30.6	2.6 25.0	.8		2. 1		. 1	2.6 2.9 55.7
Grand Canyon Great Smoky Mountains Hawaii		8.3 7.7	10.6	139. 1 4. 0 9. 0	14. 6 16. 0			.1	162.1 11.7 35.6
Hot Springs Lassen Volcanic Meriwether Lewis Mose Vorde		.6	3.5 4.8	29.9 1.9 20.4					35.3 35.3 1.9 20.4
Morristown Mount Rainier National Capital Parks	21. 8 1. 1	1.7 25.4			27.9	3.7		.3	1.7 75.4 4.9
Petersburg Petrified Forest Rocky Mountain		$\begin{array}{c} 26.1\\ 6.9\end{array}$	5.8 4.2	32.0				.2	5.8 26.3 43.1
Scotts Bluif Sequoia Shenandoah	.6 6.3 5.5	31.4	30.6	9. 2 34. 4			4.5		46. 1 71. 3
Wind Cave Yellowstone Yoseunite	24.1	20. 2	8.6 154.3 25.9	7.3 52.0 16.1	14.0	10.0	6.2	.2	15.9 250.8 86.3
Zion Total	92.8	184. 0	299.0	422, 4	87.5	15.8	20, 5	2.1	18.9 1, 124. <b>1</b>
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

New highway construction is fast closing the gaps that have existed in the long routes that go to make up the park system of highways. Work in Yellowstone Park is an outstanding example of this development. During the past year 72 miles were completed in this park. This mileage includes construction on the Grand Loop, South Entrance, East Entrance, and Tower Junction-Cooke City roads.

The Red Lodge-Cooke City approach road to Yellowstone and the Cameron-Desert View approach road to Grand Canyon National Park were both completed during the year.

In Shenandoah National Park construction of the Skyline Drive, 96 miles in length, is progressing rapidly. The northern section of this drive, between Thornton Gap and Front Royal, is completed a total of approximately 64 miles completed to date. Two sections between Swift Run Gap and Jarman Gap totaling approximately 17 miles in length are under construction, leaving only about 15 miles to be awarded to contract; plans for this work are being rapidly developed. The Skyline Drive is the outstanding national-park highway in the East, following closely the crest of the Blue Ridge Mountains and offering spectacular scenic views to the tourist almost continuously throughout its length.

A Blue Ridge Parkway is being planned as an extension of the Skyline Drive, following closely the crest of the Blue Ridge Mountains through Virginia, North Carolina, and Tennessee, to the Great Smoky Mountains National Park, a distance of approximately 460 miles. Surveys are being made rapidly along this route. Contracts have been let for 16 sections, 7 in Virginia and 9 in North Carolina, with an aggregate length of approximately 119 miles, at an estimated cost of \$4,221,000.

Rivaling the Skyline Drive in prominence and scenic beauty is the park highway being constructed across the Great Smoky Mountains through the pass at Newfound Gap and across the boundary line between North Carolina and Tennessee. This highway is approximately 30 miles in length, and is one of the highest park highways in the East.

The old Natchez Trace, obtained by treaty with the Indians in 1801, was used as an early pioneer road between Nashville, Tenn., and Natchez, Miss., and is approximately 470 miles in length. A portion of this historic old road is at present being surveyed.

Table 32 shows the park and monument highways under construction at the close of the fiscal year, segregated by types of construction. Most of this work consists of the further improvement of roads previously improved under the direction of the Bureau.

Park	Graded and drained	Gravel	Bitumi- nous treated	Bitumi- nous mixture	Portland- cement concrete	Bridges	Total
Blue Ridge Parkway Chalmette Colonial Crater Lake Fort Donelson. Fredericksburg-Spotsylvania	Miles	Miles 118.6 	Miles	Miles 	Miles 0.5 7.3	Miles 0.1	Miles 118.7 5 7.3 1.1 47.0 2.8 10.0
Glacier Grand Canyon Great Smoky Mountains Kill Devil Hill Morristown	19.9	10.5	9. 1 1. 3	32. 0		.1	20. 0 41. 1 10. 5 1. 3
Petersburg Petrified Forest Rocky Mountain	6. 1 1. 3 8. 1	11.9		25. 3 4. 5			18. 0 1. 3 25. 3 12. 6
Sequoia Shenandoah Shiloh Wind Cave		17.1		16.3 3.5 8.6	5. 7		16.3 17.1 9.2 8.6
Yoseinite Total	28.7 20.2 100.5	19.9  191. 2	27.0	203.8	13. 5	. 7	31.8 536.7

 
 TABLE 32.—Highways under construction in or leading to national parks and monuments at end of fiscal year 1936, by types

The mileages of approach roads to national parks and monuments completed, under construction, and approved for construction are reported in table 33.

TABLE 33.—Location and length of approach roads to national parks and monuments

Road	Park	Approved for con- struction	Com- pleted	Under con- struction
Cameron-Desert View South Approach Jacobs Lake-North Rim Mineral-Lassen Volcanic Sequoia-General Grant Custer-Wind Cave Southwest Approach Moran-Yellowstone Red Lodge-Cooke City East Approach Zion-Bryce Canyon	Grand Canyondo dodo. Lassen Sequoia-General Grant Wind Cave. Yellowstonedo	Miles 28. 1 52. 3 31. 2 8. 8 13. 5 8. 6 13. 9 24. 0 59. 6 23. 0 35. 0	Miles 52. 3 18. 8 13. 5 13. 9 5. 9 48. 1	Miles 28. 1 
Total		298.0	142.5	60.5

<sup>1</sup> Includes 4.2 miles of road completed as a national-forest highway.

At the close of the fiscal year the total park road work completed by the Bureau amounted to approximately \$44,800,000. Work under construction is estimated to cost approximately \$15,750,000. Additional construction is planned that is estimated to cost \$4,800,000.

#### **INTER-AMERICAN HIGHWAY**

Considerable progress has been made during the year on the Inter-American Highway from the United States to Panama. A report of a reconnaissance survey through Panama and the republics of Central America, describing a feasible route, was made in the preceding year.

A representative of the Bureau visited the seven countries through which the highway will pass—Panama, Costa Rica, Nicaragua, Honduras, El Salvador, Guatemala, and Mexico—during September and October 1935, and interviewed high government officials to ascertain as definitely as possible the nature of the cooperative work most needed and most acceptable to the several governments. The ability of the governments to finance proposed work was studied, and a program was drawn up providing for the construction of a bridge in each of the following countries: Panama, Nicaragua, Honduras, and Guatemala. The program also included a location survey in Panama, and at the special request of Costa Rica and Nicaragua, additional reconnaissance surveys in those countries:

This program, together with proposed terms of cooperation, was submitted to the President and approved. The conditions for cooperation provide that the United States Government will furnish American bridge steel and cement with a small amount of equipment not available in the several countries for the construction of the proposed bridges; and the other countries will provide all local materials, important items of transportation and all labor necessary for the building of the substructures ready to receive the steel. In October 1935 an office was opened in San Jose, Costa Rica, and a bridge engineer was sent into the field to prepare bridge plans and specifications. The cooperative arrangements were accepted by Panama, Honduras, and Guatemala for bridge construction and by Costa Rica for the reconnaissance survey requested. The construction work was started, together with the location surveys in Panama, and before the end of the fiscal year Nicaragua also had given assurances of cooperation on the bridge and reconnaissance survey requested by that Government.

In agreeing to the terms of cooperation the Republic of Panama gave assurance that with the assistance it is receiving it will complete about 60 miles of additional road within its borders, which will complete the Central Highway to the Costa Rican frontier. Honduras agrees to continue work on the short section of the road within its border, and Guatemala has already started reconstruction of that part of the road lying between the proposed bridge and the El Salvador frontier. These assurances covering road construction provide for the completion of approximately 157 miles of highway. Cooperative agreements cover the construction of bridges over the Chiriqui River in Panama, over the Choluteca River in Honduras, and over the Tamazulapa River in Guatemala.

Plans and specifications for these bridges are complete, and construction of the foundations and substructures of the Chiriqui bridge was started on April 1, 1936, and soon after similar work was started at Choluteca and Tamazulapa. Because of the delay in receiving assurances from Nicaragua, work had not been started on the Ochomogo bridge at the close of the fiscal year. The location survey in Panama has been completed and also the additional reconnaissance survey in Costa Rica. On June 26, 1936, proposals were requested of the leading American bridge concerns for the superstructures of the Chiriqui, Choluteca, and Tamazulapa bridges. The over-all lengths of these structures are: For the Chiriqui bridge, 787 feet; for the Choluteca bridge, 984 feet; and for the Tamazulapa bridge, 486feet.

In April and May steps were taken to develop a supplementary program as it was apparent that the funds available would, in all probability, provide for additional smaller bridges in several of the cooperating countries. At the close of the fiscal year this supplementary program was pending.

The effects of the cooperative work are already apparent in all of the countries concerned, and especially in Costa Rica and Nicaragua. New administrations in both of those countries have indicated that road construction and improved transportation conditions will constitute major policies of the administrations.

At the end of the fiscal year Mexico had completed an excellent highway 770 miles in length from Nuevo Laredo on the Texas border to Mexico City and had announced its formal opening on July 1. At the invitation of the Mexican Government, Bureau officials participated in the formal ceremonies in connection with this opening.

# TRANSPORTATION, ECONOMIC, AND STATISTICAL INVESTIGATIONS

#### STATE-WIDE HIGHWAY PLANNING SURVEYS

The State-wide highway planning surveys initiated in 40 States during the year constitute the most comprehensive and far-reaching economic investigation yet undertaken by the Burcau. The purposes and scope of these surveys are described on page 10. The States which are engaged in making surveys or have definitely indicated a desire to cooperate in them are listed below.

Alabama.
Arizona.
Arkansas.
California.
Colorado.
Florida.
Idaho.
Illinois.
Indiana.
Iowa.

Kansas. Kentucky. Louisiana. Maryland. Michigan. Minnesota. Missouri. Montana. Nebraska. Nevada. New Hampshire. New Mexico. North Carolina. North Dakota. Oklahoma. Oregon Pennsylvania. Rhode Island. South Carolina. South Dakota. Tennessee. Texas. Utah. Vermont. Virginia. Washington. West Virginia. Wisconsin. Wyoming.

#### CONNECTICUT TRAFFIC SURVEY

A report of a survey of traffic on the State highway system of Connecticut has been completed and submitted to the State highway department. A digest of the report was published by the Bureau. In addition to traffic-flow data, detailed information was obtained for truck and bus traffic, as to the situs of ownership of the vehicle; its classification as owner operated, contract hauler, or common carrier; the gross load of the vehicle and contents; contact with railroad service; classification of the trip as intercity, from country to country or between city and country; and the origin and destination of the trip.

#### ARKANSAS TRAFFIC SURVEY

A report of a survey of traffic in Arkansas was submitted to the State highway commission and published by the State. The report includes data on the usage of the entire State highway system that will be of basic importance in planning future improvement.

#### SOUTH CAROLINA TRAFFIC-SAFETY SURVEY

The report on the South Carolina cooperative traffic-safety survey was completed during the year and approved for publication by the State. Volume and type of traffic, speed of vehicles, and obedience of drivers to traffic-control. devices, as determined by field observations, are analyzed in detail.

#### TRAFFIC-CAPACITY STUDIES

Studies of the speed of vehicles in relation to traffic density and highway capacity have been continued. Analysis of field data indicates that there is no apparent correlation between speed and volume of traffic over a wide range of traffic volume and that because of inevitable irregularities in traffic flow and in individual vehicle speeds, practical highway capacity is far below maximum theoretical capacity.

# NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON STREET AND HIGHWAY SAFETY

The Burcau continued its cooperation with the executive committee of the National Conference on Street and Highway Safety, especially in the promotion of uniform legislation in the various States.

# AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF MOTOR-VEHICLE ADMINISTRATORS

The Bureau has actively cooperated in the work of the American Association of Motor-Vehicle Administrators, which has undertaken an intensive research and educational program to advance uniformity in legislation, reciprocity, and safety. Under an agreement with the association, a member of the Bureau staff is serving as its executive secretary.

# MAINTENANCE-COST STUDIES

Study of highway maintenance costs in relation to traffic volume under agreements with the State highway departments of Connecticut, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island has entered its second year. Traffic records for the first year, covering 52 sections of highway in New Hampshire and 31 sections in Connecticut, were completed in May, and records on 102 sections in Rhode Island were completed in July. Detailed maintenance costs on the same sections are being kept by the States. These studies are to be continued over a period of years. When sufficient data are available an analysis will be made to determine the relation between traffic volume and maintenance costs for the different types of surface.

### RAILROAD-LINE ABANDONMENT STUDIES

Nine proposed railroad abandonments, totaling 287 miles, were inspected during July and August 1935 by arrangement with the Interstate Commerce Commission and the American Railway Association, to determine what additional highway facilities might be needed to replace the rail service. The work was suspended in September inasmuch as the highway-planning surveys then being undertaken were expected to supply the necessary highway data which had previously to be compiled in the field for each project separately.

#### HIGHWAY MANAGEMENT AND PRODUCTION-COST STUDIES

#### EFFECT OF HIGHWAY EXPENDITURES ON EMPLOYMENT

Labor and employment studies have been extended so as to trace more fully the effect of highway construction on employment as its effect extends through the many contributory industries. The studies include an intensive analysis of the various progressive transactions that arise from the annual expenditures of public funds for the various types of highway-construction work.

In this analysis it was found that, as an average for all our highway construction work during the past decade, about 24.4 percent of the total cost was paid in salaries and wages to labor engaged directly on the job, 50.3 percent was paid to industrial or indirect labor as compensation for the production, preparation, transportation, and distribution of the materials, supplies, and equipment used in or in connection with the actual building of the road, while practically all of the remaining 25.3 percent of the total outlay eventually reached the workers engaged in connection with a large variety of reinvestment projects that were found to receive a direct stimulus from the construction activities within the highway field.

These are Nation-wide average figures for highway construction as a whole. However, variations on different types of construction are large. For high-type surfaces the average payments to direct labor on the job amounted to only 18 percent of the total cost, but an average of 56 percent of the total cost went to indirect labor. On the other hand, for ordinary grading work an average of 43 percent of the total cost was paid to direct labor on the job, but here only 34 percent went to indirect labor for such items as the production of materials, supplies, equipment, and their transportation and distribution. The studies show that practically all of both the indirect labor and that resulting from reinvestment of funds is in or associated with industrial activities. The stimulating influence on industrial employment of the construction of high-type highway surfaces and structures as well as the fullest utilization of modern equipment is more definitely established by the studies. Where hand labor is permitted to replace modern equipment the amount of improvement obtained with a given expenditure is materially reduced, and the benefit to indirect or industrial labor in cities becomes almost negligible. In low-type construction and grading work a large proportion of the expenditure goes to labor employed on the job. Such employment is largely made up of rural and small-town workers. On the other hand, for high-type construction and structures an equally large proportion of the total cost is eventually paid to labor, but most of this labor comes from cities. The results of these studies have been published in a special report.

# EMERGENCY-EMPLOYMENT DATA

Administrative work in connection with the large program of emergency road construction has continued to occupy the time of a considerable portion of the personnel of the Division of Management. However, as administrative work is completed or the necessity for it passes, the suspended normal activities are being resumed.

Collection of information in regard to the number of men employed directly on Federal and State highway work has been continued on a monthly basis (table 2) during the past year.

Much further experience has been gained during the past year in establishing the value of low-cost rural highway construction as a local work-relief measure.

Analysis has been made of work of this kind involving 1,866 miles of grading and 3,280 miles of surfacing in 9 States. The work involved the movement of 13,328,528 cubic yards of excavation at an average cost of 40 cents per cubic yard, or an average cost of \$2,860 per mile, and the application of 4,222,161 cubic yards of gravel, caliche, and other forms of local surfacing materials at an average cost of \$1.45 per cubic yard or \$1,860 per mile.

The communities where the work was done have obtained substantial road improvements fully worth every dollar of their cost, and at the same time their drought-stricken farmers have been provided with sufficient employment to afford a material measure of support for themselves, their families, and their livestock. All labor and teams, as well as the necessary trucks and other equipment not owned by the counties or townships, were hired almost entirely from local residents.

The accumulated experience with this work indicates that certain methods must be followed implicitly if a dollar's worth of highway improvement is to be produced for each dollar of expenditure. A competent highway engineer should prepare plans and specifications after a careful study to determine the best location and the type of construction best adapted to the conditions. The construction work should be properly organized and carefully supervised. Efficient construction methods using adequate mechanical equipment should not be replaced by inefficient hand-labor methods.

#### ESTIMATING STANDARDS

Work has been continued during the past year on the development of such standard forms, definitions, and subdivision of items as will serve to place the preparation of estimates of highway-construction costs on a more uniform basis. Any means or measures that will increase the accuracy of estimating methods will be of the greatest value not only to the highway engineers and highway constructors but also to the public at large, which in the long run bears the cost of the inefficiencies of our present system. Tentative standards have been worked out that are applicable to a contractor's cost-keeping system designed to show costs during the progress of the construction and final unit costs as a guide in bidding on other work. These proposed standards are being given a field test on a number of jobs in order to determine modifications that may be desirable in order to answer most fully the requirements of both the highway engineer and the highway contractor. Both are greatly in need of more reliable means of estimating the probable cost of proposed work.

#### INDEX FIGURES

A study of the trend of highway-construction costs during the period 1923 through 1934 for the country as a whole has been completed and published. The data collected have been converted into index numbers and graphs that show the trend not only of the average cost per mile of our highways but also the trend of prices of materials that enter into highway construction, as well as the trend in highway designs as influenced by provisions for the safety, comfort, and speed of More intense use of our highways, with its accompanying public demand travel. for greater safety and convenience of the traffic, has brought about the construction of wider surfaces, the adoption of better alinement, the reduction of steep grades, and the improvement of the roadsides. Additional work of these kinds increase what is called the usage trend. Unit costs of most of materials that enter into the construction of highways have shown, except for the last 2 years, a generally decreasing trend, but the amount per mile which our new roads are costing us has shown a generally increasing trend. For example, while in 1923 the cost index on a per-mile basis was 100, prices were 118 and usage only 87; in 1934 the highway-cost index reached 118, and usage had climbed to 142 although the price index had declined to 86.

#### STUDIES OF PRODUCTION MANAGEMENT AND UNIT COSTS

Studies of efficiency in the management of highway-construction operations have been greatly curtailed since 1933 in order that the personnel might be used in administrative work in connection with the enlarged program of emergency highway work. These studies are now being gradually resumed. Those completed in past years have been of great value to contractors in obtaining a smoothrunning and highly productive organization. Practices recommended by the Bureau some years ago are now widely used. There is still much work to be done in this field and greater attention will be given to it during the coming year.

#### HIGHWAY-ACCOUNTING METHODS

The Bureau has continued its efforts to further the development and installation of efficient accounting procedures by highway-building agencies. It has a direct interest in this work because of its cooperation with the States in road construction and also because of its collection and dissemination of statistics and general information in the highway field. If the gradual accumulation of experience and data is to be of the greatest practical value, statistical methods, terms, and nomenclature must be uniform from year to year and in all States. The aim of the Bureau is therefore to assist the States in developing and installing a system of records and accounts that will be economical in operation, readily understandable, and at the same time meet all of the legal and accounting requirements. A satisfactory system must give complete statistical information on every activity in which the department is engaged. To be of the greatest practical value, statistical data must be so standardized as to be fully comparable with the corresponding data from any other State. As yet such comparable data are obtainable from only a few States. Cooperative work along these lines was continued during the year insofar as time and opportunities permitted.

#### PHYSICAL RESEARCH

#### SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION

Efforts have been continued to improve the methods of subsurface exploration that were mentioned in the last annual report and to extend their application in highway work. The seismic apparatus was successfully employed to locate rock at depths of 300 to 400 feet and also to differentiate between relatively shallow layers of various degrees of density. In the latter work direct comparisons of the indications of the resistivity and seismic methods were made along a section of the Skyline Drive in Virginia, and analysis of the data indicates that the methods will be of assistance in classifying materials in advance of excavation. For work of this character the resistivity method was found to be the simpler and more rapid of the two. A report on these studies has been prepared.

### MOTOR-VEHICLE IMPACT INVESTIGATIONS

Study of the elastic action of concrete when subjected to static and to impact forces has been carried on actively throughout the year. Special apparatus built for this difficult research is functioning satisfactorily, and many thousands of test loadings have been applied. The data obtained in these tests furnish fundamental information concerning the relative effects of loads applied to pavements slowly and those applied quickly. Such information is important in relating test data from slowly applied loads to the loads applied rapidly to highways by motor vehicles. The data are needed for the rational design of highway surfaces.

#### MEASUREMENT OF ROAD-SURFACE ROUGHNESS

Surface smoothness is a matter of great importance to the highway user, and an accurate method of determining the degree of smoothness that exists is most desirable. Existing devices for this purpose, including the relative-roughness indicator developed by the Bureau, are not entirely satisfactory, and efforts to develop more satisfactory apparatus have been continued. The standarized single-wheel vehicle, mentioned in the last annual report, has been further improved and appears to be satisfactory and attention has been turned to improving the performance of the relative-roughness indicator itself. Studies of a number of possible modifications of this unit are under way.

#### INVESTIGATION OF CONCRETE-PAVEMENT DESIGN

This detailed study of the structural action of concrete-pavement slabs has been described in preceding annual reports. It has been brought near completion during the past year. Three comprehensive reports have been published, and a fourth report is ready for publication. The fifth and final report of the series is being prepared. These reports make available new information concerning the destructive forces to which pavement slabs are subjected and suggest some means for protection. There is evidence that the information developed in this research is already being put to practical use by State highway organizations and other agencies.

#### INVESTIGATION OF CORRUGATED-METAL CULVERTS

The study of the erosion test for coated corrugated culvert pipe was mentioned in the last annual report. The study has been continued during the year. Some modifications have been made in the testing equipment, to obtain better temperature and speed control. A number of check tests have been carried on with other agencies equipped for this test in order to learn the degree of concordance to be expected among laboratories. Some results of value have already been obtained from these check tests. Limited tests have been made with abrasives other than that called for in current specifications, and the search for a more suitable abrasive material will be continued.

## FLEXIBLE-PAVEMENT DESIGN

The study of the general problem of the structural design of highway surfaces of the so-called flexible type has been continued. The problem is complex, and the information needed is fundamental in character. An extensive review has been made of reports on pertinent researches, particularly those of a number of foreign investigators, dealing with soil bearing power, pressure distribution to soils through rigid and through flexible plates, and on dynamic tests in which the ability of various soils and road surfaces to transmit vibration have been determined. The development of suitable pressure-measuring apparatus is being studied.

## NONBITUMINOUS ROAD MATERIALS

Recent technical changes in the process of manufacturing portland cement have resulted in the production and use of cements differing materially in chemical composition from those formerly used in highway work. The possibility that the changes, which were made primarily to increase strength, may have adversely affected the ability of the cement to resist weathering accounts for the wide interest now being manifested in this problem. The Bureau has two series of tests under way. One series is being carried on in cooperation with a number of State highway and university laboratories working under the sponsorship of the Highway Research Board. A report of the Bureau's work will be presented as a portion of a committee report now being prepared for release during the next annual meeting of the board in November 1936.

Considerable work has been done during the year on portland cement blended with various amounts of natural cement for the purpose of imparting certain desirable qualities to the concrete. Research work is also in progress in connection with the use of so-called high-silica cement in lieu of portland cement. No results from either of these investigations are as yet available. In the field of mineral aggregates for concrete and bituminous work, research activities during the past year have been concentrated largely on two problems: (1) The further development of the Los Angeles abrasion test for quality of coarse aggregates; and (2) critical studies of the present accelerated tests for soundness of aggregates. Largely as the result of the Bureau's work, the Los Angeles abrasion test was recognized this year as a tentative standard of the American Society for Testing Materials. It has also been adopted by a number of State highway departments to replace the old standard Deval abrasion test. Studies of the accelerated-soundness tests have been confined largely to efforts, in cooperation with other laboratories, to eliminate the many variables in the testing procedure which make the results of these tests so uncertain. The amount of time which it has been possible to give to this work has been greatly limited on account of the large volume of routine testing work assigned to the laboratory during the year.

A paper describing a method of making absorption tests of sand, developed in the Bureau's laboratories, was presented at the 1936 annual meeting of the American Society for Testing Materials. The use of this procedure in the field should assist materially in improving the control of the quality of concrete, by increasing the accuracy of the control of the quantity of water used.

The analysis of the large volume of data accumulated in the Bureau's studies of vibration of concrete is still under way. A report is being prepared for publication. In general, the results verify the conclusions reached as the result of the former work done by the Bureau along this line.

The results of the first series of tests of "de-aired" paving brick, to which reference was made last year, were presented in the form of a progress report at the last annual meeting of the National Paving-Brick Association. The results did not reveal the general improvement in quality that had been anticipated, and it has been decided to defer further work until the producers have had an opportunity to eliminate certain technical difficulties that have been encountered in its manufacture.

#### BITUMINOUS ROAD MATERIALS

Research on bituminous materials and their uses in road construction has continued along the general lines followed in previous years. Work has been done on the improvement of laboratory methods of examining bituminous road materials and the mineral aggregates used with them. Field studies of problems in bituminous-road construction have been conducted. Cooperative work has been carried on with the State highway departments and with committees of technical organizations in the development of specifications and test methods.

Laboratory studies of the properties of asphalt cements, tars, and liquid-asphaltic road materials, including emulsions, are being continued to provide additional information about their physical and chemical properties and to determine This inforthose properties that are indicative of quality and service behavior. mation is needed in order that requirements necessary for given conditions may be specified without reference to source of supply or method of manufacture. The materials being studied are representative of the present production fields and of the products manufactured. This study is prompted by the variations in refinery practices resulting from the unbalanced demands for certain petroleum products and by the growing tendency to include in specifications requirements of questionable value for the control of quality. The variations in methods of refining have resulted in the production of road materials which are not greatly different as measured by present tests but show considerable variation in service The specification requirements that are believed to be of doubtful behavior. value tend to restrict unduly the field of supply and eliminate reasonable competition without adequate guarantee of satisfactory quality.

Asphalt cements representing the range of present-day production are being examined for compliance with current specifications, including those requirements commonly specified and others which are of more recent origin and are relatively local in application. Mixtures containing these asphalt cements are being subjected to mechanical tests to study the effect of the properties of the asphalts upon their behavior in mixtures. As a part of this study, a cooperative project has been begun with the Minnesota Department of Highways and the University of Minnesota for the study of bituminous mixtures containing asphalt cements supplied in the upper Mississippi Valley. The primary object of this cooperative work is to study the effect of weathering on different materials by means of accelerated laboratory weathering tests.

Laboratory studies of hot and cold bituminous mixtures are being continued to develop methods of test that will produce uniform results and will be indicators of probable service behavior. The information developed indicates that the methods of test for mixtures must be more exactly defined and controlled than heretofore if results sufficiently uniform to evaluate variable properties of mixtures are to be obtained. This study includes the investigation of laboratoryprepared mixtures submitted to various mechanical tests and to controlled accelerated traffic on a small circular track, and the observation and examination of actual pavements laid in accordance with current practice.

The two bituminous experimental roads constructed in Berkeley County, S. C., in 1929, and in Holt County, Nebr. in 1929 and 1930, in cooperation with the State highway departments, have been discontinued as experimental projects. Final reports covering their construction, cost, and service behavior are being prepared.

New projects for the study of the use of cotton-fabric reinforcement in the construction and maintenance of low-cost bituminous roads have been initiated in cooperation with several State highway departments. Experimental projects have been started in Alabama, North Carolina, and Tennessee. The Alabama experiment will consist of a bituminous surface treatment of a chert base; the North Carolina experiment will be made on similar construction placed on a sand-clay base; and the Tennessee experiment will include three types of bituminous construction placed upon a clay-chert base. Each experiment includes sections without reinforcement and sections reinforced with each of three grades of cotton fabric. In each group of sections the bases will be made as uniform as possible to eliminate a variable that otherwise might have an important effect on the service behavior of the different sections. The studies include surveys of the subgrade, observation of base and bituminous surface construction, and the accumulation of data on costs of construction and maintenance, and on service behavior.

In addition to these special cooperative experiments many other road surfaces are being constructed by the State highway departments using fabric reinforcement furnished through the cotton diversion program of the Department of Agriculture. Construction and maintenance costs and other pertinent data from these experiments will be furnished to the Bureau for a comprehensive study of the value of cotton fabric in bituminous road construction. A report will be issued when the roads have been in service a sufficient length of time to permit the drawing of conclusions.

Field studies have been made of a number of low-cost bituminous-surfaced roads in Delaware, Pennsylvania, and Virginia that suffered severely during the past winter, to determine the reasons for failure.

A study of bituminous and nonbituminous materials for filling the joints in concrete pavements is being conducted on a section of the Mount Vernon Memorial Highway. A number of materials are being used in this study, and as soon as any of them prove unsatisfactory in service they are removed and replaced by others that have sufficient promise to deserve investigation.

#### SUBGRADE INVESTIGATIONS

A considerable increase in the use of the methods of soil testing sponsored by the Bureau has been manifested since the adoption of these methods by the American Association of State Highway Officials and the American Society for Testing Materials. The resulting demand for check samples, check tests, and instruction in the technique of soil testing has been met. It is apparent that this service, combined with researches on routine test methods to ascertain the causes of discrepancies in test results obtained by different operators, will become increasingly important in the future.

A number of State highway departments are now specifying soil tests to control the selection of soil materials for highway purposes. The Bureau will continue its work in the development of specifications based on the subgrade soil tests. A report on limerocks for use as bases for bituminous wearing courses was published during the fiscal year. This report contains analyses of samples from roads in service and furnishes a basis for rational design.

Information has been developed concerning the presence of certain chemicals in soils and ground water that seem to be associated with the warping and also with the disintegration of concrete pavements. A report has been published on a microchemical method of analysis for the identification of these chemicals. Further studies are being conducted using a petrographic miscroscope that makes possible more accurate determinations.

A report on the analysis of the data collected in connection with the investigation of the hydraulic fill at Four Mile Run on the Mount Vernon Memorial Highway has been published. This report contains a comparison of computed and measured settlements and indicates that the method of analysis described furnishes a satisfactory basis for estimating settlements under similar conditions. A study has been made involving mathematical analyses of stress distribution and compression of soils under loads, and a report on this subject is being prepared for publication.

Surveys have been conducted to determine the foundation conditions existing at the sites of several bridges that are to be constructed under the supervision of the Bureau.

Soil stabilization in general and as applied to the low-cost roads in particular is one of the most important problems occupying the attention of the Bureau's research staff. During the past year a series of laboratory tests has developed information showing: (1) The importance of the grading of constituent materials in producing density and stability in sand-clay and sand-clay-gravel mixtures; (2) the effect of temperature on the stability of compacted soils; (3) the influence of electrolytes and water-soluble binders on the density and stability of soils of the different soil groups, and (4) the value of water-insoluble binders such as bituminous material and portland cement for waterproofing and stabilizing fine-grained soils. This information has been included in a report discussing applications of surface chemistry in soil stabilization and current construction practice.

Experimental soil mixtures and treatments are being tested under accelerated traffic on two circular tracks having a circumference of about 35 feet. These investigations follow the indications developed by the laboratory tests. One of the tracks is located outdoors where field conditions may be duplicated. Here tests are being made to determine: (1) The practicability of maintaining nonplastic-base courses with water-retentive chemicals during the period between base-course construction and surface treatment; (2) the effect of certain chemicals on the physical properties of the base-course material; (3) the effect of the chemicals on bituminous surfacings; (4) the value of chemical admixtures for increasing the density and stability of the base materials; and (5) the effective life of the chemical treatments with various types of base materials. The value of insoluble binders will also be investigated on the outdoor circular track. The indoor track is being used to investigate base-course materials without chemical admixtures. Sand-clay, sand-clay-gravel, chert-gravel, traffic-bound crushedstone, and traffic-bound crushed-slag base courses will be constructed and tested.

Progress has been made in the preparation of specifications for the selection of soil materials and for moisture control in the construction of fills for highways. While more information is necessary, experience has shown that judicious use of the data at hand has saved many times the cost of the soil investigations.

Study of the chemical properties of subgrade soils in cooperation with the State Highway Commission of Missouri and the Agricultural Experiment Station of the University of Missouri has been continued. A series of homoionic soils has been prepared and tested in order to obtain fundamental data concerning the colloidal chemistry of the materials.

A survey of low-cost stabilized roads has been started, and data have been collected on seven road sections in two States. Field work is being continued to determine the efficiency of various chemicals, primes, and neutralizers in soil stabilization.

Cooperation with the State highway departments in the making of subgrade surveys, in the design of subgrade treatments and road surfaces, and in the establishment of subgrade-soil laboratories, has continued as in past years.





