12/06/13 (3)

RG 95 RECORDS OF THE FOREST SERVICE

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE. FOREST SERVICE. REGION 10 (ALASKA REGION). JUNEAU, AK.

Subject Correspondence, 1908 - 1976

ARC#: 1137914

BOX 103 OF 109

00000000

FOREST SERVICE

REGION 10

JUNEAU, ALASKA

FOREST SUPERVISORS, No. & So. Tongass and Chugach

April 3, 1959

P. D. HANSON, Regional Porester, By

E-ROADS & TRAILS, Forest Highway Study

Reference is made to our memorandum of May 23, 1958, and your subsequent replies.

The attached maps outline roads that have been jointly agreed upon by the Bureau of Public Roads, the State Highway Commission and the Forest Service as proposed additions to the Forest Highway System. These proposed additions are, as yet, not a part of the Forest Highway System and are a matter of in-service information only. Any release of information regarding these proposed additions should be cleared through the regional office.

Attachments

AWJohnson: psw

JOHN L. EMERSON

can-

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

FOREST SERVICE

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Office Memorandum . United states government

ro : Regional Forester, R-10

DATE: September 12, 1958

FROM : A. P. Dean, Director, Division of Engineering, By

SUBJECT: E-ROADS & TRAILS, Studies, Forest Highways

AIR MAIL

Re your memorandum of September 4.

We agree with what you say in paragraph 3 but the Group B study is not a system planning job. It is intended to answer two questions of the Congress: (1) how many of the roads of forest highway character in and adjacent to the forests are not in the forest highway system, and (2) how much money would be needed for improvement and maintenance of these roads in the decade 1962 - 1971 (the latter is for needs during the decade and not necessarily all work needed to "complete" the roads).

Our part in the study is to make sure that all of the roads of forest highway character which are now in the development system are brought to the attention of BPR and the State and do our best to get them included in the Group B submission.

The planning you have done is by no means wasted. It flags the roads which we shouldn't improve or maintain from forest road and trail funds.

Now that Alaska is a State, the forest highway picture will change. As soon as a highway department is set up the prerogative for initiating proposals for forest highway designations and programs will pass to the State. This will reduce the voices of the Bureau and Forest Service from one-half to one-third and put us definitely out of forest highway planning except on a "good office" basis.

C. T. Dullivan.

PM

STANDARD FORM NO, 64

FOREST SERVICE

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Office Memorandum • United States Government

ro : Regional Forester, R-10

DATE: September 12, 1958

FROM : A. P. Dean, Director, Division of Engineering, By

SUBJECT: E-ROADS & TRAILS, Studies, Forest Highways

AIR MAIL

Re your memorandum of September 4.

We agree with what you say in paragraph 3 but the Group B study is not a system planning job. It is intended to answer two questions of the Congress: (1) how many of the roads of forest highway character in and adjacent to the forests are not in the forest highway system, and (2) how much money would be needed for improvement and maintenance of these roads in the decade 1962 - 1971 (the latter is for needs during the decade and not necessarily all work needed to "complete" the roads).

Our part in the study is to make sure that all of the roads of forest highway character which are now in the development system are brought to the attention of BPR and the State and do our best to get them included in the Group B submission.

The planning you have done is by no means wasted. It flags the roads which we shouldn't improve or maintain from forest road and trail funds.

Now that Alaska is a State, the forest highway picture will change. As soon as a highway department is set up the prerogative for initiating proposals for forest highway designations and programs will pass to the State. This will reduce the voices of the Bureau and Forest Service from one-half to one-third and put us definitely out of forest highway planning except on a "good office" basis.

C. T. SULLIVAN

1xc: R-10

CTSullivan/rfh

2 Janson

-const

Chief. Forest Service

September 4, 1950

F. D. Renson, Regional Forester, R-10 By:

S-MOADS & TRAILS, Studies, Forest Highways

ath patt

Under separate cover we are sending you one set of maps of the Chugach and Tongass National Toreste, showing the several routes being considered in the Porest Highways Study required by the Federal (id Dighway Act of 1958.

The Eureau of Public Hoads has worked closely with us on the Study. The says and the attached tabulation shows a basic difference of thinking by the two agencies. There is little chance of agreement at this level so we have agreed that each agency will submit its proposals to its washington offices for comment.

Our proposal is based on our belief that the basic highway system for the Territory is in the formative stage, and that this is an opportunity to do the planning for a forest highway system that will become a part of the basic highway system. He have not let present appropriation levels influence our thinking, although the not speaks of completion by July 1971. We have considered notded and desirable routes with the assumption that financing will ultimately follow.

You will note that the cajority of the routes are non-existing. The paragraph following the criteria for selection of Class P roads on page 2 of Mr. Moyster's circular memorandum to Regional and Division Englosers, dated June 25, cakes the only mention of "non-existing" roads. Since the instructions for making the Study are a little vague regarding non-existing routes, we need to know the place non-existing routes take in the Study.

There are several routes that should be taken off the system and others that should be added. Freparing the justification statement for additions is difficult since there is no traffic, no travelod portion, no rural sail route, etc. The Daress of Public Hoads does not feel this is part of the Study since there is very little provision sade for non-existing routes.

To realize that we are recommending a lot of miles and dellars be added to the system. At the present appropriation level it would



2-Chief, Forest Service, 9/4/58

require 46 years to construct the recommended Forest Service system. If Alaska is to be developed, we will need to provide for an adequate bighway system. Even though our recommendations sees impractical, we believe it is not too early to be getting probable routes on paper so that advance planning and surveys can be made. In short, we do not feel we are over-planning the Forest Highway System.

The routes that we are recommending beyond those acceptable to the Eurean of Public Roads are ones the local communities and officials have asked for at various times and others we believe are needed for future development. Access to markets, potential industrial sites, homesites and recreation areas are the main reasons given for these routes.

The Eurena of Public Sonds gives "conditional approval" for a route up the Stikine River from Petersburg and Wrangell based on the premise that if they have to approve any of four proposed routes from Contient Slasko to the Alcan Sighway they will approve the Stikine route.

The Surean of Public Sonds does not go along with a route up the Taku Siver from Juneau to the Alona Righway. We believe the Territorial Righway Engineer considers this is his number one project.

On the present Forest Highway system there are seven of minotoen projects that have non-existing mileage. Of these seven projects, only four merit programming for expenditure of construction menics by the Forest Service policy. One of the four projects is a doubtful forest Service project. There are several existing routes that can be brought to a higher standard but we feel some of the Forest Service proposed additions are of a higher priority them raising construction standards of existing highways which appear to be adequate for traffic in the immediate future. From the Forest Service point of view many of our proposed additions to the system are more important for programming than any of the projects on the present system.

As yet the Territory has not been consulted on the Study. We feel that the two federal agencies should reconcile their differences before consulting with the Territory.

We hope that after we hear from you and the Bureau of Public Roads hours from their Washington office we will be able to agree on the selection of projects to submit for consideration in the Study.

Attachment

co: 19R

I R. Rolling

CAMiller:psw

mitchell in on find chaft can

How & &

G. D. Mitchell, Peglobal Engineer, 8-10

August 19, 1953

G. A. Miller

R-RCADS & TRAILS, Forest Michael Study

Status of Forest Highway Study Seculred by Federal-Aid Bishway Act of 1958 (in cooperation with Eureau of Public Roads)

		er ander a male a mar	# I I 3	3
(z)	CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE		ron-orioti	ng total
,	Chugach	181.4	12.6	194.0
	Korth Tongass	96.0		151.3
	South Tongase		70.7	
	Total	36.2 313.6	19.7 87.6	55.9 401.2
		عبه مهر در _{ان} بهه ع _{دو}	a1.0	To and the street
(2)	Sutual Agreement of Soutes to be added		ystea	
	Chugach	16	78	94
	North Tongase	9	63	ツゴ やり
	South Tongess	15	***	1 - 42
	Sub-tetal	13	1 74	72 48 214
	Conditional BFR approval (No. Tongasa)		20	70
	Total	<u>0</u>	<u> 277</u>	70 284
	·	•	COMME IN V.	
(5)	Additional Forest Service proposed add	litions no	t acceptab	le by APA
		Company areas in the free company of the free free free free free free free fr	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	And the second s
	Chugasi	0	55	55
	Sorth Tongass	0	35 185	55 185
	Josth Tongase	-\$	100	100
	rotal Total		<u> 340</u>	100
(4)	Total of All Proposed Additions (2) &			•
	Stugach	فقر دن		
	North Tongass	16	133 318	149
	forth Tongass	9	320	327
	Tetal	15	132	148 624
	₹ # # @ # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	40	504	624
Tota	1 Proposed Agreed System (Current plus	Addition	5) (2) & (2)
	Chugach	197	5°9, **	# \$ \$
	North Tengass	105	91 188	288
	South Tongass	_	100 100	293
	Total	-51	-22	104
		<i>333</i>	2200	635

2-0. S. Mitchell, Regional Engineer, R-10, S/19/58

				1	total
Total Proposed	Forest Serv	ice System 2) and (3)	(Current plus	Additions 4)	

Chugach	197	146	343
Morth Tongass	105	37 3	478
South longass	<u> </u>	153	<u> 204</u>
Total		572	1025

issuming construction cost of \$200,000 per mile and F. T. 1959 appropriation level, \$3,000,000 - Years required to construct:

Fregent System non-existing miles	6 years
Agreed to Aystem non-existing miles	23 years
Forest Service proposed System non-existing miles	46 years

Assuming double F. Y. 1959 appropriation level, \$6,000,000 - Years required to construct:

Present	l System non-existing miles) years
Agreed	to System non-existing miles	12 years
Forest	Service proposed System non-existing miles	23 years

Coutes

Mutual Agreement

(京) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Non-ex-	Total
F.W.14. Hope: Hope to Forest boundary	0	isting.	18
F.E.32. Copper River; Alaganik to Forest boundary	16	O	is it
F.E. 36, Katalla, from F.H. 32 to Katalla	T.	V	16
via coal fields	0 16	50 73	<u>60</u> 94
Total Chugach	16	78	94
North Tongass:			
F.M.2. Glacier: Extension Scho Cove - Haines F.M.33. Yakutat; Yakutat to airport & to	Ç	55	55
Cosst Guard Station	9	0	9
F.W.31, Douglas; extension south of Douglas Total North Tongass	9	0 <u>8</u> 53	9 8 72
South Tongass:			•
F.E.1. Pongass; extension up George Inlet			
from Beaver Cr. via Ward Lake to Ward		16	20
F.E.37, Craig: Craig to Hollis via Klawak Total South Tongass	15	17 33	<u> </u>
Sub-total - Region	40	174	214

3-C. E. Mitchell, Regional Engineer, R-10, 8/19/58

Routes (continued)		Kon-existing	Total	
Conditional Approval by BPR				
Morth Tongaes:				
F.H.7. Mitkof; extension from Blind Slough up Stikine River to boundary F.H.16. Wrangell; extension from Wrange	0	45	45	
to Stikine Miver Route Total North Tongass	-0	<u>25</u>	<u>25</u>	
Total - Region	40	244	284	
Additional Forest Service proposed routes not acceptable to EFR				
Chugach:				
P.E.42. Resurrection River; Seward to Kenai River F.E.39. Rude River; Cordova to Nelson townsite	0	40 15	40	
Total Chugack	0	15 35	59	
North Tongass:				
F.M.2. Glacier; extension up Taku River to boundary from Richop Pt. F.M.40, Amiralty, Young Bay to Eliza	Ö	45	45	
Harbor F.R.41, Kake: Patersburg to Rake Total North Tongasa	<u> </u>	90 <u>30</u> 105	90 50 185	
South Pengase:				
F.H.J7. Craig; extension from Harris Hiver to Hydaburg F.H. 1. Tongass; extension from Loring	0	20	20	
up Unuk River to boundary Total South Tongass	0	30 100	30 .00	
Total - Region	0	340	540	

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT NORTH TONGASS NATQUAL FOREST

TO : Regional Forester

DATE: July 3, 1958

FROM : C. M. ARMSTRONG, Forest Supervisor, North Tongass, By: Buel M. Hixson, Jr.,

Acting

SUBJECT: E-ROADS AND TRAILS, Systems (Forest Highways)

Below are our recommendations as requested in your memo of May 23.

- FH #2 Glacier Highway. Our previous recommendations are okay on this.
- FH #7 Mitkof Highway. Previous recommendations okay. However this road should connect with Stikine River Highway.
- (Proposed) FH # Stikine River Highway at approximately mile 20 on Mitkof Highway across Mitkof Island to Gut Island, Dry Island and Farm Island, then up north side of Stikine River to Canadian Boundary. Length 40 miles.
- Wrangell Spur. Down south side of River from Boundary to Mill Creek with ferry section across Eastern Passage to eastern terminus of Wrangell Highway #76. Length 30 miles.
- Yakutat Highway. Yakutat to Yakutat Airport excluding portions within the townsite. This is an important road for this community. Its link with its main transportation center airport. Length 4 miles.
- # 26 Point Agassiz. This should be classed as a Forest Development road rather than a forest highway. The basic purpose of the road is for land use.
- # 31 Douglas Highway. The northern terminus of this should be Fritz Cove the proposed site of the pulp mill not Hilda Creek. The section between Fritz Cove and Hilda Creek should be a Forest Development Road.

This will be a main timber access road and will get considerable use for recreation. Summer home groups, organizational sites, and campgrounds are planned on the west side of the island. With lower standards on curves and grades a forest development road would utilize the land much better. This is quite important on the north end where the Bureau's survey is on the beach. A good development road should be back in the timber so that recreation areas and summer homes could be developed below the road on the beach side.

- FDR #6301. Kake Road. This is a community road. Therefore a forest highway classification would fit it better than a development road.
- FDR #7451. Angoon Road. The same is true of this road as with the Kake Road. It should be designated as a forest highway.

Hul

July 3, 1958

-2-E-ROADS AND TRAILS, Systems (Forest Highways)

All of our highways are Class 1 and 2. This is fine except that the 200 feet set back distance will be too restrictive on certain stretches. For example on the extension of Glacier Highway past Herbert River the highway will be close to the beach and thus limit any development to 200 feet above the road. Thus from Herbert River to Echo Cove there would be very little development. This set-back distance would also include our recreation structures the way we interpret it. Could we have some clarification on this matter?

Buel M. Higson ofre

Form PR-37FH (10-13-55)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS

L-0595-00

Date Townson		1050		KESI NIU	MWAI FRUC	eci sini	tus recurb	County		
Date Janu Status Re	vised Es	timate						Project No. 32	iA and AF	DR 0802
Project Na	me and Loc	ation	Portage	Glacier	Highway					
National F	orest						·			
Average Da	ily Traffi	с		_; Fores	t Highway C	lass	; Other Systems: Sta	te; County	or Local	2
Andreada Parties of the Control of t	Contr.	Work	Number o	f bridges	Surface					
Initial ① or Stage ②	or force acct.	class or type	New or major recon- struction	Minor re- construction or paving	Width n Present Construction	Thickness	· Total cost	Forest highway funds	Miles	Fund
						Former	status			
	Acco	unt No.	10595-01	Author	lzed PR-3		1	305,000	5.900	FH-L
	Acco	unt No.	L-0595-00	Program	med Only		295,000	295,000		PH-L
				-		Current	status			
	Acco	unt No.	1-0595-01	Authori	Lzed		* 365,000	335,000	5.900	FII-L
	Acco	unt No.	1-0595-00	Progra	med Only		265,000	265,000		FH-L
			Project w tal miles				PR-366 8/8/58 in am iles.	ount of		· ·

Regional Engineer

Finance Officer Title

#44

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS REGION 10

PROJECT AGREEMENT ESTIMATE

Project: APRI 254 Contract No. 1.5 APRI 0802 Prepared by: Melin

Location: Portage Glader Websey Suren

Clacier Spur.

Judicial Division:

Contractor: The Company Toc.

Item			Unit	er :
No.	Item	Quentity	Price	Amoun:
00(3)	Clearing & Grubbing	50 Acres	200.00	10,000.00
)O(4)	Pit Clearing and Grubbing	25 Acres	200.00	5,000.00
)2(1)	Unclassified Excevetion	115,000 Cu. Yd.	.38	43,700.00
)2(4)	Borrow Excavation, Case 1	172,000 Gu. Yd.	.38	65,360.00
)3(1)	Excavation for Structures	250 Cg. Vd.	3.00	750.00
)5 (2)	Overhaul (2000' freehaul)	1.500 Yd. NI.	.50	750.00
)5 (3)	Overhaul of Borrow, Case 1 (1000' freehaul)	83,000 Yd. ML.	.28	23,240.00
20(4)	Cruehed Aggregate base, grading	p-1 28,800 Tons	2.75	79,475.00
10(4)	Asphalt, Grade MC-0, Prime coa		30.00	2,850.00
14(2)	Aggregate, design at 50 Bit. Surface Treatment	2,450 Tone	6.00	14,700.00
14(8)	Asphalt, grade 30-3, Bit. Surf	ace Treat. 95 Tons	30.00	2,850.00
53(1E)	18" Calvanized Corr. Metal Pip		. 4.50	873.00
53(1G)	24" Galvanized Corr. Netal Pip	e 710 Lin. F	. 7.50	5,325.00
53(LI)	36" Galvanized Corr. Metal Pip	e 590 Lin. F	:. 16.00	9,440.00
57(1)	Remove and dispose of exist cu	Lvert 117 Lin. F	2.50	292.50
me of F	unds Miles Cost	Sub Total Engineering		264,605.50 45,394.50
		- white the commen	and an area.	45,000.00
1	5.900 \$335.000.00	Govt. Turnish	ed Mat.	10,000.00
DR	.684 30.000.00			\$365,000.00
TOTA				

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS Washington 25

March 30, 1950

To: Division Engineers

From: Commissioner

There is enclosed a copy of the Rules and Regulations for Administering Forest Highways (Revised), approved by the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of Commerce, and published in the Federal Register of March 22, 1950. Additional copies will be forwarded to your offices for immediate use. While the regulations are complete in themselves, there are administrative features involved which, while not made a part of the regulations, are considered supplemental details necessary for effective operations.

In Section 15.2(c), the second sentence reads "Deductions will be made from the reserve to cover administrative requirements of the Bureau of Public Roads including purchase of equipment, administration by the Forest Service, and in special cases to provide additional funds for programed projects." The specific reference to special cases is for the purpose of limiting the use of these funds, and to prevent the current programing and resultant elimination of this reserve.

In Section 15.3(a), the purpose of the change of classification is to emphasize the Federal-aid features of our forest highway system which should result in more effective presentation before Congress.

Section 15.3(b) - This provision is general in nature, and any further instructions found necessary can be handled administratively.

Section 15.4(b) - The division engineers should, soon after apportionment, call program conferences and promptly submit joint field recommendations of programs for approval. This would include advice to the State relative to the prompt submission of recommendations to the offices of the district engineers.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS Washington 25

March 30, 1950

To: Division Engineers

From: Commissioner

There is enclosed a copy of the Rules and Regulations for Administering Forest Highways (Revised), approved by the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of Commerce, and published in the Federal Register of March 22, 1950. Additional copies will be forwarded to your offices for immediate use. While the regulations are complete in themselves, there are administrative features involved which, while not made a part of the regulations, are considered supplemental details necessary for effective operations.

In Section 15.2(c), the second sentence reads "Deductions will be made from the reserve to cover administrative requirements of the Bureau of Public Roads including purchase of equipment, administration by the Forest Service, and in special cases to provide additional funds for programed projects." The specific reference to special cases is for the purpose of limiting the use of these funds, and to prevent the current programing and resultant elimination of this reserve.

In Section 15.3(a), the purpose of the change of classification is to emphasize the Federal-aid features of our forest highway system which should result in more effective presentation before Congress.

Section 15.3(b) - This provision is general in nature, and any further instructions found necessary can be handled administratively.

Section 15.4(b) - The division engineers should, soon after apportionment, call program conferences and promptly submit joint field recommendations of programs for approval. This would include advice to the State relative to the prompt submission of recommendations to the offices of the district engineers.

Section 15.4(e), provides for increasing program amounts not to exceed 25 percent by the Commissioner. This authority is delegated to the division engineers with a caution that this only applies when no substantial change in work is involved over that originally programed, and that the reserve is not affected.

The division engineers are also authorized to decrease any programed amount up to 25 percent, providing there is no substantial change in work involved from that originally programed. A substantial change in work over that programed requires reprograming.

en jakon kan dia menjerah dia me

1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2.

and the second of the second o

en en la regione de la companya de la region de la region

graph of the second of the sec

TITLE 23 - HIGHWAYS

CHAPTER I - BUREAU OF PUBLIC ROADS, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

PART 15 - RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR ADMINISTERING FOREST HIGHWAYS (REVISED)

AUTHORITY: Sections 15.1 to 15.10 issued under Sec. 6, 54 Stat. 869; 23 U.S.C. 23b; Reorg, Plan 7 of 1949, 14 F.R. 5228. Interpret or apply Sec. 23, 42 Stat. 218, as amended, Sec. 2, 49 Stat. 1520; 23 U.S.C. 23, 23a.

Light Control of the Control

- Sec. 15.1 DEFINITIONS. For the purpose of these regulations the following terms, respectively, shall mean:
- (a) Commissioner. The Commissioner of Public Roads, Bureau of Public Roads, Department of Commerce.
- (b) Forester. The Chief of the Forest Service of the Department of Agriculture.
- (c) State. Any State, Territory, or insular possession eligible to receive forest highway funds.
- (d) State Highway Department. As defined in the Federal Highway Act, the term "State highway department" includes any State department, commission, board, or official having adequate powers and suitably equipped and organized to discharge to the satisfaction of the Secretary of Commerce the duties herein required.
- (e) County Authorities. The commissioners, supervisors, or other officials charged by law with the selection of roads in a county, township, road district, or town, and with the expenditure of funds for road building and maintenance.
- (f) Division Engineer. The division engineer of the Bureau of Public Roads.
- (g) District Engineer. The district engineer of the Bureau of Public Roads.
- (h) Regional Forester. The regional forester of the Forest Service.

- (i) Forest Roads. Roads wholly or partly within, adjoining or adjacent to and serving the national forests.
- (j) Forest Highways. Those forest roads of primary importance to the State, counties or communities and which are selected and designated by the Commissioner and the Forester as constituent parts of a forest highway system.
- (k) Forest Highway Fund. Any authorization or appropriation for forest highways.

and the second control of the second of

- (1) Forest Highway Program. List of forest highway projects for surveys, construction and maintenance, and the estimated amounts of Forest Highway Funds required, as approved by the Commissioner and Forester.
- (m) Construction. Any improvement involving original construction or reconstruction.
- (n) Highway Planning Survey. The engineering and economic investigations of highways and highway transportation conducted by the highway departments of the States and the Bureau of Public Roads.
- (o) Maintenance. The preserving and keeping of each roadway, road structure and road facility as nearly as possible in its original condition as constructed, or as subsequently improved, to provide satisfactory and safe highway service.
- Section 15.2 APPORTIONMENT. (a) The Secretary of Agriculture shall prepare, and certify to the Secretary of Commerce annually, a tabulation showing the areas and value of the national forest land in each State, including the value of forage and timber. This tabulation shall serve as the basis of apportionment for the forest highway fund.
- (b) On or before January 1 of each year, or as otherwise provided by legislation, the Secretary of Commerce shall apportion among the several States, Alaska and Puerto Rico the forest highway fund authorized for the next succeeding fiscal year as follows: One-half in the ratio that the area of national forest land in any State bears to the total area of such land in all States, and one-half in the ratio that the value of national forest land in any State bears to the total value of such land in all States, subject to any modifications that future legislation may require.

- (c) Ten percent of the amount so apportioned to each State shall be placed in a reserve and the balance shall be made available, immediately after apportionment, for the forest highway program. Deductions will be made from the reserve to cover administrative requirements of the Bureau of Public Roads including purchase of equipment, administration by the Forest Service, and in special cases to provide additional funds for programed projects. Any balances in the reserve will be released for programing not later than the date of the apportionment of the succeeding fiscal year authorization.
- Sec. 15.3 THE FOREST HIGHWAY SYSTEM. (a) Forest Highways designated under Section 15.1(j), shall be classified as follows:
 - Class (1) On the Federal-aid primary system.
 - Class (2) On the Federal-aid secondary system.
 - Class (3) Other Forest Highways.
- (b) Proposed modifications, when jointly agreed upon and recommended by the State highway department, the regional forester, the district engineer and the division engineer shall be forwarded with necessary supporting data, including revised sketch maps and route descriptions, to the Commissioner and the Forester for approval.
- Sec. 15.4 SELECTION OF THE FOREST HIGHWAY PROGRAM.

 (a) For each apportionment of funds authorized by Congress for forest highways, the district engineer shall request the State highway department to submit a list of forest highway projects proposed for financing with such funds, supported by a map showing the location of the proposed projects. The State highway department shall also submit its recommendations on all projects proposed by counties, communities, or other agencies. Copies of the State's submission shall be forwarded to the division engineer, who shall furnish a copy to the regional forester. The regional forester may request the division engineer to make any investigations necessary to obtain further information on any project proposed by the State or county.
- (b) Following receipt of the maps, lists and recommendations required by paragraph (a) above, the division engineer shall arrange for a joint conference with the State highway department, the regional forester, and the district engineer for consideration of projects to be included in a program. Projects included in the forest highway program shall be based upon the following considerations:

- (1) Provision for the maintenance of forest highways existing or under construction.
- (2) The completion of necessary surveys.
- (3) Findings of the highway planning survey.
- (4) Benefit to forest development, protection, and administration, as indicated by the transportation plan of the Forest Service.
 - (5) Requirements for the production of timber, and mining.
 - (6) Construction correlation with military requirements and with adjacent Federal and State road programs.
- (7) The economy of continuity of operations.
- (8) Ability of cooperators to maintain adequately the improvement.
- (c) A joint report of this conference, in the form of a recommended forest highway program, shall be filed by the division engineer with the Commissioner, and by the regional forester with the Forester.
- (d) Approval of the forest highway program shall be by the Commissioner and the Forester.
- (e) The program amount for any project may be increased by the Commissioner by not to exceed 25 percent, the additional funds required in connection with cost increases to be obtained from any unprogramed balances, or in special cases, from the reserve. Any construction project substantially deviating from the project as approved in the forest highway program or on which the cost will exceed by more than 25 percent the expenditure authorized therein, shall constitute a program revision to be approved by the Commissioner and the Forester.
 - Sec. 15.5 COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS. (a) A cooperative agreement for any project which involves financial contributions from cooperators shall be approved by the Commissioner prior to beginning work.
 - (b) Negotiations for cooperative agreements shall be conducted by the division engineer and the detailed provisions shall be agreed upon by him and the cooperator. All cooperative agreements shall be prepared on forms furnished by the Bureau of Public Roads for execution by the Commissioner and the cooperator.

- (c) Construction projects under a cooperative agreement involving forest highway funds shall not be advertised and no contracts shall be let, nor shall any construction be started, without the prior approval of the division engineer.
- Sec. 15.6 SURVEYS. (a) Surveys, and the preparation of construction plans, specifications and cost estimates, may be made for any improvement that is to be considered for inclusion in a future Forest Highway program. Such surveys may be made on routes that ultimately may become a part of the Forest Highway System as well as present Forest Highway routes.
- (b) Before the completion of a survey, the regional forester shall be notified in writing so that he shall have opportunity to examine the surveyed line or the location map and to indicate any details of location desirable for the protection or development of the national forests.
- Sec. 15.7 CONSTRUCTION. (a) No construction shall be undertaken upon any designated part of the Forest Highway System by any Federal agency until a survey and cost estimate have been approved by the division engineer and the State highway department, unless otherwise specifically authorized by the Commissioner; but the Forest Service at its expense may make temporary repairs on forest highways or construct timber utilization roads on the forest highway system following as closely as practicable surveys made by the Bureau of Public Roads at the request of the Forest Service.
- (b) Approval of plans, specifications and estimates shall be by the Commissioner, or his duly authorized representative, following which the division engineer may advertise projects carried in the approved forest highway program.
- (c) The construction of forest highways will be performed by the contract method, unless otherwise authorized by the Commissioner. The Commissioner, or his duly authorized representative, shall accept or reject proposals from bidders on any forest highway construction projects and execute any necessary contracts and supporting bonds therefor. If it is impracticable to construct a project or any part thereof by the contract method, the Commissioner may proceed with force account construction as authorized by paragraph (d), Section 23, of the Federal Highway Act, as amended and supplemented.

- (d) Construction work on projects shall not be considered complete until the project has been inspected and approved by the division engineer and the cooperating agency, nor until the regional forester has approved the clearing and disposal of refuse.
- Sec. 15.8 MAINTENANCE. Maintenance of forest highways shall be the responsibility of the cooperating agency unless otherwise approved by the Commissioner. However, maintenance of projects during their construction and for a specified period after completion of construction may be the responsibility of the Bureau of Public Roads in accordance with a Cooperative Agreement between the cooperator and the Bureau of Public Roads.
- Sec. 15.9 RECORDS AND ACCOUNTING. (a) The Commissioner shall keep all records which he deems necessary of survey, construction, and maintenance costs for projects under his supervision.
- (b) Each equipment depot under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Public Roads shall be operated on a self-sustaining basis. Work done in the equipment depots will be charged on an actual cost basis, including overhead. Projects on which equipment is used will be charged with the cost of such equipment on a depreciation or appropriate rental basis.
- (c) Cooperative funds contributed by any cooperator shall be deposited in the United States Treasury to the credit of the fund set up for Cooperative Work, Forest Highways, Bureau of Public Roads.
- Sec. 15.10 COMMISSIONER'S REPORT. Not later than December 15 of each year, the Commissioner shall submit to the Secretary of Commerce and to the Secretary of Agriculture a report covering the operations on the Forest Highway System for the preceding fiscal year, including the current status of surveys, construction and maintenance, and with such recommendations as he shall consider desirable.

These regulations shall take effect upon publication in the Federal Register and shall supersede all prior rules and regulations heretofore promulgated for administering forest highways.

Recommended:

Approved:

/s/C. M. Granger
Actg. Chief, Forest Service
Department of Agriculture

/s/ A. J. Loveland
Actg. Secretary of Agriculture

/s/ Thos. H. MacDonald
Commissioner of Public Roads
Bureau of Public Roads

/s/ C. V. Whitney
Actg. Secretary of Commerce

Certified to be a true copy of the original.

Published in the Federal Register March 22, 1950, 15 F.R. 1585

/s/ Gerald Ryan























