



May 21, 1980

MEMORANDUM

To: Acting Area Director
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Juneau

From: David S. Case
Attorney/Advisor

Subject: Rights of Way on Allotments --
R.S. 2477 and Other Access Questions

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Your Requests

Over the last twelve months you have directed three opinion requests to this office regarding access to and across Native allotments. Your first request (dated May 22, 1979) asked about the effect of Native occupancy on the establishment of section line road easements under R.S. 2477.^{1/} Your second request (dated July 6, 1979) was for general guidance about the method for assuring access to landlocked Native allotments you had advertised for sale. You also asked if you have to disclose any access problems in your sale advertisement. With respect to R.S. 2477 easements, you asked whether a section line easement for public access would suffice for private access to an otherwise landlocked

^{1/} The request was entitled "Effect of Statutory Reservations on Native Allotments" and was answered in a memorandum by Dennis Hopewell of this office, dated September 4, 1979. The section line easement question was specifically excluded from that response pending this reply.

the lands in question were subject to individual Native use and occupancy on the date the section line was actually surveyed.^{5/}

(B) Other Official Acts of Acceptance. As noted earlier, other official actions (i.e., construction, repair, dedications, etc.) can constitute official acceptance of the R.S. 2477 grant. Whether such official action has created an R.S. 2477 right of way will have to be determined on a case-by-case basis.

(C) Public User. Rights of way claimed to have been created by public use must also be determined on a case-by-case basis. On the one extreme, an obvious public road established prior to Native use and occupancy would certainly be sufficient to constitute acceptance of the R.S. 2477 grant; see State v. Fowler, 1 Alas. L.J. 7, supra. On the other extreme, it is equally clear that desultory or occasional use of a road or trail by individuals having no interest in the land to which they obtain access is not sufficient to create an R.S. 2477 right of way, Hamerly v. Denton, supra. Whether a given use is sufficient to constitute acceptance of the R.S. 2477 grant, may have to be determined judicially in all but the most obvious cases.

4. Widths

By State statute, section line easements on "public lands" are four rods (66 feet) wide with the section line as a center of the dedicated right of way.^{6/} Other official

^{5/} The Attorney General also concluded that the R.S. 2477 grant attaches on the date the "protracted surveys" were published in the Federal Register. We do not agree with this position; as a practical matter, the protraction diagrams are not a reliable means of ascertaining the correct position of the surveyed section line.

^{6/} A right of way 100 feet wide is granted between sections of land owned by or acquired from the State. Since Native occupied lands could not fall within this category, section line easements on Native allotments will be confined to the 66 foot width.