

December 1, 1949

Mr. James P. Davis, Director  
Division of Territories and Island Possessions  
Department of the Interior  
Washington 25, D. C.

My dear Mr. Davis:

At a recent meeting with Mr. Lowell Puckett, Regional Administrator, Bureau of Land Management, the method of handling withdrawals or reservations for roads rights-of-way was fully discussed.

The immediate problem is our deficiency in accurate maps of old roads which are required by the District Land Offices in connection with locating entrymen and in issuance of patents.

It was brought out by Mr. Puckett that because of the language of Public Land Order 601, all entries in surveyed areas affected by a road must be limited to one side only of the existing road. This is because the order used the word "withdrawn" and the Bureau of Land Management has ruled that no new entry can be made covering noncontiguous areas. One solution of the problem has been proposed by Mr. Puckett to his Washington office. Under Land Decisions Volume 43, page 551, it was held that a right-of-way withdrawal did not render the tracts lying on opposite sides of the withdrawn strip noncontiguous, and an entry embracing both sides of such strip should be allowed. I desire to strongly support Mr. Puckett's request and urge favorable consideration. Application of this decision would avoid such present confusion. By the time entrymen apply for patents in future, it is planned to have available in the District Land Offices accurate maps of our roads.

We believe the best solution of this problem would be a revision of Public Land Order 601 to change the language to read "are hereby reserved from all forms of appropriation". This language would be in accordance with the act of July 24, 1947 (Pub. Law 229, 80th Cong., 1st Session, 46 U.S.C., 1946 Ed., Supp. 1, 321D). It is our contention that this law was intended to avoid the difficulty of determining for each entry or patent the exact location of the road. The act provides in part:

"In all patents for lands hereafter taken up, entered, or located in the Territory of Alaska, and in all deeds by the United States hereafter conveying any lands to which it may have

24/226

✓  
H

reacquired title in said Territory not included within the limits of any organized municipality, there shall be expressed that there is reserved, from the lands described in said patent or deed, a right-of-way thereon for roads, roadways, highways, tramways, trails, bridges and appurtenant structures constructed or to be constructed by or under the authority of the United States or of any State created out of the Territory of Alaska."

It will be noted the act provides for a blanket reservation for rights-of-way for roads constructed or to be constructed. It is our contention that determination of the exact location of a road now existing through an entry made after the date of approval of the act is not necessary. The history of the bill indicates this was one of the specific difficulties to be corrected. The other was to avoid the necessity of obtaining easements for future roads which obviously could not be described in the patent. \*

The actual width of right-of-way to be reserved is determined by the class of road. It is believed Public Land Order 601 was primarily intended to establish these widths. With this information in the District Land Offices, entrymen or applicants for patent can be informed of the width of right-of-way in each case. It is probable certain roads will be reclassified in the future, in which case the right-of-way width would be changed. It is recommended favorable consideration be given to a revision of Public Land Order 601 to permit the Alaska Road Commission full latitude of operation under Public Law 229. \*

Sincerely yours,

John R. Noyes  
Commissioner of  
Roads for Alaska

cc: Mr. Fickett  
IPT:pk:tl