

ben  
file

October 27, 1976

Mr. Curt Ostrem  
SR Box 80530  
Fairbanks, AK 99701

RZ: Driveways

Dear Mr. Ostrem:

On Wednesday morning October 20, 1976, I discussed with you our requirement of a maximum of one residential approach to your home. Currently you have two approaches to your home, one of which appears to be grown over and not used.

I again would like to reiterate our reasoning for the requirement of a maximum of one approach, is safety to the traveling public.

If you could please contact us and inform us as to which approach you would like to retain so we can compute quantities for the upgrading of your approach.

If you have any questions, please call 452-1911 ext. 257.

Sincerely,

WOODROW JOHANSEN  
Interior Division Engineer

Justin D. Swift  
Permit Officer

WJ/JS/dc

See Parcel No. 19, Nelson Road. 10-11-10-76

Nov. 3, 1976

State of Alaska  
Dept. of Highways  
2301 Peger Road  
Fairbanks, Alaska

Subject - Re - Driveways

Attention Justin W. Swift  
Dear Sir:

This is in regards to your letter as of Oct. 27, 1976 and the discussion of Oct. 20, 1976 as to the requirements of one approach to the home and let's correct that somewhat this is not only my home but I also have a business license and do on occasion take on varying jobs, as to equipment repair and maintenance and also farm use.

My circle driveway was put in, in 1957 and has been used extensively, and is very essential to my operation. The south driveway in which you question its use may not be used as often as the north one, and mainly because of the highway department action. Some years ago when they decided to upgrade Nelson Road, without having easements (and after they were told not to) took it upon themselves to take about 10 feet of my land on the east side of Nelson Road which myself and my son Ronnie along with some hired machine work and various pieces of the

equipment spent 12 days hauling brush roots, grading, plowing, rototilling and seeding into permanent grass) and in so doing left a berm blocking off the south part of the driveway and I didn't feel it was my responsibility to remove it at the time. This last summer I did spread some of it out and I am now and will continue to use the driveway and it is essential that I have the two driveways in conjunction with my operation also I believe I have grandfather rights to the two approaches and they are not separate approaches they are part of a U-shaped drive. Also I would like to inform you that at the same time the department of Highways took my land to use for the road they rendered several feet of my field useless and unproductive, more or less no more land. By virtue of their actions, by back-sloping the ditch, rendering that part useless also forming a dam that holds the run off back in the spring.

Sincerely,  
Curtis Peterson